



Supplemental Fig S1: Details of data construction. (A) The process of constructing sequence features: i) a gene and its surrounding cCREs were extracted, where each sequence was transformed into a matrix of shape $4*L$ using the *one-hot* encoding method and then reshaped into a matrix of shape $4*1*L$. Here, 4 means the number of nucleotides [A, C, G, T]; ii) all matrices of shape $4*1*L$ were concatenated along with the second dimension to form a matrix of shape $4*M*L$. (B) The process of constructing chromatin features: i) the epigenomic signals of a gene and its surrounding cCREs were extracted using the *pyBigWig* software and scaled by the $\log_{10}(1+x)$ function., where each region was assembled into a matrix of shape $4*L$ and then reshaped into a matrix of shape $4*1*L$. Here, 4 means the number of epigenomic datasets (DNase, H3K27ac, H3K4me3, and CTCF); ii) all matrices of shape $4*1*L$ were concatenated along with the second dimension to form a matrix of shape $4*M*L$. (C) The process of constructing TF binding features: i) a total of L TF motifs was used to calculate TF binding scores for each cCRE, resulting in a matrix of shape $1*L$, which was then normalized to a range of 0 to 1 and reshaped into a matrix of shape $1*1*L$; ii) all matrices of shape $1*1*L$ were concatenated along with the second dimension to form a matrix of shape $4*M*L$. (D) The process of constructing chromatin loops: i) some significant chromatin loops were identified from H3k27ac HiChIP data by using FitHiChIP; ii) If there exist interactions between cCREs and cCREs, as well as between genes and cCREs in chromatin loops, the normalized counts of chromatin loops were calculated and scaled by the $\log_{10}(1+x)$ function, otherwise 0. As a result, for each gene and its surrounding cCREs, an interaction matrix of shape $M\times M$ was constructed. Note that L denotes the length of sequences or the number of TF motifs, M is equal to $m+1$ where m denotes the number of cCREs.