



The nuclear matrix protein HNRNPU maintains 3D genome architecture globally in mouse hepatocytes

Hui Fan, Pin Lv, Xiangru Huo, et al.

Genome Res. published online December 22, 2017

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gr.224576.117](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.224576.117)

P<P Published online December 22, 2017 in advance of the print journal.

Accepted Manuscript Peer-reviewed and accepted for publication but not copyedited or typeset; accepted manuscript is likely to differ from the final, published version.

Creative Commons License This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://genome.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

Email Alerting Service Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

Comprehensive immune receptor profiling.
Discover the **DriverMap™ AIR Assay** difference.

LEARN
MORE



To subscribe to *Genome Research* go to:
<https://genome.cshlp.org/subscriptions>

Published by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press

The nuclear matrix protein HNRNPU maintains 3D genome architecture globally in mouse hepatocytes

Hui Fan^{1,6}, Pin Lv^{1,6}, Xiangru Huo¹, Jicheng Wu¹, Qianfeng Wang¹, Lu Cheng¹, Yun Liu¹,
Qiqun Tang¹, Ling Zhang², Feng Zhang^{2,3}, Xiaoqi Zheng⁴, Hao Wu⁵ and Bo Wen^{1,3}

¹MOE Key Laboratory of Metabolism and Molecular Medicine, Institutes of Biomedical Sciences, and Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Fudan University Shanghai Medical College, Shanghai 200032, China; ²Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai 200011, China; ³State Key Laboratory of Genetic Engineering, Collaborative Innovation Center of Genetics and Development, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China; ⁴Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, China; ⁵Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, 30322, USA.

⁶These authors contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding author: bowen75@fudan.edu.cn

Running Title: HNRNPU maintains 3D genome architecture globally

Keywords: HNRNPU, 3D genome architecture, Higher-order chromatin, Hi-C, Nuclear matrix

ABSTRACT

The eukaryotic chromosomes are folded into higher-order conformation to coordinate genome functions. Besides long-range chromatin loops, recent chromosome conformation capture (3C)-based studies indicated the higher level of chromatin structures including compartments and topologically associating domains (TADs), which may serve as units of genome organization and functions. However, the molecular machinery underlying these hierarchically three-dimensional (3D) chromatin architectures remains poorly understood. Via high-throughput assays including *in situ* Hi-C, DamID, ChIP-seq and RNA-seq, we investigated roles of the Heterogeneous Nuclear Ribonucleoprotein U (HNRNPU), a nuclear matrix (NM)-associated protein, in the 3D genome organization. Upon the depletion of HNRNPU in mouse hepatocytes, the coverage of lamina-associated domains (LADs) in the genome increases from 53.1% to 68.6%, and a global condensation of chromatin was observed. Furthermore, disruption of HNRNPU leads to compartment switching on 7.5% of the genome, decreases of TAD boundary strengths at borders between A (active) and B (inactive) compartments, and reduce of chromatin loop intensities. Long-range chromatin interactions between and within compartments or TADs are also significantly remodeled upon HNRNPU depletion. Intriguingly, HNRNPU mainly associates with active chromatin, and 80% of HNRNPU peaks coincide with the binding of CTCF or RAD21. Collectively, we demonstrated that HNRNPU functions as a major factor maintaining the 3D chromatin architecture, suggesting important roles of NM-associated proteins in genome organization.

INTRODUCTION

In the eukaryotic cell nucleus, appropriate higher-order chromatin structures are critical to genome organization and functions (Cremer and Cremer 2010; Bickmore 2013; Dekker and Misteli 2015; Rowley and Corces 2016). It has been well established that long-range chromatin loops are important for connecting enhancers and promoters, and for insulating chromatin domains (Tang et al. 2015; Ghirlando and Felsenfeld 2016; Hnisz et al. 2016). Furthermore, recent 3C-based experiments revealed that the genomes consist of multiple levels of self-associating chromatin structures including compartments (Lieberman-Aiden et al. 2009) and TADs (Dixon et al. 2012; Nora et al. 2012; Sexton et al. 2012). There are two types of compartments: A and B, associated with active and inactive chromatin, respectively. The compartments are recently validated as relatively stable physical structures of individual cells as detected by super-resolution microscope (Wang et al. 2016b) and single cell Hi-C (Stevens et al. 2017). TADs are evolutionarily conserved and are relatively invariant among cell types (Dixon et al. 2015; Schmitt et al. 2016). It is increasingly evident that TADs may be functional units of the genome, involving biological processes such as transcriptional co-regulation, DNA replication and V(D)J recombination (Nora et al. 2012; Lucas et al. 2014; Pope et al. 2014; Symmons et al. 2014; Hu et al. 2015; Dekker and Mirny 2016; Dixon et al. 2016). Disruption of TADs can cause impaired development and/or diseases (Groschel et al. 2014; Lupianez et al. 2015; Flavahan et al. 2016; Franke et al. 2016).

The formation and maintenance of higher-order chromatin structure require architectural proteins including the CCCTC-binding factor (CTCF) and cohesin (Gomez-Diaz and Corces

2014). The binding of CTCF is enriched at the boundary of TADs in a convergent manner (Dixon et al. 2012; Rao et al. 2014; Vietri Rudan et al. 2015). Deletion or inversion of CTCF binding sites disrupts the topology of chromatin loops (Guo et al. 2015; Narendra et al. 2015), and an extrusion model was proposed to explain the mechanism for loop formation mediated by CTCF and cohesin (Sanborn et al. 2015; Fudenberg et al. 2016). Furthermore, loss-of-function studies indicated that the depletion of CTCF or cohesin led to alterations of intra- and inter-TAD chromatin interactions (Seitan et al. 2013; Sofueva et al. 2013; Zuin et al. 2014). Other proteins such as ZNF143, SMARCA4 and RUNX1 are also found to play roles in the regulation of chromatin interactions (Heidari et al. 2014; Bailey et al. 2015; Barutcu et al. 2016a; Barutcu et al. 2016b). However, the molecular machinery responsible for global and hierarchical chromatin organization remains poorly understood.

The Nuclear Matrix (NM) consists of inner NM and peripheral NM, and the latter includes nuclear lamina and nuclear pore complexes (Linnemann and Krawetz 2009). It has long been speculated that the NM provides structural frameworks for topologically confined chromatin domains (Getzenberg et al. 1991), but the roles of the inner NM in global chromatin architecture such as compartments and TADs have not been investigated systematically. As one of the major NM-associated proteins, HNRNPU (also known as SAF-A) was recognized as an attachment factor linking specific DNA elements, scaffold/matrix attachment regions (S/MAR), to the NM (Gohring and Fackelmayer 1997). Purified HNRNPU proteins can bind DNA to form higher-ordered nucleic-acid-protein complexes *in vitro* (Fackelmayer et al. 1994). Moreover, HNRNPU is required for the localization of *Xist* RNA (Hasegawa et al. 2010), the

key regulator of X chromosome inactivation. Therefore, we hypothesized that HNRNPU could play a general role in regulating global 3D genome organization.

RESULTS

HNRNPU is required for global nuclear organization

To test roles of HNRNPU in nuclear organization, we knocked down *Hnrnpu* gene expression by RNA interference (RNAi) with two independent short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) in AML12 cells (Fig. 1A), a mouse hepatocyte cell line in which the nuclear architecture is well preserved (McDonald et al. 2011; Fu et al. 2015). Based on immunofluorescence analysis, we observed strong signal reductions of the nucleolus marker C23, and significant increase of heterochromatin foci numbers (marked by H3K9me3) in HNRNPU depleted cells (Fig. 1B,C). Importantly, these phenotypes can be rescued by re-expression of shRNA (shU-1)-resistant *Hnrnpu* cDNA, ruled out potential off-target effects of the RNAi experiments. Total protein levels of C23 and H3K9me3 remain unchanged (Fig. 1D), suggesting a global re-organization of chromatin organization with HNRNPU depletion. Moreover, neither apparent changes of cell cycle nor signatures of cellular senescence were detected in HNRNPU depleted cells (Supplemental Fig. S1), indicating that these alterations of nuclear organization are not due to biased cell cycle or senescence.

We then conducted DNase I digestion experiments to measure global chromatin structure. Intriguingly, HNRNPU depleted cells display lower chromatin accessibility in mouse hepatocytes (AML12) (Fig. 1E) and human liver carcinoma cells (HepG2) (Fig. 1F). These data suggest an evolutionarily conserved role of HNRNPU in maintaining global chromatin

structure.

Subsequently, we applied genomic approaches to decipher functional roles of HNRNPU genome-widely. As phenotypes resulted from the knockdown by shRNA1 (shU-1) can be rescued via the re-expression of shU-1 resistant *Hnrnpu* cDNA (Fig. 1B,C), we only used shU-1 for further high-throughput assays (labeled as “shU”). For genome-wide experiments, we conducted two replicates using AML12 cells treated with shU-1 containing lentivirus separately.

Disruption of HNRNPU alters gene expression and increases chromatin-lamina interactions

To reveal biological consequences of HNRNPU depletion, we examined gene expression by RNA-seq. We observed significant expression changes on 639 genes upon HNRNPU depletion. Among them, 500 (78%) genes were down-regulated and 139 genes (22%) were up-regulated (Supplemental Table S1 and Supplemental Fig. S2A,B). Gene ontology (GO) analysis shows that up-regulated genes are enriched in biological processes including cell adhesion and cell proliferation, and down-regulated genes associate with immune process, oxidation-reduction process and triglyceride metabolic process (Supplemental Fig. S2C).

To investigate spatial organization of the genome with HNRNPU depletion, we mapped chromatin-lamina interactions by DNA Adenine Methyltransferase Identification (DamID) assay with lamin B1-Dam fusion proteins. Surprisingly, the data show that chromatin-lamina interactions are substantially remodeled upon HNRNPU depletion (Fig. 2A,B), and the genome coverage of lamina-associated chromatin domains (LADs) (Guelen et al. 2008) is increased

from 53.1% to 68.6% (Supplemental Table S2 and Fig. 2C). Importantly, the gain of LADs is significantly associated with the reduction of gene expression (Fig. 2D). Consistently, the electron microscope (EM) imaging displays a global re-localization of chromatin towards the nuclear membrane in HNRNPU depleted cells (Fig. 2E). Thus, HNRNPU depletion results in spatial changes of chromatin towards the nuclear periphery, which associates with gene expression down-regulation.

HNRNPU regulates chromatin compartments

To investigate the impact of HNRNPU on chromatin interactions, we generated high-resolution interaction maps by the *in situ* Hi-C assay (Rao et al. 2014). We conducted two replicates of Hi-C experiments, and the results are highly consistent (Supplemental Fig. S3). Hi-C data provide information about multi-scale chromatin interactions including compartments, TADs and chromatin loops, and we first examined compartments. While contact maps of control and HNRNPU depleted cells are similar at the chromosome level (Fig. 3A), interactions among compartments are changed globally (Fig. 3B). We applied different statistical methods to detect these differences and obtained similar results (Supplemental Fig. S4).

To take a closer look at compartment organization, we examined inter- and intra-compartment interactions. Consistent with the results of Fig. 3B, inter-compartment interactions between A and B increase significantly, but those among the same type of compartments (A vs. A; B vs. B) decrease significantly (Fig. 3C). Further, we compared changes in inter-compartment interactions along distances. Interactions among the same type of compartments become significantly decreased if distances are greater than 2 Mb. However,

interactions between A and B compartments decrease significantly in all distance ranges (Supplemental Fig. S5). Moreover, intra-compartment interactions significantly increase in A compartments but decrease in B compartments (Fig. 3D). Of note, results obtained from replicates (rep1 and rep2) are almost identical, exhibiting high repeatability of our Hi-C experiments and analysis. These data suggested that the depletion of HNRNPU remodels long-range interactions at the compartment level.

We next examined compartment switching with *Hnrnpu* knockdown. The numbers of switched bins (200 kb each) in two independent knockdown experiments are 1,094 (8.5%) and 1,099 (8.6%) , but those between two controls and two knockdown samples are only 113 (0.9%) and 182 (1.4%), respectively (Supplemental Fig. S6A). The percentages of compartment switching upon HNRNPU depletion are significantly higher than those between replicates in the same condition (generalized linear model with a binomial outcome, $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). Additionally, switched compartments are highly consistent between the two replicates: A to B, 88% overlapping; B to A, 84% overlapping (Supplemental Fig. S6B). By considering consistent results from replicates only, percentages of the switched region are 4.9% for A to B, and 2.6% for B to A (Supplemental Table S3 and Fig. 3E). There are 890 genes in compartments switched from A to B, and 747 genes in those shifted from B to A. The gene density of these switched compartments is between those of unchanged A and B compartments (Supplemental Fig. S6C).

Furthermore, the A-to-B compartment switching coincides with increases of chromatin-lamina interaction and decreases of gene expression, but B-to-A compartment

switching displays opposite trends (Fig. 3F,G). The down-regulated genes paralleled with A-to-B switching are significantly enriched in GO terms related to liver functions such as P450 pathway and lipid transport (Supplemental Fig. S6D,E), suggesting a loss of cell identity resulted from changes of chromatin compartments.

HNRNPU regulates TAD boundaries and interactions

We next examined chromatin interactions at the TAD level. We calculated TAD boundaries using two replicates separately. The overlap between replicates in the same condition is higher than 95% (Supplemental Fig. S7A,B). By considering only consistent data between replicates, we identified 2,295 and 2,263 TAD boundaries in the control and HNRNPU knockdown samples, respectively, and 2,027 boundaries are shared between two conditions (Supplemental Fig. S7C and Supplementary Table S4). The median sizes of TADs are 920 kb in both conditions (Supplemental Fig. S7D). As visualized by the Hi-C contact map of a 10-Mb region on the mouse chromosome 19, TAD patterns are largely invariant between the control and knockdown cells. However, finer chromatin contacts and insulation scores of TAD boundaries are changed (Fig. 4A).

To further investigate TAD interactions, we used K-means clustering to divide TAD boundaries into three categories: within compartment A, within compartment B and between A and B (Fig. 4B). We then plotted insulation profiles centered by TAD boundaries, and observed different patterns: 1) within compartment A (or B), the knockdown higher (or lower) than the control in the boundary and both sides; 2) between A and B, the knockdown higher in the boundary and the A-side, but lower in the B-side (Fig. 4C). Consistently, both intra- and

inter-TAD interactions are significantly increased (or decreased) within A (or B) compartments, and inter-TAD interactions at the A/B boundaries are significantly increased (Fig. 4D).

Moreover, strengths are significantly decreased in TAD boundaries between compartment A and B ($P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$, ANOVA) and in those within B compartments ($P = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$) (Supplemental Fig. S7E), and the most substantial decreases of intensity are detected at the A/B boundaries (Fig. 4E). Consistently, 930 (45.9%) of TAD boundaries decrease upon HNRNPU depletion, and 622 (67%) of them are located at the edges of A and B compartments (Fig. 4F).

Thus, our data indicate that HNRNPU regulates TAD boundaries and TAD interactions in a compartment-specific manner, and the TAD boundaries at the borders of A and B compartments display the most considerable changes upon HNRNPU depletion.

HNRNPU regulates chromatin loops

To reveal roles of HNRNPU in 3D chromatin organization at finer scales, we investigated changes of chromatin loops. We first merged all contacts from control and knockdown samples for a more accurate loop detection, and identified in total 7,994 chromatin loops (Supplemental Table S5). The overall strengths of chromatin loops are reduced in the HNRNPU depleted cells as shown by the aggregate signal from all loops (Fig. 5A). To test the statistical significance of loop changes upon HNRNPU depletion, we compared the intensity of loops (peaks) and “fold changes” of peaks relative to four neighboring regions (Fig. 5B), as proposed previously (Rao et al. 2014). In the HNRNPU depleted cells, the intensity of peaks (Figure 5C) and their relative ratios to adjacent regions (Fig. 5D) are all significantly reduced. Then, we defined differential

loops only if all the five values (peak intensity and ratios relative to four neighboring regions) change in the same direction. In total, we identified 4,651 (58.2%) decreased and 680 (8.5%) increased loops upon HNRNPU knockdown (Fig. 5E). Two representative regions showing decreased chromatin loops are provided in Fig. 5F.

HNRNPU associates with CTCF and RAD21

Next, we explored how HNRNPU affects chromatin organization. High-throughput mass spectrometry data suggested that HNRNPU might interact with CTCF (Hutchins et al. 2010). Our immunofluorescence data indicated that both CTCF and RAD21, a component of the cohesin complex, are partially co-localized with HNRNPU in the nucleus (Fig. 6A). Co-immunoprecipitation experiments further validated that HNRNPU, CTCF, and RAD21 could be associated (Fig. 6B).

Then, we mapped genome-wide chromatin binding of CTCF, RAD21, and HNRNPU in AML12 cells (Fig. 6C, Supplemental Table S6, S7). For CTCF and RAD21, we used regular ChIP-seq; for HNRNPU, we applied bioChIP-seq, which is relied on *in vivo* biotinylation of interested proteins by the BirA enzyme (He and Pu 2010). Our HNRNPU bioChIP-seq experiments were carefully controlled by examining expression and localization of tagged HNRNPU proteins (Supplemental Fig. S8). By comparing with the BirA-only control, we identified 5,285 HNRNPU peaks. Strikingly, more than 80% of HNRNPU peaks overlap with CTCF or RAD21, and 59.6% of HNRNPU peaks are overlapped with both (Fig. 6D), further supporting the interaction among these three proteins. However, we still cannot determine whether the interaction is direct or indirect.

Furthermore, HNRNPU peaks are enriched at TAD boundaries (Supplemental Fig. S9A), and intensities of HNRNPU-associated boundaries are significantly higher than those not associated with HNRNPU (Supplemental Fig. S9B). Interestingly, upon HNRNPU depletion, only boundaries bound by CTCF and RAD21 are significantly decreased on their strengths (Supplemental Fig. S9C).

To test whether HNRNPU affects the binding of CTCF and RAD21 on chromatin, we compared ChIP-seq data from control and knockdown cells (Supplemental Fig. S10A,B). While CTCF binding is almost unchanged, 3,816 (9.8%) RAD21 peaks are significantly reduced on their binding strengths (Supplemental Fig. S10C,D). These decreased RAD21 peaks are highly associated with CTCF binding (Supplemental Fig. S10E). Concordantly, chromatin loops associated with decreased RAD21 binding are significantly weaker than those associated with invariant RAD21 peaks (Supplemental Fig. S10F,G), but proteins levels of CTCF and RAD21 remain unchanged upon HNRNPU depletion (Supplemental Fig. S10H).

HNRNPU associates with active chromatin

Finally, we examined the distribution and strength of HNRNPU binding relative to genes. Surprisingly, approximately 60% of HNRNPU peaks locate at promoters (Fig. 6E). Further, binding strengths of HNRNPU near transcription start sites (TSSs) are positively correlative with levels of gene expression (Fig. 6F). Consistently, 90% of HNRNPU peaks are within compartment A or non-LADs (Fig. 6G). Therefore, our data indicated that HNRNPU mainly associates with active chromatin.

DISCUSSION

In conclusion, using mouse hepatocyte as the model, we demonstrate that HNRNPU functions as a major regulator of 3D genome , regulating multiple levels of chromatin architectures including LADs, compartments, TADs and chromatin loops, partially through cooperating with CTCF and RAD21.

Although the concept of NM has been disputed for decades (Pederson 2000; Nickerson 2001), the NM remains being hypothesized as platforms for 3D genome organization (Razin et al. 2014). Besides the HNRNPU data presented here, topoisomerase II beta, another NM associated protein, also associates with cohesin and CTCF at TAD boundaries (Uuskula-Reimand et al. 2016), suggesting general roles of NM and/or its associated proteins in 3D genome organization. As a potential mediator connecting chromatin and NM, HNRNPU mainly associates with A compartments as indicated in Fig. 6G. HNRNPU may maintain proper chromatin architecture by “stabilizing” chromatin to the inner NM. The depletion of HNRNPU may decrease the constraint on chromatin, therefore remodeling chromatin-chromatin and chromatin-lamina interactions (Supplemental Fig. S11).

During the review process of our manuscript, Nick Gilbert and colleagues reported that, primarily based on FISH, biochemistry, and mutagenesis, HNRNPU / SAF-A is involved in decompaction of chromatin via oligomerization with chromatin-associated RNAs (caRNAs), mainly in gene-rich regions (Nozawa et al. 2017). In the current study, we showed that HNRNPU depletion leads to global chromatin condensation, an increase of LADs from 53.1% to 68.6% of the genome, compartment switching on 7.5% of the genome, significantly decrease

of TAD boundary strengths and chromatin loop intensities. Therefore, these two studies are highly complemented, demonstrating important roles of HNRNPU in the higher-order chromatin organization.

Furthermore, the Gilbert study demonstrated that the regulatory role of HNRNPU on chromatin depends on transcription and caRNAs (Nozawa et al. 2017). Our study indicated that HNRNPU strongly associates with active genes, and with CTCF and RAD21 bound regions. These observations suggested that caRNAs could involve in the organization of higher-order chromatin via cooperating with RNA binding proteins (such as HNRNPU), structural proteins (such as CTCF and cohesin), and the transcription machinery presumably.

Additionally, as a protein binding most kinds of RNAs (Xiao et al. 2012), HNRNPU also interacts with long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) such as *Xist* (Chu et al. 2015; McHugh et al. 2015) and *Firre* (Hacisuleyman et al. 2014), both of which are known regulator of chromatin interactions at specific regions. Other lncRNAs including *LincGET* and *Blen1* are also found to be interacting with HNRNPU (Wang et al. 2016a; Mi et al. 2017). These studies suggest potential lncRNA-dependent roles of HNRNPU in genome organization of specific loci, which awaits further investigations.

Our data indicated that HNRNPU affects the strengths of more than 40% TAD boundaries. Additionally, TAD boundaries are enriched for the binding of HNRNPU and other known 3D genome regulators including CTCF, RAD21, and SMARCA4 (Dixon et al. 2012; Sofueva et al. 2013; Barutcu et al. 2016b). Our study further indicated that HNRNPU proteins interact with CTCF and RAD21. Thus, these “boundary factors” may form macro-complexes, directly or

indirectly, to segregate TADs. Further studies are needed to identify components and functional roles of these potential macro-complexes, and to reveal their dynamics and dysfunction in development and diseases.

The HNRNPU deficient mice displayed phenotypes of post-implantation lethality (Roshon and Ruley 2005) and impaired heart development as demonstrated by conditional knockout (Ye et al. 2015). Humans *de novo* mutations or microdeletions of *Hnrnpu* gene are associated with brain disorders (Epi et al. 2013; Gupta et al. 2014). The experimental observations in both humans and mice suggest that HNRNPU could regulate genome organizations *in vivo* in development and diseases.

METHODS

RNAi and rescue

For RNAi experiments, shRNA plasmids were constructed by cloning the target sequences to the pLKO.1-puro vector: AGATCATGGCCGAGGGTATTT (mouse-shU-1), TGCCCGTAAGAAGCGAAATTT (mouse-shU-2), AGATCATGGCCG-TGGATATTT (human-shU-1), GACTCTGTACTGCTCATATTA (human-shU-2) and ACTCGACACTATAGTATCTCA (negative control). For rescue experiments, mouse *Hnrnpu* cDNA was mutated for the targets of shU-1. AML12 cells were treated with shRNAs or expression vectors by lentivirus-based systems for five days.

Western blotting

The cell lysates were blotted against primary antibodies as follows: anti- β actin (AOGMA, AGM11086), anti-H3 (Abcam, ab1791), anti-H3K9me3 (ABclonal, A2360), anti-C23

(Proteintech, 10556-1-AP), anti-HNRNPU (Abcam, ab20666) and anti-RAD21 (Abcam, ab992). The blots were visualized with peroxidase-coupled secondary antibodies.

Immunofluorescence

Immunofluorescence was conducted with same antibodies used for western blots except for HNRNPU (Abcam, ab10297). The slides were imaged with a confocal laser scanning microscopy (Leica, SP5).

Electron microscopy imaging

Cells were fixed with 2.5% of glutaraldehyde and further processed and imaged by the EM facility at Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, SIBCB.

DamID

DamID experiments were performed as described (Guelen et al. 2008). Two biological replicates were conducted for the control and *Hnrnpu* knockdown AML-12 cells. Microarray data were analyzed as previously described (Fu et al. 2015).

***In situ* Hi-C**

The *in situ* Hi-C libraries were prepared as previously described (Rao et al. 2014). Two biological replicates were performed for both control and HNRNPU depleted AML12 cells. The libraries were then sequenced via the Illumina HiSeq X Ten system. Detailed information about Hi-C data analysis is provided in the Supplemental Methods.

ChIP-seq and bioChIP-seq

ChIP experiments were performed as previously described (Wen et al. 2008) with antibodies against CTCF or RAD21. For HNRNPU, we applied bioChIP experiments as

previously described (He and Pu 2010; Roux et al. 2012) with some modifications. Detailed information of bioChIP experiments and ChIP-seq data analysis are provided in the Supplemental Methods.

DATA ACCESS

The raw and processed DamID, RNA-seq, ChIP-seq and Hi-C datasets from this study have been submitted to the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/>) under the accession number GSE95116.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank members of the Wen lab for their supports and suggestions, X. Zhao for assistance with ChIP-seq and W. Qiu for assistance with Hi-C library preparation. This study was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (2015CB943000 to B.W.) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31371296 to B.W.).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

H.F. and B.W. conceived and designed this study. H.F. performed most of the experiments except for DamID assay (by X.H.), Hi-C library preparation (Q.W.), Co-IP (J.W.) and DamID microarray experiments (L.Z. and F.Z.). P.L. analyzed the data, with the guidance of H.W., Y.L. and X.Z. L. C., and Q.T. provided some experimental supports. B.W., H.F. and L.P. prepared the manuscript with input from all authors.

DISCLOSURE DECLARATION

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

REFERENCES

- Bailey SD, Zhang X, Desai K, Aid M, Corradin O, Cowper-Sal Lari R, Akhtar-Zaidi B, Scacheri PC, Haibe-Kains B, Lupien M. 2015. ZNF143 provides sequence specificity to secure chromatin interactions at gene promoters. *Nat Commun* **2**: 6186.
- Barutcu AR, Hong D, Lajoie BR, McCord RP, van Wijnen AJ, Lian JB, Stein JL, Dekker J, Imbalzano AN, Stein GS. 2016a. RUNX1 contributes to higher-order chromatin organization and gene regulation in breast cancer cells. *Biochimica et biophysica acta* **1859**: 1389-1397.
- Barutcu AR, Lajoie BR, Fritz AJ, McCord RP, Nickerson JA, van Wijnen AJ, Lian JB, Stein JL, Dekker J, Stein GS et al. 2016b. SMARCA4 regulates gene expression and higher-order chromatin structure in proliferating mammary epithelial cells. *Genome research* **26**: 1188-1201.
- Bickmore WA. 2013. The spatial organization of the human genome. *Annual review of genomics and human genetics* **14**: 67-84.
- Chu C, Zhang QC, da Rocha ST, Flynn RA, Bharadwaj M, Calabrese JM, Magnuson T, Heard E, Chang HY. 2015. Systematic discovery of Xist RNA binding proteins. *Cell* **161**: 404-416.
- Cremer T, Cremer M. 2010. Chromosome territories. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology* **2**: a003889.
- Dekker J, Mirny L. 2016. The 3D Genome as Moderator of Chromosomal Communication. *Cell* **164**: 1110-1121.
- Dekker J, Misteli T. 2015. Long-Range Chromatin Interactions. *Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology* **7**: a019356.
- Dixon JR, Gorkin DU, Ren B. 2016. Chromatin Domains: The Unit of Chromosome Organization. *Molecular cell* **62**: 668-680.
- Dixon JR, Jung I, Selvaraj S, Shen Y, Antosiewicz-Bourget JE, Lee AY, Ye Z, Kim A, Rajagopal N, Xie W et al. 2015. Chromatin architecture reorganization during stem cell differentiation. *Nature* **518**: 331-336.
- Dixon JR, Selvaraj S, Yue F, Kim A, Li Y, Shen Y, Hu M, Liu JS, Ren B. 2012. Topological domains in mammalian genomes identified by analysis of chromatin interactions. *Nature* **485**: 376-380.
- Epi KC, Epilepsy Phenome/Genome P, Allen AS, Berkovic SF, Cossette P, Delanty N, Dlugos D, Eichler EE, Epstein MP, Glauser T et al. 2013. De novo mutations in epileptic encephalopathies. *Nature* **501**: 217-221.
- Fackelmayer FO, Dahm K, Renz A, Ramsperger U, Richter A. 1994. Nucleic-acid-binding properties of hnRNP-U/SAF-A, a nuclear-matrix protein which binds DNA and RNA in vivo and in vitro. *European journal of biochemistry* **221**: 749-757.
- Flavahan WA, Drier Y, Liao BB, Gillespie SM, Venteicher AS, Stemmer-Rachamimov AO,

- Suva ML, Bernstein BE. 2016. Insulator dysfunction and oncogene activation in IDH mutant gliomas. *Nature* **529**: 110-114.
- Franke M, Ibrahim DM, Andrey G, Schwarzer W, Heinrich V, Schopflin R, Kraft K, Kempfer R, Jerkovic I, Chan WL et al. 2016. Formation of new chromatin domains determines pathogenicity of genomic duplications. *Nature* **538**: 265-269.
- Fu Y, Lv P, Yan G, Fan H, Cheng L, Zhang F, Dang Y, Wu H, Wen B. 2015. MacroH2A1 associates with nuclear lamina and maintains chromatin architecture in mouse liver cells. *Scientific reports* **5**: 17186.
- Fudenberg G, Imakaev M, Lu C, Goloborodko A, Abdennur N, Mirny LA. 2016. Formation of Chromosomal Domains by Loop Extrusion. *Cell reports* **15**: 2038-2049.
- Getzenberg RH, Pienta KJ, Ward WS, Coffey DS. 1991. Nuclear structure and the three-dimensional organization of DNA. *Journal of cellular biochemistry* **47**: 289-299.
- Ghirlando R, Felsenfeld G. 2016. CTCF: making the right connections. *Genes & development* **30**: 881-891.
- Gohring F, Fackelmayer FO. 1997. The scaffold/matrix attachment region binding protein hnRNP-U (SAF-A) is directly bound to chromosomal DNA in vivo: a chemical cross-linking study. *Biochemistry* **36**: 8276-8283.
- Gomez-Diaz E, Corces VG. 2014. Architectural proteins: regulators of 3D genome organization in cell fate. *Trends in cell biology* **24**: 703-711.
- Groschel S, Sanders MA, Hoogenboezem R, de Wit E, Bouwman BA, Erpelinck C, van der Velden VH, Havermans M, Avellino R, van Lom K et al. 2014. A single oncogenic enhancer rearrangement causes concomitant EVI1 and GATA2 deregulation in leukemia. *Cell* **157**: 369-381.
- Guelen L, Pagie L, Brasset E, Meuleman W, Faza MB, Talhout W, Eussen BH, de Klein A, Wessels L, de Laat W et al. 2008. Domain organization of human chromosomes revealed by mapping of nuclear lamina interactions. *Nature* **453**: 948-951.
- Guo Y, Xu Q, Canzio D, Shou J, Li J, Gorkin DU, Jung I, Wu H, Zhai Y, Tang Y et al. 2015. CRISPR Inversion of CTCF Sites Alters Genome Topology and Enhancer/Promoter Function. *Cell* **162**: 900-910.
- Gupta R, Agarwal M, Boqqula VR, Phadke RV, Phadke SR. 2014. Hemiconvulsion-hemiplegia-epilepsy syndrome with 1q44 microdeletion: causal or chance association. *American journal of medical genetics Part A* **164A**: 186-189.
- Hacisuleyman E, Goff LA, Trapnell C, Williams A, Henao-Mejia J, Sun L, McClanahan P, Hendrickson DG, Sauvageau M, Kelley DR et al. 2014. Topological organization of multichromosomal regions by the long intergenic noncoding RNA Firre. *Nature structural & molecular biology* **21**: 198-206.
- Hasegawa Y, Brockdorff N, Kawano S, Tsutui K, Tsutui K, Nakagawa S. 2010. The matrix protein hnRNP U is required for chromosomal localization of Xist RNA. *Developmental cell* **19**: 469-476.
- He A, Pu WT. 2010. Genome-wide location analysis by pull down of in vivo biotinylated transcription factors. *Curr Protoc Mol Biol* **Chapter 21**: Unit 21 20.
- Heidari N, Phanstiel DH, He C, Grubert F, Jahanbani F, Kasowski M, Zhang MQ, Snyder MP.

2014. Genome-wide map of regulatory interactions in the human genome. *Genome research* **24**: 1905-1917.
- Hnisz D, Day DS, Young RA. 2016. Insulated Neighborhoods: Structural and Functional Units of Mammalian Gene Control. *Cell* **167**: 1188-1200.
- Hu J, Zhang Y, Zhao L, Frock RL, Du Z, Meyers RM, Meng FL, Schatz DG, Alt FW. 2015. Chromosomal Loop Domains Direct the Recombination of Antigen Receptor Genes. *Cell* **163**: 947-959.
- Hutchins JR, Toyoda Y, Hegemann B, Poser I, Heriche JK, Sykora MM, Augsburg M, Hudecz O, Buschhorn BA, Bulkescher J et al. 2010. Systematic analysis of human protein complexes identifies chromosome segregation proteins. *Science* **328**: 593-599.
- Lieberman-Aiden E, van Berkum NL, Williams L, Imakaev M, Ragoczy T, Telling A, Amit I, Lajoie BR, Sabo PJ, Dorschner MO et al. 2009. Comprehensive mapping of long-range interactions reveals folding principles of the human genome. *Science* **326**: 289-293.
- Linnemann AK, Krawetz SA. 2009. Maintenance of a functional higher order chromatin structure: The role of the nuclear matrix in normal and disease states. *Gene Ther Mol Biol* **13**: 231-243.
- Lucas JS, Zhang Y, Dudko OK, Murre C. 2014. 3D trajectories adopted by coding and regulatory DNA elements: first-passage times for genomic interactions. *Cell* **158**: 339-352.
- Lupianez DG, Kraft K, Heinrich V, Krawitz P, Brancati F, Klopocki E, Horn D, Kayserili H, Opitz JM, Laxova R et al. 2015. Disruptions of topological chromatin domains cause pathogenic rewiring of gene-enhancer interactions. *Cell* **161**: 1012-1025.
- McDonald OG, Wu H, Timp W, Doi A, Feinberg AP. 2011. Genome-scale epigenetic reprogramming during epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. *Nature structural & molecular biology* **18**: 867-874.
- McHugh CA, Chen CK, Chow A, Surka CF, Tran C, McDonel P, Pandya-Jones A, Blanco M, Burghard C, Moradian A et al. 2015. The Xist lncRNA interacts directly with SHARP to silence transcription through HDAC3. *Nature* **521**: 232-236.
- Mi L, Zhao XY, Li S, Yang G, Lin JD. 2017. Conserved function of the long noncoding RNA Blnc1 in brown adipocyte differentiation. *Mol Metab* **6**: 101-110.
- Narendra V, Rocha PP, An D, Raviram R, Skok JA, Mazzoni EO, Reinberg D. 2015. CTCF establishes discrete functional chromatin domains at the Hox clusters during differentiation. *Science* **347**: 1017-1021.
- Nickerson J. 2001. Experimental observations of a nuclear matrix. *Journal of cell science* **114**: 463-474.
- Nora EP, Lajoie BR, Schulz EG, Giorgetti L, Okamoto I, Servant N, Piolot T, van Berkum NL, Meisig J, Sedat J et al. 2012. Spatial partitioning of the regulatory landscape of the X-inactivation centre. *Nature* **485**: 381-385.
- Nozawa RS, Boteva L, Soares DC, Naughton C, Dun AR, Buckle A, Ramsahoye B, Bruton PC, Saleeb RS, Arnedo M et al. 2017. SAF-A Regulates Interphase Chromosome Structure through Oligomerization with Chromatin-Associated RNAs. *Cell* **169**: 1214-1227 e1218.

- Pederson T. 2000. Half a century of "the nuclear matrix". *Molecular biology of the cell* **11**: 799-805.
- Pope BD, Ryba T, Dileep V, Yue F, Wu W, Denas O, Vera DL, Wang Y, Hansen RS, Canfield TK et al. 2014. Topologically associating domains are stable units of replication-timing regulation. *Nature* **515**: 402-405.
- Rao SS, Huntley MH, Durand NC, Stamenova EK, Bochkov ID, Robinson JT, Sanborn AL, Machol I, Omer AD, Lander ES et al. 2014. A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles of chromatin looping. *Cell* **159**: 1665-1680.
- Razin SV, Iarovaia OV, Vassetzky YS. 2014. A requiem to the nuclear matrix: from a controversial concept to 3D organization of the nucleus. *Chromosoma* **123**: 217-224.
- Roshon MJ, Ruley HE. 2005. Hypomorphic mutation in hnRNP U results in post-implantation lethality. *Transgenic research* **14**: 179-192.
- Roux KJ, Kim DI, Raida M, Burke B. 2012. A promiscuous biotin ligase fusion protein identifies proximal and interacting proteins in mammalian cells. *The Journal of cell biology* **196**: 801-810.
- Rowley MJ, Corces VG. 2016. The three-dimensional genome: principles and roles of long-distance interactions. *Current opinion in cell biology* **40**: 8-14.
- Sanborn AL, Rao SS, Huang SC, Durand NC, Huntley MH, Jewett AI, Bochkov ID, Chinnappan D, Cutkosky A, Li J et al. 2015. Chromatin extrusion explains key features of loop and domain formation in wild-type and engineered genomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **112**: E6456-6465.
- Schmitt AD, Hu M, Jung I, Xu Z, Qiu Y, Tan CL, Li Y, Lin S, Lin Y, Barr CL et al. 2016. A Compendium of Chromatin Contact Maps Reveals Spatially Active Regions in the Human Genome. *Cell reports* **17**: 2042-2059.
- Seitan VC, Faure AJ, Zhan Y, McCord RP, Lajoie BR, Ing-Simmons E, Lenhard B, Giorgetti L, Heard E, Fisher AG et al. 2013. Cohesin-based chromatin interactions enable regulated gene expression within preexisting architectural compartments. *Genome research* **23**: 2066-2077.
- Sexton T, Yaffe E, Kenigsberg E, Bantignies F, Leblanc B, Hoichman M, Parrinello H, Tanay A, Cavalli G. 2012. Three-dimensional folding and functional organization principles of the Drosophila genome. *Cell* **148**: 458-472.
- Sofueva S, Yaffe E, Chan WC, Georgopoulou D, Vietri Rudan M, Mira-Bontenbal H, Pollard SM, Schroth GP, Tanay A, Hadjur S. 2013. Cohesin-mediated interactions organize chromosomal domain architecture. *The EMBO journal* **32**: 3119-3129.
- Stevens TJ, Lando D, Basu S, Atkinson LP, Cao Y, Lee SF, Leeb M, Wohlfahrt KJ, Boucher W, O'Shaughnessy-Kirwan A et al. 2017. 3D structures of individual mammalian genomes studied by single-cell Hi-C. *Nature* **544**: 59-64.
- Symmons O, Uslu VV, Tsujimura T, Ruf S, Nassari S, Schwarzer W, Ettwiller L, Spitz F. 2014. Functional and topological characteristics of mammalian regulatory domains. *Genome research* **24**: 390-400.
- Tang Z, Luo OJ, Li X, Zheng M, Zhu JJ, Szalaj P, Trzaskoma P, Magalska A, Wlodarczyk J, Rusczycki B et al. 2015. CTCF-Mediated Human 3D Genome Architecture Reveals

- Chromatin Topology for Transcription. *Cell* **163**: 1611-1627.
- Uuskula-Reimand L, Hou H, Samavarchi-Tehrani P, Rudan MV, Liang M, Medina-Rivera A, Mohammed H, Schmidt D, Schwalie P, Young EJ et al. 2016. Topoisomerase II beta interacts with cohesin and CTCF at topological domain borders. *Genome biology* **17**: 182.
- Vietri Rudan M, Barrington C, Henderson S, Ernst C, Odom DT, Tanay A, Hadjur S. 2015. Comparative Hi-C reveals that CTCF underlies evolution of chromosomal domain architecture. *Cell reports* **10**: 1297-1309.
- Wang J, Li X, Wang L, Li J, Zhao Y, Bou G, Li Y, Jiao G, Shen X, Wei R et al. 2016a. A novel long intergenic noncoding RNA indispensable for the cleavage of mouse two-cell embryos. *EMBO Rep* **17**: 1452-1470.
- Wang S, Su JH, Beliveau BJ, Bintu B, Moffitt JR, Wu CT, Zhuang X. 2016b. Spatial organization of chromatin domains and compartments in single chromosomes. *Science* **353**: 598-602.
- Wen B, Wu H, Bjornsson H, Green RD, Irizarry R, Feinberg AP. 2008. Overlapping euchromatin/heterochromatin-associated marks are enriched in imprinted gene regions and predict allele-specific modification. *Genome research* **18**: 1806-1813.
- Xiao R, Tang P, Yang B, Huang J, Zhou Y, Shao C, Li H, Sun H, Zhang Y, Fu XD. 2012. Nuclear matrix factor hnRNP U/SAF-A exerts a global control of alternative splicing by regulating U2 snRNP maturation. *Molecular cell* **45**: 656-668.
- Ye J, Beetz N, O'Keefe S, Tapia JC, Macpherson L, Chen WV, Bassel-Duby R, Olson EN, Maniatis T. 2015. hnRNP U protein is required for normal pre-mRNA splicing and postnatal heart development and function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **112**: E3020-3029.
- Zuin J, Dixon JR, van der Reijden MI, Ye Z, Kolovos P, Brouwer RW, van de Corput MP, van de Werken HJ, Knoch TA, van IWF et al. 2014. Cohesin and CTCF differentially affect chromatin architecture and gene expression in human cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **111**: 996-1001.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. HNRNPU is required to maintain nuclear architecture. (A) Relative expression of *Hnrnpu* (against *Actb*) in the control (shCtrl) and *Hnrnpu* knockdown (shU-1 and shU-2) as detected by qRT-PCR. The error bars denote SD (n = 3). *** $P < 0.001$, t-test. (B) Immunofluorescence analyses of C23, H3K9me3, and HNRNPU. The scale bar represents 10 μm . (C) Quantification of heterochromatin foci (H3K9me3) in response to *Hnrnpu* knockdown

and rescuing. In total, 87 to 90 cells were analyzed for H3K9me3. *** $P < 0.001$, Wilcoxon rank-sum test. (D) Western blot analysis with antibodies against specified proteins, Actin and H3 as loading controls. (E, F) Global chromatin structure as detected by DNase I digestion in AML12 (E) and HepG2 (F) cells. Left: gel images of genomic DNA digested by DNase I at different concentrations. Right: percentages of high molecular weight (MW) genomic DNA (> 8 kb) determined by Image J software. Error bars indicate SD (n = 3).

Figure 2. Depletion of HNRNPU increases lamina-chromatin association. (A) Smoothed Lamin B1 DamID signals show different distributions in the control (shCtrl) and *Hnrnpu* knockdown (shU). (B) Lamin B1 (LB1) DamID signal tracks across one 8.2 Mb region on chromosome 15. Black bars represent locations of LADs, and regions changed from non-LADs to LADs were highlighted in light blue. (C) Detailed changes of LADs with *Hnrnpu* knockdown. (D) Box plots showing gene expression changes in regions gained or lost of LADs. P values were obtained by Wilcoxon rank-sum test. (E) Electron microscopy analysis of AML12 cells treated with different shRNAs. The scale bar represents 2 μ m.

Figure 3. HNRNPU regulates chromatin compartment organization. (A) Hi-C contact maps of the chromosome 19 in shCtrl (left) and shU (right) treated cells at 200 kb resolution. Compartments A (red) and B (blue) are shown by PC1 eigenvectors. (B) Left: $\log_2$ (shU / shCtrl) of chromosome 19 binned at 200 kb resolution. Right: $\log_2$ (shU / shCtrl) of 40 kb bins (lower tri) and averaged by compartments (upper tri) in a 15.9 Mb region. (C, D) Statistics of interaction changes between (C) and within (D) compartments. Upper: the schematic view of







regions examined. Middle: cumulative distribution of obs/exp values of interactions between compartments. *P* values were obtained by two-factor ANOVA. Bottom: box plots showing the pairwise fold-changes of interactions. Results from two replicates are presented. (E) Percentages of compartment switching between shCtrl and shU treated cells. (F) The relationships between compartment switching and the lamina association (based on lamin B1 DamID data). (G) Box plots showing expression changes with compartment switching. *P* values were obtained by Wilcoxon rank-sum test.






Figure 4. HNRNPU regulates TAD interactions. (A) A representative region showing interaction frequencies, insulation and TAD boundaries at 40 kb resolution. (B) K-means clustering of TAD boundaries with surrounding PC1 values. (C) Insulation profile around TAD boundaries. Upper: Schematic view of three classes of boundaries relative to compartments. Middle: medians of insulation profile for each sample. Bottom: Differences of insulation profile for each category. (D) Quantification of inter- and intra-TAD interactions in compartment A, B and between A and B. *P* values were obtained by two-factor ANOVA. (E) Violin plots showing degrees of boundary strength changes. Results from two replicates are presented. (F) Percentage of changed TAD boundaries in different categories.

Figure 5. The intensity of chromatin loops decreases upon HNRNPU depletion. (A) Mean aggregate signals of 7,994 loops by summing sub-matrices surrounding each peak (10 kb × 10 kb for each pixel). (B) Schematic view of loop center (peak) and adjacent areas: below-left (yellow), donut (black), horizontal (purple) and vertical (green). (C) Box plots showing the


intensity of peaks in shCtrl and shU treated cells. *P* values were obtained by Wilcoxon rank-sum test. (D) Box plots showing fold change of peak signal relative to adjacent areas. *P* values were obtained by Wilcoxon rank-sum test. (E) Percentage of changed chromatin loops upon HNRNPU depletion. (F) Representative regions showing decreases of chromatin loops.

Figure 6. HNRNPU associates with CTCF and RAD21. (A) Immunofluorescent staining displays partial co-localization of HNRNPU (red) and CTCF or RAD21 (green) in AML12 cells. The scale bar represents 10 μ m. (B) Endogenous co-immunoprecipitation with HNRNPU (left) or CTCF (right) antibodies, and blots with indicated antibodies. (C) ChIP-seq tracks of CTCF and RAD21; bioChIP-seq tracks of HNRNPU and BirA only (negative control) on a 1.3 Mb region on Chromosome 19. (D) Venn diagram showing overlaps between peaks of CTCF, RAD21 and HNRNPU. (E) Distribution of HNRNPU peaks relative to genes. (F) Average profiles of HNRNPU bioChIP-seq signal of genes at different expression levels (100% denotes the highest expression). (G) Distribution of HNRNPU peaks relative to compartments and LADs.


A**B****C****D****E****F**




A




B



C




D




E

Downloaded from genome.cshlp.org on July 13, 2025. Published by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press



F



G

