MinION-based long-read sequencing and assembly extends the *Caenorhabditis elegans* reference genome

John R Tyson, Nigel J O'Neil, Miten Jain, et al.

Genome Res. published online December 22, 2017
Access the most recent version at doi:10.1101/gr.221184.117

MinION-based long-read sequencing and assembly extends the *Caenorhabditis elegans* reference genome.

Authors: Tyson JR[1*], O'Neil NJ[2*], Jain M[3*], Olsen HE[3], Hieter P[4], Snutch TP[1]

1. Michael Smith Laboratories and Djavad Mowafaghian Centre for Brain Health, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada.
2. Michael Smith Laboratories, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z4
3. UC Santa Cruz Genomics Institute and Department of Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Santa Cruz, California, USA.
4. Michael Smith Laboratories, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z4; Department of Medical Genetics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z3

ABSTRACT

Advances in long read single molecule sequencing have opened new possibilities for 'benchtop' whole genome sequencing. The Oxford Nanopore Technologies MinION is a portable device that uses nanopore technology that can directly sequence DNA molecules. MinION single molecule long sequence reads are well suited for *de novo* assembly of complex genomes as they facilitate the construction of highly contiguous physical genome maps obviating the need for labor-intensive physical genome mapping. Long sequence reads can also be used to delineate complex chromosomal rearrangements, such as those that occur in tumour cells, that can confound analysis using short reads. Here, we assessed MinION long read-derived sequences for

feasibility concerning: 1) the *de novo* assembly of a large complex genome and 2) the elucidation of complex rearrangements. The genomes of two *Caenorhabditis elegans* strains, a wild type strain and a strain containing two complex rearrangements were sequenced with MinION. Up to 42-fold coverage was obtained from a single flowcell and the best pooled data assembly produced a highly contiguous wild type *C. elegans* genome containing 48 contigs (N50 contig length = 3.99 Mb) covering >99% of the 100,286,401 base reference genome. Further, the MinION-derived genome assembly expanded the *C. elegans* reference genome by >2Mb due to a more accurate determination of repetitive sequence elements, and assembled the complete genomes of two co-extracted bacteria. MinION long read sequence data also facilitated the elucidation of complex rearrangements in a mutagenized strain. The sequence accuracy of the MinION long read contigs (~98%) was improved using Illumina-derived sequence data to polish the final genome assembly to 99.8% nucleotide accuracy when compared to the reference assembly.

Introduction

Advances in Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) have ushered in a new era of whole genome analysis. The short sequencing reads generated by sequencing-by-synthesis NGS are well suited for resequencing genomes for which a reference has been established. However, short sequence reads from NGS or Sanger sequencing alone cannot assemble novel complex genomes that contain repeated sequences longer than the reads each of these technologies can span and thus fail to correctly assemble transposable elements and genomic duplications, or known genomes with complex chromosomal rearrangements that are often a feature in tumour cells.

To overcome the challenges of assembling complex genomes, the reference sequences for most large genomes were constructed using a hierarchical clone-based strategy. Clones containing genomic DNA were restriction digest 'fingerprinted' to assemble a physical map of overlapping clones that were sequenced to generate a contiguous reference genome. For example, the assembly of the *C. elegans* reference genome was made possible by the construction of a physical map of cosmids and yeast artificial chromosomes (Coulson et al. 1988, 1995, 1991) that were individually shotgun sequenced and manually finished to bridge gaps and ambiguous regions to generate a complete reference genome (Ainscough et al. 1998). This strategy is both labour-intensive and error-prone as repetitive repeats can be deleted within the clones, together with an inability of short sequencing reads to span large repeat regions. Long read sequencing platforms present an alternative strategy for the *de novo* assembly of complex novel genomes.

Long read single molecule sequencing technologies have increased read lengths 100 to 1000-fold compared to NGS platforms and can span much larger repeat regions than NGS. Until recently the major platform of this type was the Pacific Biosciences Single Molecule Real Time (SMRT) sequencing system. Current PacBio sequencing averages ~10 kb read lengths with consensus sequencing error rates approaching that of NGS. In 2014, Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) introduced the MinION nanopore sequencer. The MinION directly connects to a laptop or desktop PC via a USB3 port, is ~90 grams, and costs are for consumable reagents only. The MinION works on the principle of nanopore strand sequencing in real time (Jain et al. 2016; Deamer et al. 2016) and results in sequence read lengths from several hundred bases to hundreds

of thousands of bases. To date, most studies have used the MinION to sequence small genomes or to partially survey larger genomes to assess chromosomal structure and copy number variations (Loman et al. 2015; Goodwin et al. 2015; Norris et al. 2016; Wei and Williams 2016). The long read lengths combined with recent improvements in performance and the development of software to assemble genomes from long sequence reads (Koren et al. 2017) make MinION sequencing a viable option for whole genome sequencing of complex metazoan genomes.

The *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome was the first metazoan genome to be completely sequenced (Ainscough et al. 1998) and is an excellent model genome for assessing new whole genome sequencing technologies. The ~100 Mb *C. elegans* genome is organized into six chromosomes and a mitochondrial genome, and it is complex with many different types of local repeat and dispersed sequences, the most common dispersed repeats being transposable elements, which constitute approximately 12% of the genome (Bessereau 2006). *C. elegans* transposons range in size from 1-3 kb and can confound genomic assemblies as the transposons are longer than NGS and Sanger sequencing reads, resulting in ambiguous mapping positions. Even more problematic for genome assembly are local repeats that range from short repetitive sequences such as homopolymeric G tracts (Zhao et al. 2007) to large tandem repeats spanning tens of kilobases. Although much effort was invested in the reference genome, it was generated before the availability of longer single molecule sequence reads, and a recent study reports that some repeat regions may be truncated in the reference genome (Li et al. 2015). Here, we report the MinION-derived sequencing and *de novo* assembly of two *C. elegans* genomes, a complete *C. elegans* reference genome and a strain with two complex chromosomal rearrangements.

Results

MinION sequencing of a wild type *C. elegans* genome

To assess the feasibility of using the MinION platform to generate high quality *de novo* whole genome assemblies of large complex genomes we examined the *C. elegans* wild type strain VC2010 (Flibotte et al. 2010). Three libraries were constructed from sheared VC2010 genomic DNA (15-20 kb; Table 1). Each library was sequenced separately on an individual MinION flow cell using custom tuning script modifications inserted into the standard MinKNOW software and bases were called using the Albacore basecaller from Oxford Nanopore Technologies (see Methods). Individual flow cells resulted in a combined 1,116,324 reads containing 13,485,848,450 bases. In order to improve sequence quality reads were filtered by size (reads > 1kb) and quality (Albacore generated score of q10). Details of the individual MinION sequencing runs can be found in Figure 1A, and Table 1 (Supplemental Table S1). Notably, the mean flowcell read lengths ranged from ~13 kb to ~ 20 kb with a maximum read length of ~134 kb. Nanopore read accuracy was measured by alignment to the *C. elegans* reference genome. Reads from individual flows cells were compared to the reference genome and showed ~86% nucleotide identity (Figure 1B and Supplemental Fig S1A). Mapping all filtered reads to the *C. elegans* reference genome resulted in ~60-fold coverage (Supplemental Fig S1B).

de novo assembly of the *C. elegans* genome from MinION sequencing

Canu (Koren et al. 2017), a genome assembler designed for high-noise, single-molecule sequencing, was used to assemble contigs. Canu assemblies were performed on wild-type

C.elegans VC2010 Albacore 1.0.1 derived sequence data: one for each individual flowcell, three using pairwise combinations of flowcell data and one using data from all flowcells are discussed below (Table 2, Supplemental Table S2, Supplemental Table S3). Assembly using q10 reads from all three flow cells, representing ~60-fold coverage, resulted in 73 contigs, 56 of which had homology to the existing reference genome. The largest contig was >9.9 Mb and ~50% of the reference genome was contained in the 10 largest contigs (Figure 2). Assemblies using reads from the individual flow cells 112 (3.7 Gb total sequence) and 115 (4.2 Gb total sequence) were similar to the assembly using reads from the combined flow cells. Flowcell 114 had significantly fewer reads (0.9 Gb total sequence) and resulted in a highly discontinuous assembly. The most contiguous assembly was not generated from all combined flowcell data, rather combined flowcells 114 and 115 provided the most contiguous assembly (62 contigs, 48 with *C. elegans* homology). Pooling data from flowcells 114 and 115 resulted in the highest average (and median) read lengths (17 kb) and the highest read length N50s (25 kb) for any combination of flow cells. This suggested that the optimized combination of these parameters yields the best assemblies. To test this hypothesis, Canu assemblies were generated from all reads filtered by size (>10 kb 7.9 Gb total sequence, >15 kb 6.7 Gb total sequence, >20 kb 4.9 Gb total sequence). The >15 kb filter dataset resulted in the most contiguous assembly (60 contigs) compared to the >10kb (65 contigs) and the >20 kb (63 contigs) datasets (Supplemental Table S3). The genome assemblies are illustrated in Figure 2B, which shows the cumulative coverage of the reference genome using contigs with worm homology for each dataset.

Genome assembly utilizing Canu resulted in consensus contig sequence identities of ~98% when comparing to the reference genome compared to individual read identities of ~86%. The contigs were further corrected with Illumina short reads using 4 rounds of Pilon (Walker et al. 2014) polishing resulting in both correction of mismatches and indels in the MinION data, increasing sequence identity to 99.8% and adding ~ 1Mb of sequence (Table 2 & Supplemental Table S2). The alignment of the polished contigs from the flowcell 114 and flowcell 115 data was plotted against the reference genome using Mummer (Figure 3). The Mummer alignment of both assemblies demonstrated excellent agreement with the reference sequence (Supplemental Table S2A and S2B).

Metagenomic assembly of two bacterial genomes from the *C. elegans* DNA sample

Two large contigs, contig14 (4.8 Mb) and contig20 (3.5 Mb) from the 114+115 assembly, did not align to the *C. elegans* reference genome (Figure 3). Contig14 appears to be a complete genome of a novel strain of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* most similar to strain R551-3 (99% identity) (Supplemental Figure S2) and contig20 appears to be a novel bacterial genome with similarity to the *Brucella suis* and *Ochrobactrum anthropi* based on RAST analysis (Aziz et al. 2008). Both *S. maltophilia* and *Ochrobactrum* are soil bacteria known to be part of the *C. elegans* native microbiome and are important human nosocomial pathogens. It is likely that both were contaminants in the nematode cultures that were prepared for sequencing. Previously, a *S. maltophilia* genome was derived from *Caenorhabditis remanei* tissue (Fierst et al. 2017) resulting in two contigs. The current MinION-derived assembly is more complete consisting of a single contig. These data demonstrate the utility of long reads to assemble complete genomes

from mixed samples and we hypothesize could be used to survey the microbiome of *C. elegans* isolates.

MinION-derived assemblies identify sequences missing in the reference genome

The MinION-derived *C. elegans* genome assemblies were substantially larger than the reference sequence when aligned (~102.9 Mb compared to 100.3 Mb; Table 2) and alignment did not identify any large unaligned regions that would account for the discrepancy. Plotting coverage depth of contig sequence generated from all q10 reads against the reference genome using the LASTZ aligner (Harris 2007) revealed that much of the increased genome size was due to expansions of repeat regions present in the MinION-derived assemblies relative to the reference genome. The LASTZ alignment of assembly contigs against the reference genome and coverage for chromosome I are shown as an example in Figure 4A. Expanded repeat regions were found contained within contigs and at the ends of contigs. The four largest potential expansions on chromosome I, two internal to a contig and two on contig ends, were investigated further. The two expanded repeats within a contig (repeat 1 and 2), a 112 copy repeat of a 136mer and a 17 copy repeat of a 231mer, are located between 10,202,622 and 10,277,710 of chromosome I. These tandem repeats are ~5 fold larger in the MinION-derived genome assembly, more than doubling the size of this region from ~73 kb to ~161 kb. The expansions are unlikely to be the result of Canu assembly errors as they were identified on multiple independent long reads (Figure 4B). On chromosome I, contigs 6 and 27 share an end-terminal tandem repeat (repeat 3) and can be ordered and oriented with respect to each other (Figure 4C). However, no single read completely spanned the expanded repeat so the actual repetitive region may be even larger.

Similarly, contigs 299, 300, and 302 can be ordered based upon sequence homology of repeat 4 at their contig ends.

MinION reads elucidated the structure of complex repetitive chromosome rearrangements

In addition to *de novo* genome assembly, long sequencing reads can also facilitate the delineation of complex chromosomal rearrangements that contain multiple breakpoints, duplications, deletions and repeated sequences. To assess the feasibility of using MinION sequencing to resolve complex rearrangements, a *C. elegans* strain containing two homozygous complex rearrangements, *him-9(e1487)* II; *unc-119(ed3) ruIs32[pie-1p::GFP::H2B + unc-119(+)]* III, was constructed. The *him-9(e1487)* mutation was induced by acetaldehyde mutagenesis (Hodgkin et al. 1979) and is a complex duplication and insertion event that disrupts the predicted *C. elegans XPF* orthologue *xpf-1* (Youds et al. 2006). Previous analysis of *him-9(e1487)* from oligo array hybridization, reverse transcriptase PCR, and inverse-PCR experiments suggested that *him-9(e1487)* was a duplication of ~20 kb of sequence from the *mab-3* region that had been inserted into the second intron of the *xpf-1* gene (N.J. O'Neil, unpublished data). However, the complex nature of the mutation hindered attempts to determine the exact structure of the rearrangement. *ruIs32* is a low-copy number insertion that was generated by biolistic transformation of the plasmid pAZ132 [*Ppie-1::GFP::H2B::pie-1*] and a plasmid containing *unc-119*[*unc-119(+)*] into an *unc-119(ed3)* mutant (Praitis et al. 2001). Genomic DNA was prepared from the *him-9(e1487)* II; *ruIs32* III strain and sequenced using MinION.

Sequencing runs from six MinION flow cells using older R9.0 (4) and R9.3 (2) pore flowcell types were performed (see Methods). This resulted in 1.1M individual reads up to 123,159 bases in length (mean = 4,801) and containing 5.33Gb of 1D bases. An additional 1Gb of 2D sequence was generated from the paired template and complement 1D reads produced from the R9.0 flow cells using the SQK-NSK007 2D chemistry and Metrichor basecaller. Mapping reads to the reference genome with the BWA-MEM aligner (Li 2013) resulted in ~45 fold coverage (Supplemental Figure S3). A Canu assembly was performed using all "pass" reads to generate an assembly containing 236 contigs, which were polished using Pilon and Illumina sequence data (Supplemental Tables S2C and S4). Pilon polished contigs ranged in length from 4,160 to 5,740,216 bases with 100 of the contigs (representing 102,308,633 bases) having significant homology to the *C. elegans* reference genome. The mean length for the 100 *C. elegans* matching contigs was 1.1 Mb and they were aligned to the WBcel235 release of the *C. elegans* reference genome using Mummer (Supplemental Figure S4; Supplemental Table S3C). The alignment of contigs to the six chromosomes and the *C. elegans* mitochondrial genome covered >99% of the reference genome with most contigs having a >99% identity match to the reference genome. There were no large gaps in coverage of the reference genome. Consistent with the MinION derived VC2010 genome, the *him-9; ruIs32* genome was ~2% larger than the reference genome.

The Canu assembly of MinION reads included a single contig (contig017) containing the entire *him-9(e1487)* rearrangement. In addition to the predicted ~20 kb *mab-3* duplicated region, the insertion was found to also include an inverted repeat of part of the *mab-3* duplication and the

second exon of *xpf-1*, resulting in a much larger, more complex insertion than expected (Figure 5).

The biolistic-mediated insertion was found to be located on contig1884, which aligned to the right arm of chromosome III consistent with the published location for *ruIs32* (Wormbase). From the MinION read assembly, it appears that the insertion contains three copies of the *Ppie-1::GFP::H2B::pie*-1 transgene and two copies of the ampicillin gene from the plasmid and two partial copies of the *unc-119(+)* gene from the *unc-119* transgene. The structure of the insertion is complex, with the *unc-119(+)* sequence interspersed within the plasmid sequence suggesting that a complex integration event occurred (Figure 6A). Interestingly, the integration event also appears concomitantly with a large duplication of ~ 2 Mb of DNA (chrIII: 10,062,096-11,973,739) from the region near the insertion site (Figure 6B). Given the position of the insertion, the wild type *unc-119* transgene should be genetically linked to the *unc-119(ed3)* mutation and not be lost through outcrossing. Indeed, both the *unc-119(ed3)* mutation and two wild type transgenic alleles could be discerned from the MinION contigs (Figure 6C). From these data, it is evident that the long reads from MinION can be used to resolve complex genome rearrangements and we predict this strategy will prove useful for other types of analyses aimed at characterizing rearrangements associated with tumours.

Discussion

Advances in long read sequencing have opened new avenues for genome analysis. The relatively short sequencing reads produced by NGS allow for effective and cost efficient resequencing of

less complex, non-repetitive regions in well-characterized genomes. Long sequence reads can span repetitive regions and facilitate contiguous assemblies of large contigs. Similarly, long reads can resolve complex chromosomal alterations such as those observed in tumour genomes, as well as provide positional context needed to assemble individual unique genomes from mixed samples containing many different genomes. The major challenges limiting the use of long read sequencing technology have been throughput, accuracy, and cost. Here we present the *de novo* assembly of MinION sequencing reads generating a remarkably complete wild type genome in 48 contigs covering >99% of the reference genome. Notably, the MinION assembly improved the reference genome by expanding repetitive regions that could not be resolved by previous sequencing strategies and added more than 2 Mb of sequence. MinION long reads were also used to delineate the complex chromosomal rearrangements in a mutant strain. These data demonstrate that the MinION is a useful platform for generating and characterizing complex metazoan genomes and that accuracy from the assembled MinION generated data can be further improved by 'polishing' with higher accuracy short read data.

Assemblies are most impacted by read length

The MinION-derived genome assemblies demonstrated that both the direct sequencing and *de novo* assembly of a large, low percent-GC (35.44%), complex metazoan genome can be accomplished using MinION sequencing reads alone. Near complete *C. elegans* genome assemblies (>99% coverage) consisting of large contigs could be assembled from single MinION flowcells. These assemblies are significantly more contiguous than those generated by Illumina Synthetic Long-Read Sequencing or by PacBio sequencing for the *C. elegans* genome. MinION

derived assemblies resulted in an assembly with an N50 contig size of 3.99 Mb compared to an N50 of 86 kb for Synthetic Long-Read Sequencing (Li et al. 2015) and an N50 of 1.6 Mb for PacBio sequencing (https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/DevNet/wiki/C.-elegans-data-set). Combining flowcell data improved the assemblies. Interestingly, the most contiguous genome assembly did not come from the combined largest data set (8.9 Gb total sequence, 15 kb mean read length, N50 21 kb) but from flowcells 115 (4.2 Gb total sequence, 16.6 kb mean read length, N50 24 kb) and 114, which contained fewer but longer reads (0.9 Gb total sequence, 20.6 kb mean read length, N50 38 kb). Comparisons of assemblies from datasets filtered by read length further support the hypothesis that adding more reads can reduce assembly quality if those reads decrease the average reads length. Contig breakpoints were located most often in regions containing repetitive elements that were larger than the longest read lengths. By generating and including reads longer than these repeat structures, even these repetitive regions should be spanned resulting in a more contiguous genome. It is clear from these assemblies that deeper sequencing of DNA libraries of similar sized fragments would not have resolved the contig breaks. Rather, we suggest that these breakpoints could be spanned by preparing genomic DNA to favour the formation of larger fragments.

MinION sequence improves the *C. elegans* reference genome by expanding repeat regions

The MinION generated genome assemblies were considerably larger (>2 MB) than the *C. elegans* reference genome. This was due to more accurate assembly of large repetitive elements in the *C. elegans* genome resulting in an improved genomic reference. It has recently been reported that the extent of some repetitive elements in the reference genome are truncated (Li et

al. 2015). The MinION generated genome presented here expands repetitive elements throughout the genome adding more than 2 Mb to the reference genome. These are unlikely to be strain specific expansions as the same expansions were observed in the sequencing of the *him-9;ruIs32* strain, although the expansions were smaller due to the fact that the fragment length of the *him-9* library was considerably shorter than the fragment length in the VC2010 libraries. We hypothesize that the reference genome could be further improved by sequencing ultra-long DNA fragments (100-1,000 kb), which are being made possible by the development of DNA extraction techniques and library construction protocols that reduce shearing of genomic DNA (Jain et al. 2017). Such ultra-long read sequencing could truly complete the *C. elegans* reference genome by spanning the extremely large repetitive elements such as the rDNA cluster.

MinION sequencing can resolve complex rearrangements

Chromosome rearrangements are common in tumours and the identification of rearrangements is important for tumour characterization and treatment. Detecting chromosome rearrangements such as duplications, deletions and translocations pose challenges for sequencing-based approaches. NGS can detect copy number changes and identify potential breakpoints. However, complex rearrangements with multiple breakpoints and duplications can prove difficult to reassemble from NGS reads. The long MinION reads provided context for the delineation of complex chromosomal rearrangements. MinION long reads offer the opportunity to identify genome rearrangements in tumours including the highly complex chromothripsis events which can result in thousands of clustered localized chromosomal rearrangements (Leibowitz et al. 2015).

Our sequencing and assembly of the *C. elegans* genome demonstrates the advancing capabilities of the Oxford Nanopore Technologies sequencing platform. Throughput and accuracy of the MinION continues to improve and is approaching 10-15 Gb of sequence per flowcell, which is sufficient sequence to assemble the 100 Mb *C. elegans* genome to a high continuity. The long read capabilities of MinION nanopore sequencing further facilitate unambiguous assembly of chromosome structure, thereby eliminating the need for physical mapping and can delineate complex chromosome rearrangements and extrachromosomal DNA elements. These properties should allow for sequencing of new genomes or tumour genomes with structural chromosome changes or micronuclei in addition to improving existing reference genomes by more accurately sequencing repetitive elements. Combining MinION and Illumina sequencing currently can delineate the structure of novel genomes with higher base-level certainty.

Methods

Nematode culture and DNA extraction

Nematodes were cultured as previously described (Brenner 1974). The *him-9(e1487)* II; *unc-119(ed3) ruIs32[pie-1p::GFP::H2B + unc-119(+)]* III strain was constructed by mating CB1487 *him-9(e1487)* males to AZ212 *unc-119*(*ed3*) *ruIs32* III hermaphrodites. F1 heterozygotes were selfed and *him-9; ruIs32* homozygotes isolated. Worms were grown to starvation for sequencing on NGM plates and harvested by washing with M9 buffer and pelleted in 15-ml centrifuge tubes. Buffer was removed by two washes with sterile distilled water, centrifugation and aspiration. The worm pellet was resuspended in 300 µl of lysis buffer (200 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl pH

8.5, 50 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.5% SDS, 0.1 mg/ml proteinase K) and frozen at -80 ^{o}C. Frozen pellets were incubated at 60 ^{o}C for 1-3 hours followed by 95^{o}C for 20 minutes. RNase A (0.1 mg/ml) was added and lysed worms were incubated at 37^{o}C for 1 hour. DNA was prepared by standard phenol/chloroform extraction and DNA was resuspended in 10 mM Tris pH 8.0.

Illumina library preparation and sequencing

The DNA library for VC2010 N2 was constructed by Novogene (Beijing, China) and sequenced with the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform. In brief, a total amount of 1.0μg DNA was used as input material. A sequencing library was generated using the Truseq Nano DNA HT Sample preparation kit (Illumina USA) following manufacturer's recommendations and index codes were added to attribute sequences. The genomic DNA was randomly fragmented to a size of 350bp by Covaris cracker, then DNA fragments were end polished, A-tailed, and ligated with the full-length adapter for Illumina sequencing with further PCR amplification. Finally, PCR products were purified (AMPure XP system) and libraries were analyzed for size distribution by Agilent2100 Bioanalyzer and quantified using real-time PCR. The qualified libraries were sequenced by a HiSeq sequencer. The DNA library for the *him-9; ruIs32* strain was constructed with using a Nextera DNA library preparation kit (Illumina USA) and sequenced with a 600-cycle MiSeq v3 reagent kit on an Illumina Miseq platform.

Library Preparation and MinION Sequencing

MinION flowcells, 84 to 90 were run using libraries prepared with the SQK-NSK007 Nanopore Sequencing Kit R9 version. Libraries for flowcells 94 and 95 were prepared using the SQK-

RAD001 Rapid Sequencing Kit I R9 version. Libraries for flowcells 112, 114 and 115 were prepared using the SQK-LSK108 1D ligation Sequencing kit. The standard protocols from Oxford Nanopore Technologies were used with the following modifications. For SQK-NSK007 libraries, purification of DNA after the FFPE treatment step was done using 0.4x AMPureXP beads. For SQK-LSK108 libraries, DNA fragmentation was performed using 20 passes through 29G (flowcell-112 & flowcell-115) or 26G (flowcell-114) needles in a 200 µl volume before purification using 0.4% AMPureXP beads and then going into the end-repair step of the standard protocol. Prior to adapter ligation each elution step off the AMPureXP beads was performed using 10 mM Tris pH 8.0, instead of water, at 37^{o}C for 5 mins. The starting amounts of gDNA ranged between 0.8 µg and 10.0 µg (see Supplemental Table S1 for details). Priming of individual flowcells with running buffer (500 µl) and sequencing library top ups (75-150 µl) were performed at times detailed in Supplemental Table S1. Flowcells were run for ~48 hrs using device tuning scripts layered onto the standard MinKNOW software. The tuning scripts provide event yield monitoring aimed at maintaining data throughput through initiation of a maximal pore channel assignment/usage strategy and optimal bias-voltage selection via methods outlined below.

Modified MinION running scripts

After initial start of a MinION sequencer run using the standard ONT sequencing scripts, MinION sequencing control was shifted to a custom MinKNOW MinION script to enhance pore utilisation and increase data yields. These custom script modifications adjusted a number of run/flowcell metrics and parameters including-

1) Initiation of a bias-voltage re-selection and active pore re-population into active channels when the hourly event yields fall below a threshold. This threshold was set at 67% of the first hour of each particular sequencing segment, and generally ran for 2-5 hours per segment.

2) Identifying the bias-voltages that provide the greatest number of active pores by scanning a voltage range (20-30 mV in increments of -10 mV) and using this for active pore channel re-assignment. A newly selected bias-voltage acts as the starting point for subsequent scans, and provides an active pore "tracking" ability as the required bias-voltage magnitude increases during a run with the electrochemical gradient decay of individual wells. This re-assignment also provides access to the full 2048 possible wells repeatedly throughout a run.

3) Selecting a lower magnitude bias-voltage wherein the active pore numbers are within 10% of the peak voltage. Keeping greater pore numbers active using these approaches results in wells/pores running for different periods of time and the bias-voltage requirement to drive the same current through a pore increase and diverge with use. This is because the electrochemical gradient of active wells/pores decays at a greater rate than that of inactive wells/pores. By using off peak, lower magnitude bias-voltage selection, a measure of pore population containment or "shepherding" is provided by moving lower magnitude voltage requiring pores into the rest of the population.

More detailed information on these scripts can be found on the Oxford Nanopore Technologies user community. In addition, a patch for all files required to modify MinION running scripts compatible with MinKNOW 1.3.23 only is available (Supplemental Methods).

Base-calling MinION Sequencing Reads

Reads generated from the MinION sequencing device for the *him-9(e1487)* strain sequencing were base-called using the cloud-based Metrichor service provided by Oxford Nanopore Technologies. Reads generated for the VC2010 wild type strain were based-called using the Albacore (0.8.4 (non-homopolymer calling) and 1.0.1(homoploymer calling)) software (Oxford Nanopore Technologies). Details of specific versions and comparisons of the effects of Albacore base-calling (0.8.4 (non-homopolymer calling) and 1.0.1(homopolymer calling)) on the final sequence identity can be found in Supplemental Table S4 for the VC2010 flowcell 115 assemblies. DNA sequences were extracted from individually called reads using simple python scripts and combined in a single fasta file format of a particular strand sequence type and quality. Runs using the SQK-NSK007 (2D) kit generated template and a fraction of complement and 2D sequence from individual reads. SQK-RAD001 and SQK-LSK108 (1D) Library runs generated template (1D) reads. Filtering based on a quality metric by Metrichor divided the reads additionally into ‘pass’ and ‘fail’ categories based on a read quality ‘q6’ filter. Some or all of these sequences containing files for each run were then used for genome assembly as indicated.

Genome assembly and evaluation

Canu nanopore assembly

Selected nanopore data sets were pooled into individual fasta files as input and filtered out reads below a size of 1 kb to avoid overlap detection issues. The remaining reads were assembled using Canu (v1.4) (Koren et al. 2017) with the (corMinCoverage=0 corMaxEvidenceErate=0.22

errorRate=0.045 "corMhapOptions=--threshold 0.8 --num-hashes 512 --ordered-sketch-size 1000 --ordered-kmer-size 14") command modifications from default.

Assembly polishing

The Canu assemblies were corrected using Pilon (Walker et al. 2014) using recommended settings to polish for variants and homopolymers. We did not perform quality filtering on the Illumina data and all of the short read sequence data were used.

Nanopore data and assembly evaluation

Nanopore reads and assemblies were evaluated by alignment against the reference worm genome using BWA-MEM (Li 2013)(in 'ont2d' mode). Assembly evaluations were performed using LASTZ (Harris 2007) and Mummer (Kurtz et al. 2004) alignments of the draft assemblies to the reference.

We evaluated the assembly quality by using Mummer with recommended settings and the reference worm genome. We compared changes in indels and mismatches for the Canu assemblies before and after Pilon correction (Supplemental Table S4). We evaluated the assemblies based on: 1) the total number of aligned bases in the assembly; 2) the number of mismatches; and 3) the total number of bases contained in indels.

Data Access

Genome assemblies have been submitted to the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA; https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena) under the following accession numbers: PRJEB22098 (Nanopore reads), PRJEB22099 (Nanopore Assemblies), PRJEB22100 (Illumina reads).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank both Dr. Mark Akeson (MA) and Dr. Benedict Paten (BP) for supporting MJ and HEO, and for allowing use of the computer cluster to run assemblies and analyses. Work in the laboratory of TPS is supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (grant #10677) and a Brain Canada Multi-Investigator Research Initiative Grant with matching support from Genome British Columbia, the Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research and the Koerner Foundation. Work in the laboratory of PH is supported by the Canadian Cancer Society (grant 702975). MJ and HEO thank the National Human Genome Research Institute of the US National Institutes of Health for funding their PI's under award numbers HG006321 (MA), HG007827 (MA), and U54HG007990 (BP). We also thank Oxford Nanopore Technologies for access to hardware, software and sequencing chemistries.

References

Ainscough R, Bardill S, Barlow K, Basham V, Baynes C, Beard L, Beasley A, Berks M, Bonfield J, Brown J, et al. 1998. Genome sequence of the nematode C. elegans: a platform for investigating biology. The C. elegans Sequencing Consortium. *Science (80-)* **282**: 2012–2018. http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/282/5396/2012.

Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, Formsma K, Gerdes S, Glass EM, Kubal M, et al. 2008. The RAST Server: rapid annotations using subsystems technology. *BMC Genomics* **9**: 75. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18261238%5Cnhttp://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC2265698%5Cnhttp://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18261238%5Cnhttp://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC2265698.

Bessereau JL. 2006. Transposons in C. elegans. *Wormb online Rev C.elegans Biol* 1–13.

Brenner S. 1974. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* **77**: 71–94.

Coulson A, Huynh C, Kozono Y, Shownkeen R. 1995. The physical map of the Caenorhabditis elegans genome. *Methods Cell Biol* **4896100433**: 533–550.

Coulson A, Kozono Y, Lutterbach B, Shownkeen R, Sulston J, Waterston R. 1991. YACs and the C. elegans genome. *Bioessays* **13**: 413–417.

Coulson A, Waterston R, Kiff J, Sulston J, Kohara Y. 1988. Genome linking with yeast artificial chromosomes. *Nature* **335**: 184–186.

Deamer D, Akeson M, Branton D. 2016. Three decades of nanopore sequencing. *Nat Biotechnol* **34**: 518–524. http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nbt.3423.

Fierst JL, Murdock DA, Thanthiriwatte C, Willis JH, Phillips PC. 2017. Metagenome-Assembled Draft Genome Sequence of a Novel Microbial Stenotrophomonas maltophilia Strain Isolated from Caenorhabditisremanei Tissue. *Genome Announc* **5**: e01646-16. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28209833%5Cnhttp://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC5313625.

Flibotte S, Edgley ML, Chaudhry I, Taylor J, Neil SE, Rogula A, Zapf R, Hirst M, Butterfield Y, Jones SJ, et al. 2010. Whole-genome profiling of mutagenesis in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* **185**: 431–441.

Goodwin S, Gurtowski J, Ethe-Sayers S, Deshpande P, Schatz MC, McCombie WR. 2015. Oxford Nanopore sequencing, hybrid error correction, and de novo assembly of a eukaryotic genome. *Genome Res* **25**: 1750–1756.

Harris RS. 2007. Improved pairwise alignment of genomic DNA. .

Jain M, Koren S, Quick J, Rand AC, Sasani TA, Tyson JR, Beggs AD, Dilthey AT, Fiddes IT, Malla S, et al. 2017. *Nanopore sequencing and assembly of a human genome with ultra-long reads*. http://biorxiv.org/content/biorxiv/early/2017/04/20/128835.full.pdf%0Ahttps://github.com/nanopore-wgs-consortium/NA12878.

Jain M, Olsen HE, Paten B, Akeson M, Branton D, Daniel B, Deamer D, Andre M, Hagan B, Benner S, et al. 2016. The Oxford Nanopore MinION: delivery of nanopore sequencing to the genomics community. *Genome Biol* **17**: 239. http://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-016-1103-0.

Koren S, Walenz BP, Berlin K, Miller JR, Bergman NH, Phillippy AM. 2017. Canu: Scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive κ-mer weighting and repeat separation. *Genome Res* **27**: 722–736.

Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Smoot M, Shumway M, Antonescu C, Salzberg SL. 2004. Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome Biol* **5**: R12. http://genomebiology.com/2004/5/2/R12.

Leibowitz ML, Zhang C-Z, Pellman D. 2015. Chromothripsis: A New Mechanism for Rapid Karyotype Evolution. *Annu Rev Genet* 1–29. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26442848.

Li H. 2013. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. *arXiv [q-bioGN]*.

Li R, Hsieh C-L, Young A, Zhang Z, Ren X, Zhao Z. 2015. Illumina Synthetic Long Read Sequencing Allows Recovery of Missing Sequences even in the “Finished” C. elegans Genome. *Sci Rep* **5**: 10814. http://www.nature.com/articles/srep10814%5Cnhttp://www.nature.com/srep/2015/150603/srep10814/full/srep10814.html.

Loman NJ, Quick J, Simpson JT. 2015. A complete bacterial genome assembled de novo using only nanopore sequencing data. *Nat Methods* **12**: 733–735. http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nmeth.3444.

Norris AL, Workman RE, Fan Y, Eshleman JR, Timp W. 2016. Nanopore sequencing detects structural variants in cancer. *Cancer Biol Ther* **17**: 246–253.

Praitis V, Casey E, Collar D, Austin J. 2001. Creation of low-copy integrated transgenic lines in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* **157**: 1217–1226.

Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, Cuomo CA, Zeng Q, Wortman J, Young SK, et al. 2014. Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS One* **9**: e112963.

Wei S, Williams Z. 2016. Rapid short-read sequencing and aneuploidy detection using minION nanopore technology. *Genetics* **202**: 37–44.

Youds JL, O’Neil NJ, Rose AM. 2006. Homologous recombination is required for genome stability in the absence of DOG-1 in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* **173**: 697–708.

Zhao Y, O’Neil NJ, Rose AM. 2007. Poly-G/poly-C tracts in the genomes of Caenorhabditis. *BMC Genomics* **8**: 403.

Figure 1: Summary of MinION sequence reads of the *C. elegans* VC2010 wild type strain genome. (A) Histograph of read lengths from flowcells 112, 114, 115. (B) Plot of % read identity aligned to the *C. elegans* reference genome vs read length from flowcell 115. Mean % identities ranged from 85.90% - 86.35% for the three flowcells (Supplemental Figure S1).

Figure 2: *De novo C. elegans* genome assembly from MinION-generated long reads. Comparison of Canu-generated genome assemblies for individual and combined flowcell data. (A) Distribution of contig sizes for different assemblies. Number in brackets refers to total contigs in the assembly. (B) Plot of contig coverage of the reference genome from assemblies produced from the individual and combined flowcells. Arrows denote the number of contigs that align to the *C. elegans* reference genome.

Figure 3: MinION produces high contiguity *C. elegans* genome assembly. Mummer alignment plot of contigs from assembly of combined data from flowcells 114 and 115 against the *C. elegans* reference genome. The *C. elegans* chromosomes are arranged by size along the x-axis and 114+115 contigs along the y-axis. Forward strand matches are in red and reverse strand matches are in blue. Note the large bacterial contigs 14 and 20 do not align to the *C. elegans* reference genome.

Figure 4: MinION sequencing and assembly reveals genome expansion of *C. elegans* repeat regions. (A) LASTZ alignment of contigs to the *C. elegans* reference chromosome I. Below is a plot of coverage of the 'All data' assembly contig sequences against the reference. Note the high coverage areas (indicated as 1-4) that correlate with repeat regions. (B) Left. Dot plot of the MinION derived contig containing repeats 1 and 2 against the reference sequence. Right. Plot of sequence read coverage (reads >5 kb) in the repeat regions. Selected reads spanning the expanded repeats are shown below. Note the high coverage of repeat 1, which suggests that this repeat is even larger than predicted in the contig shown. Repeat 2 read coverage, which is similar to the average read coverage across the genome, suggests that this repeat expansion is correct. (C) Dot plot of the end termini of contig006 and contig027 against the reference sequence demonstrating the expansion of repeat 3. Two of the longest reads mapping to this repeat are shown below with the repeat highlighted in grey.

Figure 5: Delineation of complex genome rearrangements. Schematic of the *xpf-1(e1487)* complex mutation in contig017. The left y-axis shows the wild type *xpf-1* gene structure and the right y-axis shows the *mab-3* region. The mutation is a duplication and insertion of ~20 kb of the *mab-3* region in two segments into the second intron of *xpf-1* (blue). The larger segment of the inserted region along with the flanking *xpf-1* exon 2 has been duplicated creating an inverted repeat (green and red). Shown below is read coverage and selected MinION reads mapping to the region spanning the various breakpoints.

Figure 6: Delineation of an exogenous plasmid DNA array integration and duplication event. (A) Dot plot of the *ruIs32* insertion in contig1884 against the pAZ132 plasmid and *unc-119* gene (red) that were integrated by biolistic transformation. The y-axis shows the pAZ132 plansmid structure and the *unc-119* gene structure. The insertion contains three copies of

GFP::H2B and two partial copies of *unc-119*. (B) MinION read coverage for the chromosome III. Note the ~2 Mb duplication in the region where *ruIs32* has been integrated. Red line is coverage and purple shading is coverage deviation. (C) MinION-generated sequence identified the two wild type copies of *unc-119* inserted with *ruIs32* and the *unc-119(ed3)* mutation in contig0079. Superscript numbers refer to position within the contig.

Table 1: MinION sequencing read data summary for sequencing of *C. elegans* VC2010 wild type strain.

Table 2: Summary of Canu assemblies of *C. elegans* VC2010 wild type strain.

	All Data				q10 data			
	No. of Reads	**mean read length (bases)**	**Total bases**	**Read N50 (bases)**	**No. of Reads**	**mean read length**	**mean alignment identity (%)**	**total bases**
chip112	541,484	12,489	6,762,705,450	17,474	287,126	13,086	86.39	3,757,365,013
chip114	153,653	8,010	1,230,832,063	34,078	43,414	20,596	85.90	894,168,825
chip115	421,187	13,040	5,492,310,937	23,400	252,926	16,642	86.05	4,209,137,492
All	1,116,324		13,485,848,450		583,466			8,860,671,330

Table 1: MinION sequencing read data summary for sequencing of *C. elegans* VC2010 wild type strain.

	Total contigs	Contigs with *C. elegans* homology	Largest contig (bases)	Canu Assembly		4X Pilon polished Canu Assembly	
				C. elegans assembly size (Mb)	% identity to reference	*C. elegans* assembly size (Mb)	% identity to reference
Flowcell 112	106	97	5,676,784	100.83	98.23	-	-
Flowcell 114	423	421	565,297	56.14	95.88	-	-
Flowcell 115	79	71	8,420,253	101.31	98.25	102.76	99.82
All flowcells	73	56	9,978,903	101.19	98.48	102.48	99.83
Flowcells 112+114	80	71	6,232,235	101.15	98.33	-	-
Flowcells 112+115	86	63	6,713,283	102.27	98.45	-	-
Flowcells 114+115	62	48	8,088,447	101.86	98.35	102.90	99.84

Table 2: Summary of Canu assemblies of *C. elegans* VC2010 wild type strain.













A



B



C

unc-119(ed3) GAATCGGCAAGATATGTCCGATATTGATTTGCGCCGAATTTTCT

contig1884 401667GAATCGGCAAGATATGTCCGATATCGATTTGCGCCGAATTTTCT401710

contig1884 408406GAATCGGCAAGATATGTCCGATATCGATTTGCGCCGAATTTTCT408363

contig0079 1570566GAATCGGCAAGATATGTCCGATATTGATTTGCGCCGAATTTTCT1570609