



## Copy number variation leads to considerable diversity for B but not A haplotypes of the human KIR genes encoding NK cell receptors

Wei Jiang, Chris Johnson, Jyothi Jayaraman, et al.

*Genome Res.* 2012 22: 1845-1854 originally published online September 4, 2012

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gr.137976.112](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.137976.112)

---

**References** This article cites 32 articles, 6 of which can be accessed free at:  
<http://genome.cshlp.org/content/22/10/1845.full.html#ref-list-1>

**Open Access** Freely available online through the *Genome Research* Open Access option.

**Creative Commons License** This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://genome.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported License), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>.

**Email Alerting Service** Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

---

To subscribe to *Genome Research* go to:  
<https://genome.cshlp.org/subscriptions>

# Copy number variation leads to considerable diversity for B but not A haplotypes of the human KIR genes encoding NK cell receptors

Wei Jiang,<sup>1,2</sup> Chris Johnson,<sup>1,2</sup> Jyothi Jayaraman,<sup>1,2</sup> Nikol Simecek,<sup>2</sup> Janelle Noble,<sup>3</sup> Miriam F. Moffatt,<sup>4</sup> William O. Cookson,<sup>4</sup> John Trowsdale,<sup>1,2,5</sup> and James A. Traherne<sup>1,2,5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Immunology, Department of Pathology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1QP, United Kingdom; <sup>2</sup>Cambridge Institute for Medical Research, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0XY, United Kingdom; <sup>3</sup>Children's Hospital Oakland Research Institute, Oakland, California 94609, USA; <sup>4</sup>National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London SW3 6LY, United Kingdom

The KIR complex appears to be evolving rapidly in humans, and more than 50 different haplotypes have been described, ranging from four to 14 *KIR* loci. Previously it has been suggested that most KIR haplotypes consist of framework genes, present in all individuals, which bracket a variable number of other genes. We used a new technique to type 793 families from the United Kingdom and United States for both the presence/absence of all individual KIR genes as well as copy number and found that KIR haplotypes are even more complex. It is striking that all KIR loci are subject to copy number variation (CNV), including the so-called framework genes, but CNV is much more frequent in KIR B haplotypes than KIR A haplotypes. These two basic KIR haplotype groups, A and B, appear to be following different evolutionary trajectories. Despite the great diversity, there are 11 common haplotypes, derived by reciprocal recombination near *KIR2DL4*, which collectively account for 94% of KIR haplotypes determined in Caucasian samples. These haplotypes could be derived from combinations of just three centromeric and two telomeric motifs, simplifying disease analysis for these haplotypes. The remaining 6% of haplotypes displayed novel examples of expansion and contraction of numbers of loci. Conventional KIR typing misses much of this additional complexity, with important implications for studying the genetics of disease association with KIR that can now be explored by CNV analysis.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

The killer immunoglobulin-like receptor (KIR) genes are part of the leukocyte receptor complex (LRC), on chromosome 19q13.4. These genes are highly polymorphic and occupy one of the most rapidly evolving regions of the human genome. A KIR complex is missing in the mouse genome, and even closely related primate species show substantial differences in organization (Parham et al. 2012).

KIR molecules modulate the development and activity of natural killer (NK) and some T-cells through interaction with major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I receptors. Genetic analysis comparing disease with control samples indicates that KIR variation, in conjunction with polymorphic MHC class I molecules, plays a key role both in human reproduction as well as in immune defense (Khakoo and Carrington 2006).

Currently, 15 different KIR loci (*KIR2DL1*, *KIR2DL2/KIR2DL3* [*2DL2L3*], *KIR2DL4*, *KIR2DL5A*, *KIR2DL5B*, *KIR2DS1–5*, *KIR3DL1/KIR3DS1* [*3DL1S1*], *KIR3DL2–3*, and two pseudogenes, *KIR2DP1* and *KIR3DP1*; all gene names abbreviated in subsequent text, without “KIR”) have been identified (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ipd/kir/>). Selected combinations of these genes are encoded on haplotypes within a 100- to 200-kb region of the LRC (Martin et al.

2000; Wilson et al. 2000). Most KIR haplotypes contain between seven and 12 genes plus the two pseudogenes. Based on this variation in gene content alone, more than 50 distinct KIR haplotypes have been identified (Hsu et al. 2002; Khakoo and Carrington 2006). Further complexity is introduced by extensive allelic variation, with more than 50 different alleles determined for some loci (Robinson et al. 2010).

Previous analyses of these haplotypes suggested that they are subdivided into two distinct groups. Subsequently these two groups were shown to have differential associations with disease and reproductive success (Khakoo and Carrington 2006). Group A haplotypes comprise seven genes and two pseudogenes. Six of the seven KIRs are inhibitory in nature, because they have immunoreceptor tyrosine-based motifs (ITIMs) in their cytoplasmic tails. The seventh gene, *KIR2DS4*, is potentially activating but is disabled by a 22-bp frameshift deletion on ~75% of A haplotypes and is only therefore functional in a minority of individuals (Hsu et al. 2002). Activating KIR genes do not contain ITIM motifs and instead are coupled to adaptor proteins, such as DAP12, which contain immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activating motifs (ITAMs).

In contrast, Group B haplotypes are composed of variable numbers of KIR genes, and one or more of these are activating. This segregation into distinct and evolutionarily divergent haplotype groups (A and B) is unique to the human species (Parham et al. 2012). Furthermore, both haplotypic groups have been found in all human populations studied to date and are maintained by balancing selection (Gendzekhadze et al. 2009).

<sup>5</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>6</sup>Corresponding author  
E-mail [jat51@cam.ac.uk](mailto:jat51@cam.ac.uk)

Article published online before print. Article, supplemental material, and publication date are at <http://www.genome.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gr.137976.112>. Freely available online through the *Genome Research* Open Access option.

The recent evolutionary plasticity of the KIRs and human-specific high diversity, in addition to their biomedical relevance, makes it important to study their organization. There is mounting evidence that receptor–ligand specificity between polymorphic KIR and polymorphic MHC class I influences susceptibility to infections, such as HIV and hepatitis C, in addition to cancer, autoimmune diseases, and disorders of pregnancy, as well as outcomes after hematological and solid organ transplantation (Parham 2005).

The genomic organization of KIR haplotypes is structured relative to the fixed position of the so-called framework genes, *3DL3*, *2DL4*, and *3DL2* (Robinson et al. 2010). This gene order has been determined from the mapping and sequencing of several prototypic KIR haplotypes (Pyo et al. 2010). *3DL3* and *3DL2* mark the centromeric and telomeric boundaries of the cluster, respectively. *3DP1* and *2DL4* are placed centrally. Between *3DP1* and *2DL4* is a recombination hotspot. Annotating the haplotype motif structures centromeric (Cen) and telomeric (Tel) of this hotspot based on gene content (Pyo et al. 2010) has simplified and strengthened analysis in disease association studies (Hiby et al. 2010).

It has been proposed that the arrangement of KIR genes in close head-to-tail orientation and their high sequence similarity facilitates gene gain and loss or copy number variation (CNV) by unidirectional alignment and sequential non-allelic homologous recombination (NAHR) (Martin et al. 2003). Consistent with this, unusual KIR haplotypes that possess aberrant gene content and fusion genes have been identified (Norman et al. 2002, 2007, 2009; Martin et al. 2008; Traherne et al. 2010).

Given this background, we set out to explore variation in KIR haplotypes that may not be detected by conventional typing methods. To do this, we measured copy number as well as KIR presence or absence, using DNA from family-based cohorts in order to ensure the provenance of each haplotype.

## Results

### KIR haplotypes are highly diversified by copy number variability

KIR content and copy number were determined by typing 3466 samples (Table 1). Variation between sample replicates was typically  $<0.17$  SD allowing accurate copy number calling for 99.6% of typed subjects. Copy number variability was observed, with variable frequency, for all KIR loci (Table 2). Segregation of gene copies in families resolved 2999 parental haplotypes. Of these, 71 unique haplotypes were identified, defined by gene content. Fifty-eight of the 71 haplotypes represented rare gene configurations, reflecting considerable CNV. The longest haplotype identified contained 20 loci, and the shortest carried four loci.

### KIR haplotype frequencies are nonrandom

Figure 1 shows the frequency distribution of the haplotypes and the centromeric (Cen) and telomeric (Tel) haplotype motifs.

Consistent with previous studies of samples of the same ethnicity, the standard A haplotype was present at 55% frequency (Martin et al. 2008). KIR A haplotypes, 01 and 02, had identical gene content but differed according to their *2DS4* allele type. Haplotype 01 contained the 22-bp frameshift deletion variant of the *2DS4* gene, whereas haplotype 02 contained the full-length form. KIR B haplotypes with conventional gene configurations were present at 39% frequency. Dividing the KIR haplotypes into centromeric and telomeric regions separated by the recombination hotspot between *3DP1* and *2DL4* showed that the frequencies of the previously defined centromeric and telomeric motifs were mostly consistent with previous studies (Pyo et al. 2010; Hou et al. 2012). Exceptions were ~6% of haplotypes that, although still definable as altered A or B haplotypes, were unclassifiable using current KIR haplotype nomenclature because their composition did not conform to the established centromeric or telomeric gene content motifs. These unusual cases represent extended or contracted haplotypes where the standard motifs are disrupted (see below). DNA sequencing of these haplotypes will be necessary to elucidate their precise structures.

### Common KIR haplotypes are created by reciprocal recombination

Eleven haplotypes, each with frequencies  $>1\%$ , accounted for 94% of the total 2999 parental haplotypes (Fig. 2). A parsimonious mechanism to account for the derivation of all of these common haplotypes invokes homologous recombination between common precursor haplotypes at the known recombination hotspot upstream of *2DL4*, as illustrated in Figure 3. All possible combinations of centromeric (cA01, cB01, cB02) and telomeric (tA01, tB01) haplotype structures are represented in these 11 common haplotypes (Fig. 2).

### Many KIR haplotypes exhibit novel structural variation

Sixty rare haplotypes, each with frequencies  $<1\%$ , exhibited unconventional gene copy number or gene arrangement. These haplotypes, which often had framework genes duplicated or deleted, accounted for 6% of the 2999 parental haplotypes (Fig. 4). For example, 10 of these 61 haplotypes lack either one or both of the so-called framework genes *3DP1* and *2DL4*. Altogether 22 expanded KIR haplotypes and 38 contracted haplotypes were identified. Less than ~5% of these novel haplotypes would be distinguishable using published KIR typing methods such as SSP and Luminex-based SSO technology, even if their configurations were provided as templates. The reason for this is that without determining copy number or defining alleles, ascertainment of the gene arrangements on these haplotypes can be obscured by variation in KIR gene content of the partnering chromosome, even with pedigrees to track gene transmission (Martin et al. 2008). Six of the uncommon gene combinations (haplotypes 12, 13, 14, 15, 18, and 33) appeared to match recombinant haplotypes reported previously by us and others (Gomez-Lozano et al. 2003, 2005; Martin et al. 2003; Ordóñez et al. 2008, 2011; Traherne et al. 2010). Using published methods for detecting hybrid genes (e.g., *2DL5/3DP1* [*3DP1\*004*], *2DS2/2DS3* [*2DS2\*005*], and *2DL3/2DP1*) and specific alleles associated with these recombinant haplotypes, we were able to


**Table 1.** Composition of the HBDI and DBN sets

	Families	Individuals	Average family size	Family size distribution (%)							Generations distribution (%)		
				3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	2	3
HBDI	339	1698	5.0	0.0	37.2	39.8	13.0	7.7	0.2	1.5	0.6	100	0.0
DBN	454	1768	4.3	3.7	69.8	22.2	3.7	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	99.8	0.2

**Table 2.** KIR gene and allele copy number frequencies (n = 2999)

Copy number	3DL3	2DS2	2DL2	2DL3	2DL2L3	2DP1	2DL1	3DP1	2DL4	3DL1	3DS1	3DL1S1	2DL5	2DS3	2DS5	2DS3S5	2DS1	2DS4f	2DS4v	2DS4	3DL2
0	0.07	69.81	70.30	30.51	0.83	16.97	17.21	1.60	1.57	22.30	77.61	1.57	69.84	84.79	81.99	70.02	77.84	78.47	43.99	22.37	0.30
1	99.90	30.19	29.47	69.46	98.90	82.39	82.09	96.07	96.06	77.63	21.59	96.07	24.69	13.04	17.77	24.37	22.13	21.50	56.01	77.59	99.70
2	0.03	0.00	0.23	0.03	0.27	0.63	0.70	2.33	2.37	0.07	0.80	2.37	5.43	2.17	0.23	5.57	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00
3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Gene copy number varies from zero to three copies on a single haplotype. *2DS4v* refers to the 22-bp deletion variant of *2DS4*, and *2DS4f* refers to the full-length form of the gene.



**Figure 1.** Frequency distributions of KIR haplotypes and motifs. Seventy-one unique haplotypes based on gene content were identified in 793 nuclear families. Eleven haplotypes with frequencies >1% (shaded) account for 94% of the total 2999 parental haplotypes. Haplotypes 01 and 02 (both Cen-A: Tel-A) are the two basic A haplotypes that differ by the type of *2DS4* present; 01 carries the 22-bp frameshift deletion variant and 02 carries the full-length form. Pie charts show the frequencies of unique KIR haplotypes, A (Cen-A:Tel-A) and B (Cen-A:Tel-B, Cen-B:Tel-A, Cen-B:Tel-B) haplotype groups, individual structural motifs, and motif combinations. KIR A haplotypes contain a Cen-A motif (defined by presence of a *2DL3*) and a Tel-A motif (*2DS4* present). B haplotypes can be defined by the presence of a Cen-B (*2DS2* present) and/or a Tel-B (*2DS1* present); Cen-A motif *3DL3-2DL3-2DP1-2DL1-3DP1*, Cen-B motif *3DL3-2DS2-2DL2-(2DLS3C-2DS3S5C-2DP1-2DL1)-3DP1*, Tel-A motif *2DL4-3DL1-2DS4* full-length or deletion variant-*3DL2*, Tel-B motif *2DL4-3DS1-2DL5T-2DS3S5T-2DS1-3DL2*. Sixty haplotypes, together accounting for 6% of the 2999 resolvable haplotypes, have frequencies <1% and typically carry unconventional gene content. Haplotypes that did not strictly conform to standard A/B haplotypes or motifs because of altered structural composition are labeled “U.”

confirm the identity of these haplotypes (data not shown). The identification of these recombinant haplotypes further corroborates the copy number typing method used in this study for detecting novel haplotype rearrangements.

Even though the primers and probes were designed specifically to avoid sequence polymorphism known to date within each gene (current IPD-KIR Database Release 2.4.0), it is possible that some of the novel deletion and extended haplotypes could rather be common arrangements of genes carrying allelic polymorphisms not detected by our PCR primers or probes (see the Supplemental Material). In the few instances in which a rare SNP lies within an annealing site for a primer or probe and may therefore disrupt binding, the corresponding allele designation is given in the Supplemental Material. The majority of the haplotypes involve loss or duplication of several genes (as evaluated by several independent assays). It is unlikely that these haplotypes correspond to rare allelic polymorphism in multiple genes. In two deletion haplotypes missing only a single gene (haplotypes 15 and 21), a hybrid gene is present, confirming haplotype rearrangement. In the remaining 17 deletion haplotypes that are missing a single gene (marked by a black dot in Fig. 4), we do not rule out the possibility that these may relate to allelic polymorphism.

Alternative methods such as SSP-PCR KIR genotyping have been carried out for the families carrying unusual genotypes. In some cases, this has confirmed the CNV, although in many cases, and for all framework genes, it has not been possible to verify gene absence using this approach. This is because without determining copy number, it cannot be seen whether the gene in question is deleted since it is hidden by a copy of the same gene on the partnering chromosome.

## KIR copy number variation is spatially biased


The data indicate that some genes are rarely subject to CNV (Fig. 5). For example, the two genes defining the ends of the KIR region, *3DL2* and *3DL3*, only deviated from one copy on four of the 71 haplotypes (*3DL3*: 0, 1, 2 copy frequencies 0.07, 99.9, and 0.03, respectively, and *3DL2*: 0, 1 copy frequencies 0.3, 99.7). Variation may be confined between the flanking framework genes because these genes represent the limit of complementary sequence for misalignment and repair. There were no haplotypes with *2DS2* duplicated and only two haplotypes with *2DS1* or *2DS4* duplicated, although these genes were missing on certain haplotypes and thus are subject to CNV. This raises the possibility that duplication of these activating genes may be selectively disadvantageous in this population or that it is restricted by their position within the locus. On the other hand, some genes were more frequently subject to CNV. For example, *3DL1S1* deviated from one copy on 25 of the 71 haplotypes.

## Novel fusion genes may be older than many extant haplotypes

Gene fusions that have previously been described (*2DL5/3DP1* [now designated *3DP1\*004*], *2DL1/2DS1*, *2DL3/2DP1*) were identified in a small number of samples (Martin et al. 2003; Traherne et al. 2010). Certain fusion genes, with identical chromosome breakpoints, were present on different haplotypes. These may have arisen by recombination with other haplotypes that took place after the hybrid genes were derived. For example, the same *2DL5/3DP1* (*3DP1\*004*) fusion gene was present in haplotypes 13, 16, 20, and 39, suggesting the occurrence of at least three recombination events since the generation of this fusion gene (Fig. 6). In these cases, the hybrids may predate extant haplotypes. Using a range of hotspot recombination rates from  $2 \times 10^{-3}$  to  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  per generation accounting for normal hotspot widths of 1.0–2.0 kb (Jeffreys et al. 2001; Jeffreys and Neumann 2002), and taking into account three recombination events over two recombination hotspots, we estimate that the *2DL5/3DP1* (*3DP1\*004*) fusion gene was formed 750–15,000 generations ago. Very few *2DL4-3DL1S1* duplication haplotypes have been found in Africa compared with other populations (Norman et al. 2009), which may fit with the more recent end of the age estimation. Haplotypes that have expanded the central region of the KIR locus must have duplicated the recombination hotspot upstream of *2DL4* and may therefore be more prone to reciprocal recombination than standard KIR haplotypes. In addition, hybrid genes with differently sited breakpoints were identified, consistent with recurrent recombination within KIR (Traherne et al. 2010).

## Only KIR B haplotypes show rich CNV haplotype diversity

Interestingly, more than half of the 2999 resolvable haplotypes in the two sets of samples were A haplotypes, yet <1% of A



**Figure 2.** Common KIR haplotypes. Depicted are the 11 common haplotypes that encompass 94% of Caucasian haplotypes studied. (Right) Haplotype frequencies, A/B group classification, and constituent motifs; for example, cA01 and tA01 denote centromeric-A haplotype 1 and telomeric-A haplotype 1, respectively. Gene and allele content were determined by segregation analysis. (Black spot) Site of the recombination hotspot between *3DP1* and *2DL4*. The gene order is based on published sequences of KIR haplotypes that were sequenced in their entirety. Locations of *2DS3S5* may vary on unsequenced haplotypes. The boxed key identifies alleles, variants, and which genes are considered framework. *2DS4v* represents the 22-bp frameshift deletion variant, and *2DS4f* is the full-length form. *2DL2* and *2DL3* are allelic variants of *2DL2L3*, *3DL1* and *3DS1* are variants of *3DL1S1*, and *2DS3* and *2DS5* are variants of *2DS3S5*. The motif annotation is at the resolution of gene content and does not distinguish allelic variation of individual genes. For example, the haplotype motif tA01 may have either form of *2DS4* (*2DS4f* or *2DS4v*), and tB01 may have either variant of *2DS3S5* (*2DS3* or *2DS5*). T and C suffixes for *2DL5* (*2DL5A* or *2DL5B*) and *2DS3S5* indicate the telomeric and centromeric versions of the genes, respectively (Gomez-Lozano et al. 2002; Ordonez et al. 2008; Pyo et al. 2010). For simplicity, *2DS1* and *2DS4* are shown at the same site because they showed a mutually exclusive relationship.

haplotypes were affected by copy number variation (i.e., products of NAHR between two A haplotypes, so comprising no B haplotype-specific genes, were very rare), compared with >80% of the B haplotypes. Most of these A haplotypes appeared to be affected in a single gene. These could have been due to deletions or alternatively to novel/rare sequence variants that resulted in lack of priming with our oligonucleotides as described above.

## Discussion

### More KIR haplotypes will exist

We show that KIR variation is even more extensive than previously suspected. Out of 1605 founders, we obtained evidence for 71 haplotypes with different compositions of genes. The *KIR* locus is thus the most variable protein-encoding site in the human genome in terms of size and haplotype gene content diversity. So far, we have determined several novel KIR haplotypes having new gene arrangements and loci in Caucasian populations. Collectively these novel haplotypes exceed 5% in frequency.


The families were selected for the presence of two common autoimmune diseases so it is possible that risk haplotypes may be present in the study population. Having said this, the unrelated individuals studied contained a high proportion of unaffected individuals, and haplotype frequencies did not differ between unaffected and disease subjects. This is reflected in the frequencies for the 11 common haplotypes that did not differ significantly compared with an earlier family-based study consisting of unaffected Caucasian individuals (Martin et al. 2008).

HBDI (687 founders) contained 20 haplotypes that were not seen in DBN (918 founders), and DBN contributed 24 haplotypes that were not present in HBDI, indicating that more novel haplotypes remain to be described. It can be estimated from our data that there are more than 90 KIR haplotypes in the U.K./U.S. populations of European descent (Supplemental Material). Preliminary assessment of haplotypes from other populations, especially from Africa and Asia, suggests that this number will be greatly exceeded. This considerable reservoir of variation and its broad distribution in frequency provide the substrate on which natural selection acts at the population and individual levels.

The expanded *KIR* gene cluster is unique to primates, and typically there are only a few *KIR* genes in other vertebrate species (Parham et al. 2012). In humans, the KIRs are highly variable and in a state of evolutionary flux, and, curiously, humans are the only species that have distinctive A and B haplotypes (Parham et al. 2012). The reasons for these phenomena have not been established, but they are indicative of balancing selection, potentially driven by immunity and reproduction. The physical nature of the locus may facilitate gene rearrangements. In regard to selection, KIR functions are tied in with those of polymorphic HLA class I molecules. Combinatorial KIR and HLA diversity leads to an extreme degree of heterogeneity between different individuals, and these combinations of ligand and receptor affect susceptibility to a broad spectrum of disease.

### Disease analyses can be simplified, but current KIR typing is inadequate

With only 11 common haplotypes accounting for 94% in the population, classifiable into Cen-A and Tel-B, analysis in disease studies



**Figure 3.** The 11 common haplotypes are derived from recombination of a small number of haplotypes. The figure shows the putative mechanism for formation of common recombinant haplotypes from parental haplotypes by reciprocal recombination. All 11 haplotypes can be accounted for by recombination at the recombination hotspot near *2DL4*. The mechanism effectively swaps the centromeric and telomeric motifs (identification in key above) between haplotypes by reciprocal recombination. Genes are not duplicated or deleted by this process, but haplotype motifs are interchanged. Some recombinations generate equivalent recombinant haplotypes to the others, in terms of gene content and not considering alleles. (Right) Constituent haplotype motifs based on gene content; (f) frequency. The numbers in the parentheses refer to haplotypes defined in Figure 1. Where there are two haplotypes in parentheses, e.g., (01/02), then these haplotypes only differ by *2DS4* type (*2DS4f* or *2DS4v*; see below). (Gray) Cen-B and Tel-B motif genes; (white) Cen-A and Tel-A motif genes. cA01 and tA01 denote Cen-A haplotype motif 1 and Tel-A haplotype motif 1, respectively. tA01 may have either form of *2DS4* (*2DS4f* or *2DS4v*). tB01 may have either variant of *2DS3S5* (*2DS3* or *2DS5*). The arrows point both up and down because the precursor and recombinant haplotypes are not known and the process could occur in either direction, exchanging parental and progeny haplotypes.

can be simplified if unusual haplotypes can be detected easily. An improved strategy might therefore exclude the 6% with unusual CNV in large cohorts and analyze these separately from the other 94%.

However, our findings also signal some caution in the interpretation of KIR typing, because current routine methods may be blind to the existence of novel hybrid genes and many of the genomic rearrangements we describe. Our data can be used to help identify new hybrid genes and develop methods to detect them. Methods for detecting some hybrid genes such as *2DL5/3DP1* (*3DP1\*004*), *2DS2/2DS3* (*2DS2\*005*), and *3DL1/3DL2* have already been described (Gomez-Lozano et al. 2005; Norman et al. 2009; Traherne et al. 2010). As with the MHC, novel refinements of typing methods will need to be introduced, based on imputation from SNP data and next-generation sequencing techniques (Leslie et al. 2008; Holcomb et al. 2011).


As mentioned above, many studies have indicated a relationship between MHC class I, KIR, and disease. We propose that these data are in need of further refinement, once copy number has been taken into account. Furthermore, there is evidence that copy number, which leads to expression differences (Norman

et al. 2009), may be important to susceptibility to some diseases. For example, CNV of *3DL1S1* influences HIV control (Pelak et al. 2011) and expression differences of *2DL3*, interacting with HLA-C, may have a profound effect on resolution of hepatitis C virus infection with an odds ratio of more than 2 (Khakoo et al. 2004; Li et al. 2008).

### B haplotypes are subject to gene loss and gain

In compiling the many KIR arrangements, we noted that A haplotypes are generally stable in terms of copy number when compared with B haplotypes. Out of the 71 haplotypes studied, only one exhibited any duplications in genes specific to A haplotypes. One interpretation of this finding is that individuals carrying a deletion or duplication of genes on A haplotypes would have no selective advantage. In contrast, extreme B haplotypes containing between four and 15 genes are consistent with a survival advantage of variability. We have some examples of homozygotes of these in studies of other populations (Traherne et al. 2010; L Farrell, A Munro, O Chazara, H Laiuori, J Jayaraman, J Traherne, K Kivinen,


## Human KIR haplotype copy number diversity



**Figure 4.** Unconventional KIR haplotypes and their frequencies. Fifteen altered A haplotypes and 56 B haplotypes all with frequencies <1%. Duplicated genes are not depicted in order, but for simplicity, the paralog has been arbitrarily placed adjacent to the ancestral gene. In some cases, the order of all of the genes has not been determined. Genes suffixed with “v” have parts altered or deleted including fused genes resulting from NAHR or a novel allele. *2DS4v* represents the 22-bp frameshift deletion variant, and *2DS4f* is the full-length form. *3DL1v* on haplotypes 63 and 48 tested negative for exon 4 and on haplotypes 59, 61, 71, and 43 negative for exon 9. *3DL2v* on haplotypes 64 and 26 were negative for exon 4 and on haplotype 32 negative for exon 9. Nineteen haplotypes, marked with a black dot, were missing a copy or part of a single locus but otherwise could be the same as standard haplotypes (common arrangements of genes). Apart from haplotypes 15 and 21, which carried a hybrid gene (*2DS2/2DS3*; *2DS2\*005*), these marked haplotypes may not reflect true copy number variation because in these cases, we could not rule out the possibility that the gene was present but was erroneously scored as missing due to sequence variation that did not complement our generic primers (see Methods; Supplemental Material). To help resolve novel KIR haplotypes from unphased genotypes of unrelated individuals, we developed a tool for imputing haplotype pairs given observed copy number for each KIR loci. This tool is provided at <http://www.bioinformatics.cimr.cam.ac.uk/haplotypes/>.

L Järvinen, S Hiby, and A Moffett, unpubl.). Consequently, B haplotypes may be under stronger diversifying selection than A haplotypes. The nature of this selection is not clear, but it could

relate to several factors, including reproductive success; risk of pre-eclampsia or extreme birth-weight; selective pressure on unfavorable genes that, for example, carry a risk of autoimmunity; or to



**Figure 5.** Gene duplication:absence ratios in the 71 unique haplotypes. CNV is spatially biased within the *KIR* locus, as illustrated by this plot showing the proportion of the 71 haplotypes showing duplication or absence for each respective gene.

creation or loss of novel functional genes driven by waves of infection. In this case, B haplotypes, or more specifically activating genes, which are mostly confined to B haplotypes, are the driving force.

An alternative explanation, which does not necessarily invoke selection, is that B, but not A, haplotypes are prone to rearrange. One possibility is that NAHR only generates copy number diversity in B haplotypes. What features of B haplotypes could be responsible for such a phenomenon? The obvious difference between A and B is the presence of one or more activating *KIR* genes on the latter, although an intact *2DS4* gene is present on 24% of A haplotypes. Another possibility is that A haplotypes are restrained from recombination (NAHR) by histone protection or because they are missing sequence elements that facilitate recombination. However A haplotype components appear on many extended and truncated haplotypes through recombination with B haplotypes. In principle, the A haplotype may have spread recently under directional selection without sufficient time for rearrangement, although this may be unlikely given its worldwide distribution.

In conclusion, through our description of a number of novel haplotypes in Caucasian families, we have refined our understanding of the genetic variation of the *KIR* cluster. We have shown that this variability is far greater than previously thought, reflecting extreme evolutionary pressures that have exerted their effects

recently and rapidly. This is consistent with the broad spectrum of disease for which there are reported associations between susceptibility and *KIR* gene content. The additional variability that we have described at this locus is currently only detectable by careful analysis of gene copy number. This has implications for previous genetic studies of human disease, and it will be imperative to consider this variation in future investigations. Furthermore, given the structural complexities of the cluster, it presents a significant challenge to the use of SNP or sequencing-based typing techniques.


## Methods

### DNA samples

Two sets of subjects were studied. The first set was from the Human Biological Data Interchange (HBDI) and consisted of 1698 white American subjects within 339 families selected as part of a study of type I diabetes. The second set was from the UK DNA Banking Network (DBN) and consisted of 1768 white British individuals from 454 families, ascertained in a separate study of asthma or atopic dermatitis. All subjects were of initial European ancestry.

### Copy number determination by quantitative PCR

Genomic DNAs were typed for copy number of the following *KIR* genes, pseudogenes, and major alleles: *2DL1-5*, *2DS1-3*, *2DS4* (separate assays for the gene, full-length variant [f], and deletion variant [v]), *2DS5*, *3DL1-3*, *3DS1*, *2DP1*, and *3DP1*. *KIR* genotype and copy number were measured using a quantitative PCR comparative Ct method (Schmittgen and Livak 2008). Our copy number assay for all *KIR* genes was developed from the previously published detailed protocol for determining genomic copy number for *2DL4* (Martin et al. 2003). The primer and probe sequences for analysis of all *KIR* loci are given in the Supplemental Material to allow judgment of whether they are appropriate for defining the currently known polymorphisms and variants discovered in the future. The primers and probes were designed specifically to avoid the sequence polymorphism known to date within each gene (current IPD-KIR Database Release 2.4.0, 15-April-2011, <http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ipd/kir/>). In the few instances in which a rare SNP lies within an annealing site for a primer or probe and may



**Figure 6.** The fusion gene *2DL5/3DP1* is carried on four different *KIR* haplotypes. The precise chromosome breakage in *2DL5/3DP1* (*3DP1\*004*) is shared by these four haplotypes, suggesting a common ancestry rather than independent formation of the fusion gene by NAHR between different haplotypes. Instead, the haplotype structures are consistent with recombination occurring with different haplotypes, i.e., motif interchange, at the recombination hotspots sited between *3DP1* and *2DL4*, after the fusion gene was created. Constituent haplotype motifs based on gene content are boxed. (f) Frequency. The numbers in the parentheses refer to the haplotypes defined in Figure 1.

therefore disrupt binding, the corresponding allele designation is given in the Supplemental Material. A set of multiplex qPCR assays was used to ascertain copy number for all 17 *KIR* genes and their major variants. Reactions were carried out in quadruplicate to ensure accuracy of the copy number scoring. Three control samples of known copy number were included in each run. For *3DL1* and *3DL2* we used two reactions to target different parts of the gene to identify known fusion genes (Norman et al. 2009). To verify the accuracy of the KIR typing, we used independent panels previously typed by others with standard methods (PCR-sequence-specific primers [PCR-SSP] and PCR-sequence-specific oligonucleotide [PCR-SSO] probes), including CEPH pedigrees available from Coriell Cell Repositories (Martin et al. 2008), the POPS cohort (Pasupathy et al. 2008) ( $n = 50$ , KIR typed by Ashley Moffett's group, Cambridge, UK), and samples from the International KIR exchange program (<http://www.hla.ucla.edu/cellDna.htm>; Arlene Locke, Marie Lau, Elaine F. Reed, and Raja Rajalingam, UCLA Immunogenetics Center, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA). Complete concordance with previous typing verified the accuracy of the KIR typing. In collaboration with the Addenbrooke's Hospital Tissue Typing laboratory in Cambridge, we are developing plans to establish a KIR typing service using the quantitative KIR automated-typing (qKAT) method.

### KIR haplotype determination and statistical analysis

KIR haplotypes were determined by segregation analysis in families. Gene frequencies did not differ between the two populations ( $\chi^2$  test for independence,  $P > 0.05$ ), so the data were pooled. For each pedigree, all nonrecombinant haplotypes were identified by the Merlin program (Abecasis et al. 2002). Approximately 8% of the parental (founder) haplotypes were not fully deduced because of phase ambiguity or missing typing data. Standards for KIR haplotype nomenclature are still in development; therefore, we arbitrarily labeled haplotypes numerically based on frequency.

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the MRC and the Wellcome Trust with partial funding from the National Institute for Health Research Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre, Pathological Society, Newton Trust, and a Wellcome Trust strategic award (079895/Z/06/Z). We acknowledge services and data access provided by BioBanking Solutions administered from the Centre for Integrated Genomic Medical Research (University of Manchester). We are grateful to Professor Jim Kaufman and Professor Ashley Moffett (Department of Pathology, University of Cambridge, UK) for critical reading of this manuscript. We also thank Professor Ashley Moffett for permission to use the data from their KIR typing of the POPS cohort.

### References

Abecasis GR, Cherny SS, Cookson WO, Cardon LR. 2002. Merlin—rapid analysis of dense genetic maps using sparse gene flow trees. *Nat Genet* **30**: 97–101.

Gendzekhadze K, Norman PJ, Abi-Rached L, Graef T, Moesta AK, Layrisse Z, Parham P. 2009. Co-evolution of KIR2DL3 with HLA-C in a human population retaining minimal essential diversity of KIR and HLA class I ligands. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **106**: 18692–18697.

Gomez-Lozano N, Gardiner CM, Parham P, Vilches C. 2002. Some human KIR haplotypes contain two KIR2DL5 genes: *KIR2DL5A* and *KIR2DL5B*. *Immunogenetics* **54**: 314–319.

Gomez-Lozano N, de Pablo R, Puento S, Vilches C. 2003. Recognition of HLA-G by the NK cell receptor KIR2DL4 is not essential for human reproduction. *Eur J Immunol* **33**: 639–644.

Gomez-Lozano N, Estefania E, Williams F, Halpenny I, Middleton D, Solis R, Vilches C. 2005. The silent *KIR3DP1* gene (CD158c) is transcribed and might encode a secreted receptor in a minority of humans, in whom the *KIR3DP1*, *KIR2DL4* and *KIR3DL1/KIR3DS1* genes are duplicated. *Eur J Immunol* **35**: 16–24.

Hiby SE, Apps R, Sharkey AM, Farrell LE, Gardner L, Mulder A, Claas FH, Walker JJ, Redman CW, Morgan L, et al. 2010. Maternal activating KIRs protect against human reproductive failure mediated by fetal HLA-C2. *J Clin Invest* **120**: 4102–4110.

Holcomb CL, Hoglund B, Anderson MW, Blake LA, Bohme I, Egholm M, Ferriola D, Gabriel C, Gelber SE, Goodridge D, et al. 2011. A multi-site study using high-resolution HLA genotyping by next generation sequencing. *Tissue Antigens* **77**: 206–217.

Hou L, Chen M, Ng J, Hurley CK. 2012. Conserved KIR allele-level haplotypes are altered by microvariation in individuals with European ancestry. *Genes Immun* **13**: 47–58.

Hsu KC, Liu XR, Selvakumar A, Mickelson E, O'Reilly RJ, Dupont B. 2002. Killer Ig-like receptor haplotype analysis by gene content: Evidence for genomic diversity with a minimum of six basic framework haplotypes, each with multiple subsets. *J Immunol* **169**: 5118–5129.

Jeffreys AJ, Neumann R. 2002. Reciprocal crossover asymmetry and meiotic drive in a human recombination hot spot. *Nat Genet* **31**: 267–271.

Jeffreys AJ, Kauppi L, Neumann R. 2001. Intensely punctate meiotic recombination in the class II region of the major histocompatibility complex. *Nat Genet* **29**: 217–222.

Khakoo SI, Carrington M. 2006. KIR and disease: A model system or system of models? *Immunol Rev* **214**: 186–201.

Khakoo SI, Thio CL, Martin MP, Brooks CR, Gao X, Astemborski J, Cheng J, Goedert JJ, Vlahov D, Hilgartner M, et al. 2004. HLA and NK cell inhibitory receptor genes in resolving hepatitis C virus infection. *Science* **305**: 872–874.

Leslie S, Donnelly P, McVean G. 2008. A statistical method for predicting classical HLA alleles from SNP data. *Am J Hum Genet* **82**: 48–56.

Li H, Pascal V, Martin MP, Carrington M, Anderson SK. 2008. Genetic control of variegated *KIR* gene expression: Polymorphisms of the bi-directional *KIR3DL1* promoter are associated with distinct frequencies of gene expression. *PLoS Genet* **4**: e1000254. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000254.

Martin AM, Freitas EM, Witt CS, Christiansen FT. 2000. The genomic organization and evolution of the natural killer immunoglobulin-like receptor (KIR) gene cluster. *Immunogenetics* **51**: 268–280.

Martin MP, Bashirova A, Traherne J, Trowsdale J, Carrington M. 2003. Cutting edge: Expansion of the *KIR* locus by unequal crossing over. *J Immunol* **171**: 2192–2195.

Martin MP, Single RM, Wilson MJ, Trowsdale J, Carrington M. 2008. KIR haplotypes defined by segregation analysis in 59 Centre d'Etude Polymorphisme Humain (CEPH) families. *Immunogenetics* **60**: 767–774.

Norman PJ, Carrington CV, Byng M, Maxwell LD, Curran MD, Stephens HA, Chandanayingyong D, Verity DH, Hameed K, Ramdath DD, et al. 2002. Natural killer cell immunoglobulin-like receptor (KIR) locus profiles in African and South Asian populations. *Genes Immun* **3**: 86–95.

Norman PJ, Abi-Rached L, Gendzekhadze K, Korbel D, Gleimer M, Rowley D, Bruno D, Carrington CV, Chandanayingyong D, Chang YH, et al. 2007. Unusual selection on the *KIR3DL1/S1* natural killer cell receptor in Africans. *Nat Genet* **39**: 1092–1099.

Norman PJ, Abi-Rached L, Gendzekhadze K, Hammond JA, Moesta AK, Sharma D, Graef T, McQueen KL, Guethlein LA, Carrington CV, et al. 2009. Meiotic recombination generates rich diversity in NK cell receptor genes, alleles, and haplotypes. *Genome Res* **19**: 757–769.

Ordenez D, Meenagh A, Gomez-Lozano N, Castano J, Middleton D, Vilches C. 2008. Duplication, mutation and recombination of the human orphan gene *KIR2DS3* contribute to the diversity of *KIR* haplotypes. *Genes Immun* **9**: 431–437.

Ordenez D, Gomez-Lozano N, Rosales L, Vilches C. 2011. Molecular characterisation of *KIR2DS2\*005*, a fusion gene associated with a shortened *KIR* haplotype. *Genes Immun* **12**: 544–551.

Parham P. 2005. MHC class I molecules and KIRs in human history, health and survival. *Nat Rev Immunol* **5**: 201–214.

Parham P, Norman PJ, Abi-Rached L, Guethlein LA. 2012. Human-specific evolution of killer cell immunoglobulin-like receptor recognition of major histocompatibility complex class I molecules. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **367**: 800–811.

Pasupathy D, Dacey A, Cook E, Charnock-Jones DS, White IR, Smith GC. 2008. Study protocol. A prospective cohort study of unselected primiparous women: The pregnancy outcome prediction study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* **8**: 51. doi: 10.1186/1471-2393-8-51.

Pelak K, Need AC, Fellay J, Shianna KV, Feng S, Urban TJ, Ge D, De Luca A, Martinez-Picado J, Wolinsky SM, et al. 2011. Copy number variation of

- KIR* genes influences HIV-1 control. *PLoS Biol* **9**: e1001208. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001208.
- Pyo CW, Guethlein LA, Vu Q, Wang R, Abi-Rached L, Norman PJ, Marsh SG, Miller JS, Parham P, Geraghty DE. 2010. Different patterns of evolution in the centromeric and telomeric regions of group *A* and *B* haplotypes of the human killer cell Ig-like receptor locus. *PLoS ONE* **5**: e15115. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0015115.
- Robinson J, Mistry K, McWilliam H, Lopez R, Marsh SG. 2010. IPD—the Immuno Polymorphism Database. *Nucleic Acids Res* **38**: D863–D869.
- Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ. 2008. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative C(T) method. *Nat Protoc* **3**: 1101–1108.
- Traherne JA, Martin M, Ward R, Ohashi M, Pellett F, Gladman D, Middleton D, Carrington M, Trowsdale J. 2010. Mechanisms of copy number variation and hybrid gene formation in the KIR immune gene complex. *Hum Mol Genet* **19**: 737–751.
- Wilson MJ, Torkar M, Haude A, Milne S, Jones T, Sheer D, Beck S, Trowsdale J. 2000. Plasticity in the organization and sequences of human KIR/ILT gene families. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **97**: 4778–4783.

Received January 23, 2012; accepted in revised form May 22, 2012.