



Segmental Phylogenetic Relationships of Inbred Mouse Strains Revealed by Fine-Scale Analysis of Sequence Variation Across 4.6 Mb of Mouse Genome

Kelly A. Frazer, Claire M. Wade, David A. Hinds, et al.

Genome Res. 2004 14: 1493-1500

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gr.2627804](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.2627804)

References

This article cites 11 articles, 6 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genome.cshlp.org/content/14/8/1493.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

To subscribe to *Genome Research* go to:

<https://genome.cshlp.org/subscriptions>

Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press

Segmental Phylogenetic Relationships of Inbred Mouse Strains Revealed by Fine-Scale Analysis of Sequence Variation Across 4.6 Mb of Mouse Genome

Kelly A. Frazer,^{1,4} Claire M. Wade,² David A. Hinds,¹ Nila Patil,¹ David R. Cox,¹ and Mark J. Daly^{2,3,4}

¹Perlegen Sciences, Mountain View, California 94043, USA; ²Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142, USA; ³Broad Institute, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02141, USA

High-density SNP screening of panels of inbred mouse strains has been proposed as a method to accelerate the identification of genes associated with complex biomedical phenotypes. To evaluate the potential of these studies, a more detailed understanding of the fine structure of sequence variation across inbred mouse strains is needed. Here, we use high-density oligonucleotide arrays to discover an extremely dense set of SNPs in 13 classical and two wild-derived inbred strains in five genomic intervals totaling 4.6 Mb of DNA sequence, and then analyze the segmental haplotype structure defined by these high-density SNPs. This analysis reveals segments ranging from 12 to 608 kb in length within which the inbred strains have a simple and distinct phylogenetic relationship with typically two or three clades accounting for the 13 classical strains examined. The phylogenetic relationships among strains change abruptly and unpredictably from segment to segment, and are distinct in each of the five genomic regions examined. The data suggest that at least 12 strains would need to be resequenced for exhaustive SNP discovery in every region of the mouse genome, that ~97% of the variation among inbred strains is ancestral (between clades) and ~3% private (within clades), and provides critical insights into the proposed use of panels of inbred strains to identify genes underlying quantitative trait loci.

[The 18,366 SNPs identified in this study are part of a larger set of SNPs (ss20399387–ss20418279) that have been submitted to the NCBI dbSNP database.]

Most of the classical inbred mouse strains commonly used in biomedical research descend from the colonies of a single mouse breeder, Abbie Lathrop of Granby, Massachusetts, in the early 20th century (Silver 1995; Beck et al. 2000). These colonies were largely derived from European “fancy” mice (derivatives of the *domesticus* subspecies of *Mus musculus*) and East Asian “fancy” mice (derivatives of *castaneus*, *molossinus*, and *musculus* subspecies). It has long been recognized that because of this unique man-made bottleneck, the genomes of these inbred strains originate from a mixed but very limited pool of founders from the various subspecies (Bonhomme 1987). Recent studies using low-pass shotgun sequence and SNP genotyping data have shown that the genomes of commonly used inbred mouse strains are a recognizable mosaic of discrete segments derived from two or three sources (primarily the *domesticus* and *musculus* subspecies) and that when the sequences of any two strains are compared, long 1–2-Mb segments of either extremely high (~40 SNPs per 10 kb) or extremely low (~0.5 SNPs per 10 kb) variation are observed (Wade et al. 2002; Wiltshire et al. 2003). Here, we use a high-density SNP collection derived from near complete resequencing data to explore the detailed structure of these patterns across a wider array of mouse strains. With these data, we examine the phylogenetic relationships of inbred strains within segmental blocks and how those relationships change from segment to seg-

ment and genomic region to region. This enables us to much more precisely characterize the patterns of genetic variation among classical inbred strains and determine the relative contributions of strain-specific and shared ancestral variation in the mouse genome.

RESULTS

SNP Discovery and Validation

SNPs were discovered by resequencing 13 well-characterized classical inbred strains (129X1/SvJ, A/J, A/HeJ, AKR/J, BALB/cByJ, BALB/cJ, C3H/HeJ, C57BL/6J, B10.D2-Hc⁰ H2^d H2-T18^c/oSnJ [subsequently referred to as B10.D2-H2^d], DBA/2J, MRL/MpJ, NZB/BlNJ, and NZW/LacJ), which were selected based solely on the fact that they are among the most commonly used strains, and two wild-derived inbred strains, *Mus musculus castaneus* (CAST/Eij) and *Mus musculus spretus* (SPRET/Eij). Mouse DNA sequences from five genomic intervals (NT_027761, NT_026540, NT_029829, NT_014989, MM11_Cytokine interval) ranging in length from 617,309 to 1,559,426 bp and in total comprising 4,596,781 bp were masked for repetitive sequences and the resulting 3,002,233 bp (63%) of unique sequence was assayed for variation with high-density oligonucleotide arrays. The genomic DNA of the 15 inbred mouse strains was amplified by long-range PCR (LR-PCR). We designed 439 primer pairs to generate LR-PCR products ranging from 5 to 12 kb in length covering the five genomic intervals. For each inbred strain, the LR-PCR products were pooled and hybridized to the high-density array as a single reaction. SNPs were detected as altered hybridization with a pat-

⁴Corresponding authors.

E-MAIL kelly_frazer@perlegen.com; FAX (650) 625-4510.

E-MAIL daly@wi.mit.edu; FAX (617) 258-6505.

Article and publication are at <http://www.genome.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gr.2627804>.

tern recognition algorithm (Fodor et al. 1991; Chee et al. 1996; Patil et al. 2001). In total, we identified 18,366 SNPs in the 15 inbred strains, or one SNP per 157 bp tiled (Table 1). Of these, 4065 were observed as polymorphic among the 13 inbred classical strains (one SNP per 711 bp tiled) and 14,301 were observed as polymorphic only when the CAST/EiJ and SPRET/EiJ strains were included. These polymorphism rates (number of SNPs per base pair) are proportional to but considerably lower than those observed previously among inbred classical strains and between wild-derived inbred strains and classical inbred strains (Lindblad-Toh et al. 2000).

To assess the reliability of our SNP detection algorithm, 78 singleton SNPs (detected in only one of the 15 strains) and 22 common SNPs (both alleles seen in more than one strain) were dideoxy-sequenced. The overall rate of validation was 98%, with 97% (76 out of 78) of the singletons and 100% of the nonsingletons (22/22) confirmed. To achieve this low false-positive rate of 2%, we required stringent thresholds for SNP detection (Fodor et al. 1991; Chee et al. 1996; Patil et al. 2001) on the high-density arrays, with an estimated false-negative rate of 53% based on previously published results using the same approach to identify human polymorphisms (Patil et al. 2001).

Identification of Ancestral Segment Boundaries in Classical Inbred Strains

We first used the high-density SNP data to determine the segmental structure of variation in classical inbred strains over extended contiguous genomic regions. To examine regional polymorphism rates between pairs of strains, the five contiguous sequences were divided into bins of 10,000 nucleotides. For each pairwise comparison, a tally of sequence differences was made for each bin and these tallies were used as input to a hidden Markov model (Wade et al. 2002), that defined regions as ancestrally identical (low SNP rate) or ancestrally divergent (high SNP rate). Across all 78 pairs of classical inbred strains, the ancestrally identical and divergent segments were determined to have average polymorphism rates of one SNP per 27 kb and one SNP per 750 bp, respectively. Considering the 53% false-negative detection rate and the rate of missing data at discovered polymorphic sites (15%), the one SNP observed per 750 bp suggests a true underlying SNP rate of 1 per 287 bp in diverged regions, consistent with the estimates in Wade et al. (2002). Ancestry breakpoints within these genomic regions were defined as locations at which any of the 78 strain-to-strain comparisons displayed a transition from either ancestral sequence identity (low SNP rate) to sequence divergence (high SNP rate) or vice versa. The intervals between these breakpoints could thus be considered segments in which each strain had a single unbroken ancestral haplotype, and the raw sequence data for these segments were then subjected to phylogenetic analysis.

Phylogenetic Relationships Among Inbred Strains

Phylogenetic analysis of segments of consistent ancestry was performed using the 13 inbred classical strains along with two wild-derived strains that serve as outgroups, CAST/EiJ and SPRET/EiJ. All genotyped SNPs in the 15 strains were used to estimate genetic distances using the Kimura 2-parameter model (Kimura 1980), and from these distances, phylogenetic trees were built (Felsenstein 1989).

Figure 1 shows the locations of the segmental blocks identified in the five contig sequences and the phylogenetic relationships among the 15 strains within each block. A total of 50 segmental blocks averaging 92 kb in length, and ranging from 12 to 608 kb, were identified across the five genomic intervals (Table 1). For each segmental block, pairs of strains with phylogenetic

distances representing less than one SNP per 10,000 nucleotides were considered ancestrally identical and collapsed into a single clade. After collapsing, the vast majority (~97%) of the variable sites identified among the 13 inbred classical strains could be described as ancestral differences between the clades in the 50 segmental blocks (i.e., all strains within a clade share the identical genotype), whereas the small remainder (~3%) describe differences between strains in the same clade. Excluding two sets of pairs expected to be nearly identical (A/J ~ A/HeJ, and BALB/cJ ~ BALB/cByJ), 53% of the pairwise comparisons within the 50 segmental blocks were defined as ancestrally identical by phylogeny and 47% were defined as divergent, underscoring the limited sequence diversity of the classical inbred lines at any one location in the genome.

The relative distances of the clades defined by the 15 inbred strains in each segmental block are depicted in phylogenetic trees (Fig. 1). The 13 inbred classical strains have a limited number of phylogenetic patterns with typically two to three branches (minimum 1, maximum 5) across the 50 segmental blocks. The breaks in phylogenetic continuity between adjacent segmental blocks can often be attributed to a single historical recombination event in the formation of the classical inbred lines with one strain changing positions or a small number of strains (most likely sharing the same ancestral recombinant chromosome) changing positions in tandem. Frequently, the pairs of more closely related strains (A/J ~ A/HeJ, BALB/cByJ ~ BALB/cJ, C57BL/6J ~ B10.D2-H2^d, NZB/B1NJ ~ NZW/LacJ) are cladistically identical across the entire genomic region. However, even highly related strains (A/J ~ A/HeJ) do not share all haplotype patterns in common (Fig. 1D, see block 9). As expected, in most segments, the 13 classical inbred strains are more related to each other than to the two wild-derived inbred strains. However, as shown in Figure 1B (blocks 5 and 9), there are exceptions to this rule in which some classical strains are more related to one of the wild-derived strains than they are to other classical strains, underscoring the variability of the regional phylogenetic relationships and that, rather than true outgroups, wild-derived strains such as CAST/Ei represent ancestral populations beyond the *domesticus* and *musculus* subspecies that have probably made small contributions to the classical strains.


Of the 390 possible pairwise comparisons across entire genomic intervals [(13 × 12)/2 = 78 pairs per contig × 5 contigs], 78 (20%) have continuous ancestral sequence identity (i.e., occur in the same clade in every block), 29 (7%) have continuous sequence divergence (i.e., strains occur in a different clade in every block), and 283 (73%) alternate between ancestral identity and divergence (Fig. 1F). Given an average genomic interval length of 900 kb, the proportion of pairs of strains carrying continuous identity over an entire contig suggests an average ancestral segment length of 1.4 Mb in any single individual assuming that ancestral breakpoints occur randomly. However, the nature of the distribution of ancestral sequence identity and divergence for the 78 strain-to-strain comparisons differs among the five genomic intervals. Three of the five contigs (NT_027761, NT_029829, and NT_014989) contain regions where all inbred strains appear to share the same ancestral haplotype in at least one block (Fig. 1A,C,E). Such blocks are characterized by having many tiled bases but few variable sites, and the variable sites are not ancestrally derived. Although such regions have been frequently described as “SNP deserts,” there is no specific evidence that they are anything other than sampling artifacts (i.e., each strain examined having an origin in the same ancestral subspecies by chance because the founding pool is so limited). In contrast, contig NT_026540 and the Cytokine interval (Fig. 1B,D) contain no blocks in which all strains appear to be ancestrally identical.

Table 1. Characterization of the Phylogenetic Segments


Segment	Start	End	Length	Tiled bases	% tiled	All 15 strains		13 lab strains	
						SNPs	bp/SNP	SNPs	bp/SNP
A. NT_027761									
1	4775	60,623	55,848	39,942	72	178	224	27	1479
2	60,624	96,289	35,665	29,995	84	261	115	44	682
3	96,290	154,178	57,888	49,967	86	325	153	14	3569
4	154,179	167,379	13,200	9983	76	78	128	11	908
5	167,380	328,404	161,024	109,918	68	1070	103	453	243
6	328,405	546,803	218,398	169,859	78	1330	128	163	1042
7	546,804	561,088	14,284	9988	70	77	130	10	999
8	561,089	672,407	111,318	86,567	78	533	162	10	8657
Entire contig			667,625	506,219	76	3852	131	732	692
B. NT_026540									
1	25	41,753	41,728	29,953	72	258	116	77	389
2	41,754	55,130	13,376	10,012	75	96	103	18	556
3	55,131	259,782	204,651	159,826	78	1282	125	333	480
4	259,783	314,496	54,713	39,967	73	280	142	59	677
5	314,497	343,919	29,422	9,986	34	95	105	22	454
6	343,920	438,863	94,943	79,952	84	666	120	182	439
7	438,864	452,944	14,080	9987	71	53	188	22	454
8	452,945	480,192	27,247	19,997	73	146	137	45	444
9	480,193	506,047	25,854	19,978	77	141	142	76	263
10	506,048	532,543	26,495	19,975	75	79	253	28	713
11	532,544	561,215	28,671	19,994	70	100	198	22	909
12	561,216	585,089	23,873	19,984	84	82	244	12	1665
13	585,090	617,308	32,218	29,539	92	84	352	17	1738
Entire contig			617,271	469,150	76	3362	140	913	514
C. NT_029829									
1	1221	411,323	410,102	259,556	63	1165	223	196	1324
2	411,324	589,223	177,899	109,808	62	564	195	84	1307
3	589,224	1,197,358	608,134	409,304	67	1886	217	39	10,495
4	1,197,359	1,266,511	69,152	39,921	58	257	155	50	798
5	1,266,512	1,300,811	34,299	19,953	58	151	132	26	767
6	1,300,812	1,316,392	15,580	9978	64	78	128	18	554
7	1,316,393	1,558,180	241,787	154,033	64	784	196	85	1812
Entire contig			1,556,953	1,002,553	64	4885	205	498	2013
D. Cytokine interval									
1	4290	41,519	37,229	29,961	80	104	288	45	666
2	41,520	71,794	30,274	19,956	66	93	215	46	434
3	71,795	168,876	97,081	64,490	66	80	806	13	4961
4	168,877	367,752	198,875	139,833	70	1062	132	449	311
5	367,753	453,442	85,689	59,923	70	328	183	87	689
6	453,443	469,695	16,252	9,983	61	80	125	39	256
7	469,696	496,748	27,052	19,966	74	149	134	63	317
8	496,749	597,137	100,388	69,920	70	255	274	130	538
9	597,138	609,095	11,957	9992	84	31	322	11	908
10	609,096	663,488	54,392	39,992	74	179	223	94	425
11	663,489	704,386	40,897	29,996	73	133	226	54	555
12	704,387	734,769	30,382	19,968	66	116	172	67	298
13	734,770	795,947	61,177	49,975	82	335	149	169	296
14	795,948	917,066	121,118	98,423	81	544	181	211	466
Entire contig			912,763	662,378	73	3489	190	1478	448
E. NT_014989									
1	70	123,575	123,505	29,942	24	222	135	32	936
2	123,576	358,931	235,355	109,949	47	788	140	22	4998
3	358,932	502,615	143,683	69,952	49	511	137	63	1110
4	502,616	540,102	37,486	30,003	80	388	77	165	182
5	540,103	552,618	12,515	9993	80	129	77	57	175
6	552,619	567,174	14,555	9993	69	102	98	38	263
7	567,175	649,219	82,044	29,977	37	230	130	60	500
8	649,220	821,887	172,667	71,558	41	408	175	7	10,223
Entire contig			821,810	361,367	44	2778	130	444	814

For each of the five contigs, the start nucleotide, end nucleotide, and length in base pairs of the phylogenetic segments are given. The number of unique base pairs synthesized onto the wafer (Tiled bases) and the percentage that this represents are indicated for each segment. The number of variable sites identified within each segment (SNPs) from all 15 strains (All 15 strains) and the SNP frequency (bp/SNP) calculated as the number of unique base pairs identified divided by the number of SNPs are given. The number of SNPs identified in each segmental block from the 13 classical strains (13 lab strains) excluding *Mus. mus castaneus* and *Mus. spretus* and the corresponding SNP frequencies are also given. Sequences at the beginning and end of each contig were not included in the analysis, and thus the indicated contig lengths and number of tiled bases is different from that stated in the Methods section.


A. Chromosome 1 NT_027761



B. Chromosome 5 NT_026540



C. Chromosome 5 NT_029829



D. Chromosome 11 cytokine interval




Figure 1 (Continued on next page)




Figure 1 Phylogenetic relationships of the 13 inbred classical strains and the two wild-derived strains. (A–E) For each of the five sequence contigs, the phylogenetic relationships within adjacent segments are shown. (Top and middle panels) The locations of unique sequences represented on the high-density arrays and identified SNPs, respectively. (Third panel) The segmental block locations (numbered), the boundaries of which were determined by analyzing transition points between high SNP and low SNP blocks for all 78 pairwise sequence comparisons of the 13 inbred classical strains. The phylogenetic relationships of the 15 inbred mouse strains (color-coded squares), determined using the Kimura 2-parameter model, are shown for each segment. For the wild-derived inbred strains, CAST/EiJ and SPRET/EiJ, uneven amounts of missing data may affect the relative branch lengths of these outgroups in some trees as a result of unbalanced loss of CAST or SPRET specific polymorphisms. (F) For the five sequence contigs, classical inbred strains that were in the same phylogenetic group for every segment in the contig are shown together. Missing SNP data are ignored for this analysis. Related strains are depicted as solid and hatched squares of the same color (A/J and A/HeJ, BALB/cByJ and BALB/cJ, C57BL/6J and B10.D2-H2^d, NZB/B1NJ and NZW/LacJ).

Number of Strains Required for SNP Discovery in the Mouse Genome

To estimate the number of strains required for thorough SNP discovery in the mouse genome, we determined the minimum number of inbred classical strains required to capture >95% of the variable sites observed in the data set. When a directed selection method based on the calculated divergence of the strains across the entire contig was used, each of the five genomic regions had a different set of inbred classical strains selected, and on average only four optimally selected inbred lines were required to capture >95% of the variable sites observed (Fig. 2A). These results demonstrate that for any given region in the mouse genome only a small number of classical inbred lines would be required to observe the majority of the variation. However, the set of classical inbred lines that provides this information varies from region to region, and thus a significantly larger set of strains will need to be used for more comprehensive SNP discovery in the mouse genome. When the strains were randomly selected for this analysis, the average number of classical inbred lines needed to capture 95% of the observed variation was 12, although one contig (NT_027761) required only nine classical inbred lines, and one contig (NT_026540) required only 11 classical inbred lines (Fig. 2B). These results suggest that for thorough SNP discovery in the mouse genome, at least 12 (and likely more) classical inbred lines will need to be examined. In a second analysis, we determined the minimum number of pairwise comparisons between

classical inbred strains required to capture >95% of the segmental block boundaries (Fig. 2C). On average, the random sampling of 63 pairs of strains (which is the equivalent to resequencing 12 individual strains) was required. These results further support the idea that a significant number of inbred classical strains will need to be examined to create comprehensive genomic resources for mouse genetics.

DISCUSSION

We have used the extensive resequencing of 15 strains of mice across five megabase-sized genomic regions to explore questions of keen interest to mouse genetics: the balance between common ancestral and rare private polymorphism in classical inbred strains, the extent of ancestral haplotypes, and the local phylogenetic relationships among classical inbred strains. The landscape of variation across panels of classical inbred strains has important implications for the design and interpretation of murine haplotype maps, for their potential utility in positional cloning, and for the rational design of subsequent murine resequencing projects. Most significantly, we find that the phylogenetic relationships among the classical inbred strains examined have an extremely simple local structure. Within segmental blocks, which in this data set range in size from 12 to 608 kb, all 13 classical inbred lines can be described with a phylogeny containing typically just two or three clades that represent significantly diverged ancestral haplotypes. As previously described (Wade et

al. 2002), in most cases these clades will represent the contributions of different ancestral subspecies of *M. musculus* (most often *domesticus* and *musculus*) but in some cases could also represent multiple diverged sequences from the same subspecies. These phylogenetic relationships change abruptly and unpredictably between neighboring segmental blocks (so-called ancestry breaks), likely in most cases the result of historical recombination events that occurred during the formation of the classical inbred lines. Importantly, differences between the clades comprise 97% of the variable sites discovered in this experiment, whereas the much rarer remainder is made up of private, often strain-specific variation, which, given the very recent coancestry of these strains, may often represent rare sites that were polymorphic

among closely related sequences from the same subspecies that made up the founders of the classical inbred strains. These data are consistent with the historical description of the creation of the inbred classical strains through the interbreeding of mice derived from mixtures of isolated derivatives of *M. musculus domesticus*, *M. musculus musculus*, and to a lesser extent, *Mus musculus molossinus* and *Mus musculus castaneus*.

SNP-based “association-style” scans (in the context of positionally cloning established QTLs and perhaps de novo genome screens for genetically simpler phenotypes) across panels of classical inbred strains appear poised to become an important tool for identifying genes underlying complex phenotypes such as obesity, cancer, aging, hypertension, diabetes, and senescence in mice. To perform SNP-based association studies, a genome-wide fine-structure map of the sequence variation among commonly used classical inbred mouse strains is needed. Our findings indicate that to accurately determine this segmental phylogenetic structure, at least 12 randomly selected classical inbred lines would have to be thoroughly examined for variation to discover an adequate set of SNPs covering all areas of the genome. Theoretical calculations based on the observation that >50% of the genome in any pairwise comparison of strains appears identical would suggest a similar figure. Indeed, existing sequencing done in addition to the completed C57BL/6J genome sequence, both in the public domain (strains 129/SvImJ, C3H/HeJ, and BALB/cByJ) and at Celera (strains 129/S1, 129/X1, A/J, and DBA2/J), show that although most of the genome contains a high density of discovered polymorphisms, there are several long regions with few or no SNPs discovered as a result of the chance sharing of the same ancestral haplotype by all strains examined thus far. Analysis of additional classical inbred strains will complete the identification of a minimum set of SNPs needed to distinguish the phylogenetic clades in each segment. On average, one to three SNPs per segment should be sufficient to distinguish between the different phylogenetic patterns. Based on the identification of 50 segmental blocks in 4.6 Mb when 13 classical inbred lines are studied, we might expect to find at least 25,000 phylogenetically distinct segments across an entire 2.5-Gb genome. Thus, the genotyping of ~50,000 pattern-defining SNPs in a large panel of classical inbred lines should allow for the determination of the phylogenetic relationships among the most commonly used strains for each segment in the mouse genome. These data would then allow for panels of classical inbred mouse strains to be used to rapidly positionally identify genes associated with complex traits.

The data analysis also suggests an obvious synergy between the “haplotype map” constructed from those 50,000 SNPs and the deeper resequencing desired to capture a complete set of SNPs and to catalog more exhaustively coding and other putatively




Figure 2 The number of strains required to capture >95% of the observed variation was calculated both by determining how many of the 13 inbred classical strains were needed to detect >95% of the SNPs (A,B) and by determining how many of the 78 pairwise comparisons of the inbred classical strains were needed to observe >95% of the segmental block boundaries (C). (A,B) The percent of SNPs observed versus the number of inbred classical strains examined was determined for each contig individually as well as the average across all five contigs. (A) Directed selection of strains based on their level of divergence (based on the Kimura 2-parameter distance), starting with the most divergent. (B) The selection of inbred strains was random (nondirected selection), with the process being repeated 100 times, and the average results for each contig and across all five contigs shown. (C) The percent of segmental boundaries observed versus the number of inbred classical pairwise comparisons examined is shown for each contig individually as well as the average across all five contigs. Intervals containing relatively few segmental blocks, such as NT_014989, require fewer pairs of strains, and intervals containing an above-average number of segmental blocks, such as NT_026540, require more pairs of strains.

functional variation. The haplotype patterns inferred from SNP mapping of unsequenced strains will often be grouped into local clades with one or more strains that have been sequenced. In these cases, we will be able to approximately infer the complete sequence of the unsequenced strain in that region based on the shared haplotype. However, haplotype structure inferred from SNP mapping over a larger panel of strains will also identify strains that carry unique patterns in specific regions that may warrant resequencing. Thus, if one uses prior knowledge of the local phylogenetic relationships among strains, there would be a significant gain in efficiency of resequencing to capture the complete set of functional SNPs in the mouse genome.

METHODS

Inbred Mouse Strains

Genomic DNA was obtained from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, Maine) for the following 13 classical inbred mouse strains, 129X1/SvJ (Jackson Laboratory stock 000691), A/J (stock 000646), A/HeJ (stock 000645), AKR/J (000648), BALB/cByJ (stock 001026), BALB/cJ (stock 000651), C3H/HeJ (stock 000659), C57BL/6J (stock 000664), B10.D2-*Hc^o* *H2^d* *H2-T18^c*/oSnJ (stock 000461) [referred to as B10.D2-*H2^d*], DBA/2J (stock 000671), MRL/MpJ (stock 000486), NZB/BINJ (stock 000684), and NZW/LacJ (stock 001058), and the two wild-derived inbred strains, CAST/EiJ (stock 000928), and SPRET/EiJ (stock 001146). B10.D2-*H2^d* is a congenic strain that carries the *H2^d* haplotype from DBA/2J following six generations of backcrossing to C57BL/10Sn.

High-Density Oligonucleotide Array Design

The following contig sequences were selected from the NCBI mouse sequence database: Chr1 NT_027761.2 (from NCBI dated 1/29/2002, 673,299 bp), Chr5 NT_026540.2 (1/29/2002, 617,309 bp), Chr5 NT_029829.1 (1/29/2002, 1,559,426 bp), and Chr18 NT_014989.4 (1/29/2002, 828,142 bp). Chr11 (MM11_Cytokine interval, 918,605 bp) was assembled as previously reported (Dubchak et al. 2000). In all, 4,596,781 bp of genomic sequence from the five intervals was masked for repetitive sequence using RepeatMasker (<http://ftp.genome.washington.edu/RM/RepeatMasker.html>; A.F.A. Smit and P. Green, unpubl.), and the resulting 3,002,233 bp of unique sequence was used to design a high-density oligonucleotide array for SNP detection (Fodor et al. 1991; Chee et al. 1996; Patil et al. 2001). The array was designed such that each base of the reference sequence was interrogated by eight 25-nt oligonucleotides synthesized and attached to a glass surface.

SNP Detection

A total of 439 LR-PCR reactions were designed to amplify the five intervals represented on the arrays with an average size of 10.5 kb per amplicon. LR-PCR was performed using DNA from each of the 15 strains as previously described (Patil et al. 2001). The PCR products for each strain were combined into one tube, concentrated by Centricon-30 column purification (Millipore), fragmented with DNase I (Roche), biotinylated using terminal deoxytransferase (Roche), and hybridized to the high-density oligonucleotide arrays (Affymetrix Inc.) for 16 h at 50°C (Patil et al. 2001). Following hybridization, the arrays were stained with Phycoerythrin-conjugated-Streptavidin, washed at high stringency, and scanned. SNPs were detected based on altered hybridization patterns as previously described (Wang et al. 1998; Lindblad-Toh et al. 2000; Patil et al. 2001). The 18,366 SNPs identified in this study are part of a larger set of SNPs (ss20399387-ss20418279) that have been submitted to the NCBI dbSNP database.

SNP Validation

To estimate the SNP false-positive rate, we randomly selected 78 singleton SNPs (those present in only one strain) and 22 common SNPs (those present in at least two strains) for validation by dideoxy sequencing. The SNP loci were amplified using the same

LR-PCR primers as for SNP detection, and sequenced using locus-specific primers on an ABI377 Sequencer (Applied Biosystems).

Identification of Segmental Block Boundaries in Inbred Classical Strains

For each of the five sequence contigs, the nonrepetitive sequences synthesized on the high-density arrays were divided into bins of 10,000 nt. Because repetitive elements were not counted, the bins frequently spanned >10,000 contiguous nucleotides. The last bin on each contig was allowed to be smaller. The position of the start nucleotide, end nucleotide, and the number of SNPs observed in each bin was recorded.

All pairs of DNA sequences from the 13 classical inbred strains were compared to discover clear points of transition from low SNP rate to high SNP rate. SNP rates from each 10,000-nt bin were analyzed by a hidden Markov model (HMM) fitted for a two-state model of low SNP rate and high SNP rate using the forward-backward algorithm (Wade et al. 2002). The HMM was used to assign the probability of the bin being in a state of divergence between the pair of strains analyzed. Observation probabilities were assigned based on the observed SNP rate in each bin, with missing observations at variable sites counted as one-half for this purpose only. All 78 pairwise comparisons among the 13 inbred classical strains were individually analyzed using the HMM algorithm. Block boundaries of continuous sequence similarity or divergence for each of the 78 pairwise comparisons were determined by the distances between the start nucleotide of the first bin and the end nucleotide of the last bin where consecutive bins met "low" or "high" SNP rate criteria, respectively. Starting values were derived from the results using this model as described in Wade et al. (2002).

All 78 pairs of comparisons were examined, and any point at which a pair of strains displayed a probable transition from low to high SNP rate or vice versa was defined as an ancestral breakpoint. The segments between these ancestral breakpoints were defined as the blocks for which phylogenetic analysis of the raw sequence data were later performed. In some cases, missing data resulted in either artifactual or misplaced boundaries. After the phylogenetic analysis of each distinct block, adjacent blocks with the identical phylogenetic tree relating all strains were merged and reanalyzed.

Given an average genomic interval length of 900 kb, the proportion of pairs of strains carrying continuous identity over an entire contig suggests an average ancestral segment length among classical inbred strains of 1.5 Mb when breakpoints occur at random according to a Poisson distribution. This estimate is derived from the fact that there is at most a two-thirds probability that two strains share identity at any point and that we observe 20% of strain pairs showing complete identity across an average of 900 kb, which suggests a mean rate of transition of 1.2 per 900 kb (1 per 750 kb), because $0.67 \exp(-1.2) \approx 0.20$. Because an ancestral transition in either one of the pair of strains will generate a transition from identity to divergence, on average an ancestral segment will be 1.5 Mb in length.

Haplotype Phylogeny

After the segmental block boundaries were established, the individual SNP sequences of all 15 strains within these intervals were analyzed to assess their phylogenetic relationships. If the sequences within a segmental block contained six or more SNPs and all 15 inbred strains had >70% of the SNPs in the block successfully genotyped, then these nucleotide sequences were written to a multisequence file in Phylip format (Felsenstein 1989), and phylogenetic distances were calculated using a custom script with the Kimura 2-parameter model (Kimura 1980) assuming a transition-transversion ratio of 2.0. For each segmental block, transition-transversion rates were calculated between pairs of strains from the observed SNPs and the number of base pairs represented on the array. The output was a two-dimensional matrix of genetic distances between strains determined from the ratio of the between-pair nucleotide substitution rate (substitutions per base examined) to the total nucleotide substi-

tution rate within the segment adjusted for the transition–transversion ratio. Because the actual phylogenetic distances among different mice were quite small by normal phylogenetic standards, the distances were magnified 1000-fold to prevent rounding errors. The strains with distances less than one SNP per 10,000 nt examined were collapsed into groups (haplotypes) by averaging the individual distances first in columns and then in rows of the matrix. The original matrix was then redrawn to reflect haplotype \times haplotype pattern distances. An outcall was made to the “kitsch” module of Phylip (Felsenstein 1989). The “treefile” of haplotypes produced by this call was captured and dynamically drawn to an image file for each segmental block.

Number of Strains Required to Capture >95% of the Available Variation

To determine the number of inbred classical strains required to identify >95% of the observed SNPs, we compared two inbred classical strains, recorded the number of SNPs identified, then added one more inbred classical strain to the mix, recorded the number of SNPs identified between the three strains, and continued adding strains one at a time to the analysis until >95% of the SNPs had been accounted for. The selection of inbred strains for addition was performed using both nondirected and directed methods. Nondirected selection, in which classical inbred strains were randomly selected, was replicated 100 times, and the results were averaged for each of the five sequence contigs. Directed selection was performed on the basis of divergence (based on the Kimura 2-parameter distance), with the most divergent strains selected first, followed by the next most divergent, and so on. We also determined the minimum number of pairwise comparisons required to capture >95% of the segmental block boundaries by randomly sampling results from the hidden Markov model files. We determined the number of boundaries identified between two inbred classical strains, and then added randomly selected pairwise comparisons of inbred classical strains to the mix for analysis until >95% of the haplotype block boundaries were found. This was replicated 1000 times for each of the five contigs, and the average number of pairwise comparisons required to capture >95% of the boundaries was recorded.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Erica Beilharz for assistance with manuscript preparation, Geoff Nilsen and Wade Barrett for designing the high-density arrays and assistance with data analysis, and Preeti Jain for assistance with DNA sample preparation and hybridization of the high-density oligonucleotide arrays. We thank Kerstin Lindblad-Toh, Andrew Kirby, and Itsik Pe'er for helpful discussions.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby

marked “advertisement” in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

REFERENCES

- Beck, J.A., Lloyd, S., Hafezparast, M., Lennon-Pierce, M., Eppig, J.T., Festing, M.F., and Fisher, E.M. 2000. Genealogies of mouse inbred strains. *Nat. Genet.* **24**: 23–25.
- Bonhomme, F., Guenet, J.-L., Dod, B., Moriwaki, K., and Bulfield, G. 1987. The polyphyletic origin of laboratory inbred mice and their rate of evolution. *J. Linn. Soc.* **30**: 51–58.
- Chee, M., Yang, R., Hubbell, E., Berno, A., Huang, X.C., Stern, D., Winkler, J., Lockhart, D.J., Morris, M.S., and Fodor, S.P. 1996. Accessing genetic information with high-density DNA arrays. *Science* **274**: 610–614.
- Dubchak, I., Brudno, M., Loots, G.G., Pachter, L., Mayor, C., Rubin, E.M., and Frazer, K.A. 2000. Active conservation of noncoding sequences revealed by three-way species comparisons. *Genome Res.* **10**: 1304–1306.
- Felsenstein, J. 1989. PHYLIP—Phylogeny Inference Package (Version 3.2). *Cladistics* **5**: 164–166.
- Fodor, S.P., Read, J.L., Pirrung, M.C., Stryer, L., Lu, A.T., and Solas, D. 1991. Light-directed, spatially addressable parallel chemical synthesis. *Science* **251**: 767–773.
- Kimura, M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *J. Mol. Evol.* **16**: 111–120.
- Lindblad-Toh, K., Winchester, E., Daly, M.J., Wang, D.G., Hirschhorn, J.N., Lavoie, J.P., Ardlie, K., Reich, D.E., Robinson, E., Sklar, P., et al. 2000. Large-scale discovery and genotyping of single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the mouse. *Nat. Genet.* **24**: 381–386.
- Patil, N., Berno, A.J., Hinds, D.A., Barrett, W.A., Doshi, J.M., Hacker, C.R., Kautzer, C.R., Lee, D.H., Marjoribanks, C., McDonough, D.P., et al. 2001. Blocks of limited haplotype diversity revealed by high-resolution scanning of human chromosome 21. *Science* **294**: 1719–1723.
- Silver, L.M. 1995. *Mouse genetics*. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Wade, C.M., Kulbokas III, E.J., Kirby, A.W., Zody, M.C., Mullikin, J.C., Lander, E.S., Lindblad-Toh, K., and Daly, M.J. 2002. The mosaic structure of variation in the laboratory mouse genome. *Nature* **420**: 574–578.
- Wang, D.G., Fan, J.B., Siao, C.J., Berno, A., Young, P., Sapolsky, R., Ghandour, G., Perkins, N., Winchester, E., Spencer, J., et al. 1998. Large-scale identification, mapping, and genotyping of single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the human genome. *Science* **280**: 1077–1082.
- Wiltshire, T., Pletcher, M.T., Batalov, S., Barnes, S.W., Tarantino, L.M., Cooke, M.P., Wu, H., Smylie, K., Santrosyan, A., Copeland, N.G., et al. 2003. Genome-wide single-nucleotide polymorphism analysis defines haplotype patterns in mouse. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **100**: 3380–3385.

WEB SITE REFERENCES

<http://ftp.genome.washington.edu/RM/RepeatMasker.html>;
RepeatMasker.

Received March 24, 2004; accepted in revised form May 18, 2004.