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ABSTRACT

Gene regulation in mammals involves a complex interplay between promoters and distal regulatory elements that function in concert to drive precise spatio-temporal gene expression programs. However, the dynamics of distal gene regulatory landscape and its function in the transcriptional reprogramming that underlies neurogenesis and neuronal activity remain largely unknown. Here, we performed a combinatorial analysis of genome-wide datasets for chromatin accessibility (FAIRE-seq) and the enhancer mark H3K27ac that reveal the highly dynamic nature of distal gene regulation during neurogenesis, which gets progressively restricted to distinct genomic regions as neurons acquire a post-mitotic, terminally differentiated state. We further find that the distal accessible and active regions serve as target sites for distinct transcription factors that function in a stage-specific manner to contribute to the transcriptional program underlying neuronal commitment and maturation. Mature neurons respond to a sustained activity of NMDA receptors by epigenetic reprogramming at a large number of distal regulatory regions as well as dramatic reorganization of super-enhancers. Such massive remodeling of distal regulatory landscape in turn results in a transcriptome that confers a transient loss of neuronal identity and gain of cellular plasticity. Furthermore, NMDA receptor activity also induces many novel pro-survival genes that function in neuroprotective pathways. Taken together, these findings reveal the dynamics of the distal regulatory landscape during neurogenesis and uncover novel regulatory elements that function in concert with epigenetic mechanisms and transcription factors to generate the transcriptome underlying neuronal development and activity.
INTRODUCTION

The nervous system is the most complex mammalian organ. How this complexity is generated during development remains very poorly understood. The primordium of the central nervous system (CNS), the neural plate, is composed of a single sheet of neuroepithelial (NE) cells that undergo rapid symmetric divisions to enable planar expansion of the neural plate and generation of the neural tube. At mid-gestation, between embryonic day 9 (E9) and E10 in mice, the first neurons of the CNS are born, heralding an important transition in the development of neural progenitor cells. In developing brain radial glial (RG) cells in the ventricular zone (VZ) undergo asymmetric division, and daughter cells migrate toward the cortical plate (CP), passing through the subventricular zone (SVZ). Our understanding of the transcriptional control of neurogenesis in the cerebral cortex by sequence-specific transcription factors has increased but despite exciting developments (Feng et al. 2007; Borrelli et al. 2008; Hu et al. 2012; Lister et al. 2013), very little is known about the dynamics of chromatin accessibility and distal epigenetic gene regulation during embryonic neurogenesis and how stage-specific transcription factors utilize differential regulatory regions in driving the stage-specific transcriptional program.

The neuronal signaling via N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors plays a critical role in the development of the CNS and in adult neuroplasticity, learning, and memory (Koenig 1995; Milner et al. 1998). NMDA receptors have also been implicated in the etiology of several neurological disorders (Choi 1992; Lipton and Rosenberg 1994; Hardingham and Bading 2003; Bossy-Wetzel et al. 2004). Excessive stimulation of the NMDA receptor results in disorders involving acute insult to the brain with deprivation of blood supply (e.g., ischemic stroke, traumatic brain injury) (Choi 1988; Kalia et al. 2008). Moreover, neurotoxicity induced via hyper-stimulation of the NMDA receptor also facilitates slow-progressing neurodegenerative diseases (e.g., Huntington, Alzheimer's, Parkinson's and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis) (Young et al. 1988; Ulas et al. 1994; Ikonomidou et al. 1996; Blanchet et al. 1997; Snyder et al. 2005; Ahmed et al. 2011; Sgambato-Faure and Cenci 2012; Mehta et al.
2013) and disorders arising from the sensitization of neurons (e.g., epilepsy, neuropathic pain) (Rogawski 1992; Woolf and Mannion 1999). The NMDA receptor has been extensively characterized via electrophysiological assays, genetic and chemical manipulations. NMDA receptors require the binding of glycine and glutamate in combination with the release of voltage-dependent magnesium blockage. In addition to its role during CNS development, neuronal activity via NMDA receptors also imparts neuronal plasticity, memory formation and learning that require associated transcriptional changes to mediate physiological responses (Huh et al. 2000; Kandel 2001; Nestler and Landsman 2001; Zhang et al. 2007; Chen et al. 2014). Evidences suggest that NMDA receptor activation leads to strengthening of synapses through long-term potentiation (LTP) and to the weakening of synapses through long-term depression (LTD) (Sanchez-Perez et al. 2005; Massey and Bashir 2007; Zhang et al. 2007; Chen et al. 2014; Connor and Wang 2015). Recent findings have revealed early transcriptome responses following neuronal activity including via NMDA receptors that further underlie many aspects of neuronal development (Shaywitz and Greenberg 1999; West et al. 2001; Tao et al. 2002; Pokorska et al. 2003; Zhang et al. 2007; Kim et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2014; Malik et al. 2014). Importantly however, the effect of long-term excitation of the NMDA receptor on the gene expression program remains unknown. Furthermore, a systematic genome-wide analysis to delineate whether epigenetic reprogramming underlies the response to long-term NMDA activity has not been conducted.

In eukaryotes, nucleosomes provide a basic layer of transcription repression by reducing access to DNA. A widely emerging concept is that nucleosome positioning and occupancy are organized by the combinatorial action of transcription factors, epigenetic regulators and DNA sequence to regulate DNA accessibility (Bell et al. 2011). In this regard, open chromatin profiling has been widely used to identify regulatory elements that predict cell type-specific functional behaviors (Thurman et al. 2012). Active regulatory elements such as promoters and enhancers are accessible and are marked by H3K27ac, thereby allowing to study the activity state of both proximal and distal regulatory elements (Creighton et al. 2010; Rada-Iglesias et al. 2011; Bonn et al. 2012). Recently, the term 'super-enhancer' was used to
describe groups of putative enhancers in close genomic proximity which were further shown to drive expression of genes that define cell identity (Hnisz et al. 2013; Whyte et al. 2013; Pott and Lieb 2014). To understand cell-fate determinants at the level of transcriptional regulation, it is crucial to identify a reliable set of regulatory elements that actively contribute to regulation of gene expression during processes of cell fate commitment. One of the most effective means of discovering these regulatory elements is through the identification of nucleosome-depleted regions (“open chromatin”). The FAIRE assay has emerged as a simple, unbiased but robust and high-throughput method to identify such functional regulatory regions in a broad range of organisms and cell types (Giresi et al. 2007; Song et al. 2011; Simon et al. 2012; Koohy et al. 2013). Here we performed a combinatorial analysis of chromatin accessibility using FAIRE assay and the enhancer mark H3K27ac to elucidate the dynamics and function of distal gene regulatory landscape during neurogenesis and in response to neuronal activity.
RESULTS

FAIRE-seq sensitively identifies accessible chromatin during neurogenesis

To investigate changes in chromatin accessibility during neurogenesis, we adapted a highly refined system that uses embryonic stem cells (ESC) to generate >95% pure neuronal progenitor (NP) cells (“radial glial” like) and subsequently terminally differentiated pyramidal neurons (TN) (Bibel et al. 2004). Previous studies, including our own work, have revealed highly synchronous and reproducible changes in the epigenome and transcriptome during neuronal differentiation in this system that were also in good agreement with mouse primary cortical neurons (Mohn et al. 2008; Lienert et al. 2011; Stadler et al. 2011; Tiwari et al. 2012a; Tiwari et al. 2012b; Thakurela et al. 2013) (Fig. 1A, B). We performed the FAIRE assay at three distinct time points during neuronal differentiation: NP, TN day 1 (TND1) (immediate/early neurons, marking onset of neurogenesis), and TN day 10 (TND10) (late neurons, representing terminally differentiated postmitotic neurons) and subjected the derived material to high-throughput sequencing (FAIRE-seq) (Supplemental Fig. S1A-E, Supplemental Table S1). Exploration of UCSC browser tracks revealed the expected patterns of accessible (Pax6) and inaccessible (Pou5f1) regions in our FAIRE-seq data (Fig. 1C). Further analysis demonstrated that neuronal differentiation accompanies a progressive reduction in the number of both total and stage-specific (unique) accessible sites (Fig. 1D and Supplemental Fig. S1F). This indicates that post-mitotic differentiated cells have a more compact and defined accessible chromatin state which may reflect their restricted developmental potential. Genomic distribution of FAIRE sites revealed that a large number of peaks occurred at intergenic regions followed by promoters in NP and TND1, whereas in TND10, promoters exhibited slightly higher accessibility than intergenic regions (Supplemental Fig. S1G, H). Exons in all stages exhibited much less openness, followed by introns (Supplemental Fig. S1G). Interestingly, we also observed that fully differentiated neurons have significantly higher peak widths and promoters exhibit higher accessibility than other genomic locations at all stages of neuronal differentiation (Fig. 1E, F).
An examination of the relationship between promoter accessibility and transcription revealed a very high positive correlation between promoter openness and gene expression at all three stages (Fig. 1G-I). Surprisingly, such correlation increased following acquisition of the neuronal state as compared to neuronal progenitors (Fig. 1G-I). We further validated a number of genes for their promoter accessibility using FAIRE-qPCR which fully corroborated with the observations based on genome-wide FAIRE-seq and gene expression data (Fig. 1J, K). As recent data suggest that distal regulatory elements are critical players in orchestrating the stage-specific gene expression profile underlying cellular identity during differentiation, we next focused on uncovering distal regulatory elements and their contribution to the transcriptional reprogramming underlying neurogenesis (Kim et al. 2010; Malik et al. 2014). A closer look at UCSC browser tracks revealed that FAIRE-seq is also highly sensitive and specific for the identification of potential distal regulatory regions (Fig. 1L, M). Overall, these results suggest that FAIRE-seq can sensitively identify both proximal and distal accessible regions during neurogenesis.

**Distal open regions marked with H3K27ac define cell-type specific transcriptional program**

The acetylation of lysine 27 at histone 3 (H3K27ac) has been used as a mark to distinguish active versus inactive distal regulatory elements that function to primarily regulate the expression of proximal genes (Bonn et al., 2012; Cheng et al., 2014; Creyghton et al., 2010; Kwiatkowski et al., 2014; Rada-Iglesias et al., 2011; Shlyueva et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2013)...

To identify such regulatory elements, we generated H3K27ac ChIP-seq profiles for the three stages of neurogenesis, and extensive quality controls confirmed the high quality of the derived data (Supplemental Fig. S2A-E, Supplemental Table S2). Computational analysis revealed a large number of H3K27ac-enriched regions in each cell type (Supplemental Fig. S2F). Further investigation demonstrated that although the total number of H3K27ac sites remained similar, fully differentiated neurons gained more unique H3K27ac sites compared with NP and TND1 (Supplemental Fig. S2F, G). Furthermore, these unique peaks in neurons...
displayed significantly higher peak widths (Supplemental Fig. S2H). We also observed a gradual loss of H3K27ac enrichment at promoters (Supplemental Fig. S2I). We speculated that accessible H3K27ac regions that are targeted by regulatory factors could function as sites of high regulatory activity. Interestingly, we observed that the majority of FAIRE-identified open regions harbored H3K27ac within 1kb, for all three cell types but the fraction of such overlap was higher in differentiated neurons than dividing neuronal progenitors. (Fig. 2A, Supplemental Fig. S2J). Such occurrence of FAIRE positive sites with H3K27ac was also observed at a large number of stage-specific accessible regions (Fig. 2B and Supplemental Fig. S2K). Furthermore, reverse overlap of the H3K27ac sites with FAIRE revealed that many H3K27ac sites were not open and, consequently, may not be functionally active (Supplemental Fig. S2L-O). The genomic distribution of all double-positive sites indicated that a majority were located at promoters, least in exons followed by introns (Fig. 2C, Supplemental Fig. 2P). After promoters, intergenic regions contained the highest number of such sites, likely representing potential distal regulatory elements (Fig. 2C).

As H3K27ac also marks active promoters, we classified all accessible sites enriched in H3K27ac into two classes: promoters and non-promoters. Interestingly, sites that are H3K27ac-unique and also accessible were almost exclusively located in non-promoter regions, arguing that the unique transcription profiles of different cellular stages during neuronal differentiation may be largely determined by distal regulatory elements (Fig. 2D). Moreover, the gene sets located in proximity to these H3K27ac-unique and accessible sites were mostly non-overlapping (Fig. 2E). Expression analysis of these nearest genes demonstrated that approximately 40-60% of genes were up-regulated compared with the adjacent state (Fig. 2F, Supplemental Fig. S2Q). The genes that do not exhibit induced behavior in association with such distal elements may be wrongly assigned due to computational limitations and that the enhancer-nearest TSS pairing only holds for up to 40% of enhancers (Andersson et al. 2014; Doyle et al. 2014; Samee and Sinha 2014). The correlation of unique distal H3K27ac-accessible sites with nearest gene expression was much higher in TND1 and TND10 than in NPs, further suggesting that distal gene regulation
becomes more defined as cells reach a terminally differentiated state. We further observed that the genes that have nearby open and H3K27ac-positive regions exhibit significantly higher expression than genes that only have accessible regions without H3K27ac, suggesting that the presence of H3K27ac at these open sites has an enhancing effect on transcription (Fig. 2G), as previously suggested (Rada-Iglesias et al. 2011; Bonn et al. 2012). GO enrichment analysis showed that these genes are involved in neuronal development for NP (e.g. Pax6 and Neurod2) and TND1 (e.g. Robo1), whereas TND10 (e.g. Nlgn1) genes were involved in more specialized neuronal functions such as ion transport, localization, regulation of transmembrane transport etc. (Fig. 2H-L). Furthermore, a comparison of TND10 unique H3K27ac sites with similar data from other cell-types confirmed that these sites are neuron-specific and not a general consequence of the differentiation process (Supplemental Fig. S2R). These findings suggest that distal accessible regions marked with H3K27ac contribute to the stage-specific transcriptional program during neurogenesis.

**Distal regulatory elements recruit distinct transcription factors to regulate gene expression during neurogenesis**

To gain understanding into the action of these distal regulatory elements, we next investigated whether they are enriched for binding sites of regulatory factors. Motif prediction analysis of these open and H3K27ac-positive sites revealed motifs for many known factors, a majority of which were identified in a stage-specific manner (Fig. 3A-C). Furthermore, these transcription factors were expressed in the predicted stage (Fig. 3A-C, line graph). Importantly, a number of these factors have been previously implicated in neuronal development (Supplemental Table S3). To test the targeting of predicted transcription factors at these distal sites, we chose two factors for each stage for which genome-wide binding datasets were publicly available for matching stages of neuronal differentiation (SOX2 and SOX3 for NP, LHX3 and ISL1 for TND1 and CTCF and RFX1 for TND10) and were shown to be critical for the respective stages (Uwanogho et al. 1995; Sharma et al. 1998; Tanaka et al. 2011; Dekker 2012; Hirayama et al. 2012; Lee et al. 2012; Lee et al. 2013) (Fig. 3D-F).
Comparative analysis of these ChIP-seq datasets with open and H3K27ac-positive sites revealed a high enrichment for these factors at distal regions in the respective stages (Fig. 3G-L). To provide ultimate validation of such transcription factor occupancy within our neuronal differentiation system, we performed ChIP assay for one transcription factor for each stage of neurogenesis (SOX2 for NP, ISL1 for TND1 and CTCF for TND10). These results show that indeed these three factors, viz. SOX2, ISL1 and CTCF, are significantly enriched at the identified distal regulatory elements in neuronal progenitors, early neurons and mature neurons respectively (Fig 3 M-O). These findings also argue that the function of distal open sites involves a crosstalk of epigenetic mechanisms and critical transcription factors in defining the cell-type specific transcriptional profile during neuronal differentiation.

**NMDA receptor activation results in massive transcriptional reprogramming in neurons**

Our observations suggested a highly dynamic nature of epigenome and transcriptome during neurogenesis that becomes progressively more defined as neurons acquire a terminally differentiated, postmitotic identity. We were next curious to investigate whether the acquired epigenetic and transcriptional profile of neurons could be modulated in response to neuronal function such as neuronal activity. Neuronal activity is mediated via calcium-permeable receptors such as NMDA receptors (NMDARs). To study effects of a prolonged NMDAR stimulation, we treated differentiated neurons with the established agonist NDMA for six hours. We confirmed that such treatment did not lead any cytotoxicity or apoptotic response (Supplemental Fig. S3A-C). Furthermore, while the NDMA treatment led to induction of classical plasticity genes in a manner similar to glutamate, this was effectively blocked by the NMDAR antagonist D-2-amino-5-phosphonovaleric acid (DAPV), suggesting the specificity of the response (Supplemental Fig. S3D). Moreover, the established neuronal activity genes showed a dose-dependent modulation in both ESC-derived neurons and cortical neurons (Supplemental Fig. S3E, F).
We next profiled the transcriptome (RNA-seq) of NMDA-treated neurons to illuminate the gene expression changes induced by the prolonged activation of NMDA receptors (Supplemental Table S4). Differential gene expression analysis comparing the control and NMDA-treated neurons revealed massive transcriptional reprogramming (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, we observed that many established neuronal activity and neuro-plasticity genes were also up-regulated (Fig. 4B-C). Surprisingly, this further accompanied down-regulation of many neuronal genes, particularly those related to synaptic transmission (e.g. Syt2 and Glra1) (Fig. 4D). GO analysis of down-regulated genes revealed a dominant enrichment of genes involved in synaptic transmission and neuronal development (Fig. 4E-F). In contrast, up-regulated genes were enriched for processes related to extracellular matrix reorganization, protein localization and translation (Fig. 4G-H). Mouse phenotype enrichment analysis demonstrated that the defects in the down-regulated genes were almost exclusively associated with phenotypes such as abnormal learning/memory/conditioning and behavior, whereas those upregulated were dominantly linked to defects in the development of non-neuronal tissues (Supplemental Fig. S3G, H).

The importance of long intergenic non-coding RNAs (lincRNAs) in neuronal development has been increasingly appreciated (Yao and Jin 2014). Our analysis further revealed that NMDA-driven neuronal activity also involves modulation of large number of lincRNAs (Supplemental Fig. S3I). Furthermore, the expression of the genes nearest to these lincRNAs was also altered under these conditions, suggesting a potential function of these lincRNAs in gene regulation in cis (Supplemental Fig. S3J-L). The genes potentially down-regulated by lincRNAs were enriched for GO terms related to synaptic transmission and neuronal activity while up-regulated genes were dominantly enriched for many non-neuronal genes (Supplemental Fig. S3M-N).

**Induction of NMDA receptor pathway results in neuroplasticity and a neuroprotective response**
Interestingly, a number of NMDA-induced genes have previously been shown to be important in NMDA-driven neuronal survival (e.g., Btg2, Bdnf, Atf1) (West et al. 2002; Zhang et al. 2007; Zheng et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2014). In addition, this list also contained genes that, based on the literature, could play a role in cell proliferation but have not been implicated in cell survival, especially in the context of neurons (Fig. 5A). Such NMDA-induced expression of these genes was also observed in E16.5 cortical neurons (Fig. 5B). To establish the function of these genes in cell survival, we decided to assess cell death following their siRNA mediated knockdown in cortical neurons. Interestingly, the depletion of a majority of these genes showed a very strong effect on the viability of cortical neurons (Fig. 5C) which was further extensively validated in mouse epithelial cells (Fig. 5D; Supplemental Fig. S4A-C).

To gain further biological insights in the global gene expression changes induced by NMDA stimulation, we performed a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) based comparison of the DMSO and NMDA transcriptomes with the transcriptomes of several embryonic tissues from the three germ layers (ectoderm: ventricular zone (VZ), subventricular zone (SVZ) and cortical plate (CP) from mouse cortex; endoderm: lung and pancreas; mesoderm: heart and MEF). This analysis demonstrated that although control neurons were very similar to CP, NMDA treatment of neurons resulted in a transcriptome that was closer to cells from VZ and SVZ but not CP, further confirming a reversal toward the progenitor state (Fig. 5E). Further analysis showed that genes down-regulated upon NMDA treatment were generally highly expressed in control neurons and CP and exhibited least expression in other tissues (Fig. 5F). By contrast, up-regulated genes were expressed at much higher levels in either VZ/SVZ or in other tissues compared with CP (Fig. 5G). Intrigued by these patterns, a closer gene-wise analysis of up- and down-regulated protein-coding genes further revealed that many established progenitor markers (e.g. Pax6, Nes, Sox2, Notch1, Dll1 and Hes1) were significantly induced while known neuronal genes (e.g. Ncam1, Syn1, Rbfox3, Dscam, Nlgn and EphA2) were significantly down-regulated (Supplemental Fig. 5A, B). Such NMDA-induced upregulation of neuronal progenitor genes was further independently validated both in ESC-derived neurons and cortical neurons (Fig. 5H-I).
Since NMDA-dependent neuronal activity has been implicated to cause neuro-plasticity (Coyle and Tsai 2004), we next probed whether our experimental setup recapitulates similar phenomenon. To investigate this, we performed NMDA withdrawal experiment on ESC-derived neurons as well as cortical neurons and measured the expression of classical neuronal plasticity genes. This analysis indeed showed that while NMDA stimulation results in a strong upregulation of neuronal plasticity genes (Npas4, Fosb and Fos), they were completely reverted back after NMDA withdrawal (Fig. 5. J, K). Furthermore, similar behavior was observed for many neuroprotective genes (Fig. 5L). Altogether, these observations indeed establish that NMDA stimulation involves induction of neuroplasticity and neuroprotective response. These findings further imply that under prolonged neuronal activity via NMDA receptors, neurons transiently lose their identity and exhibit greater developmental potential, which may be essential to allow neuronal plasticity.

**NMDA-induced neural activity results in a massive epigenetic reprogramming of distal regulatory elements**

We had previously observed that in differentiated neurons, stage-specific distal regulatory regions marked with H3K27ac regulate the expression of genes involved in ion transport, localization, and the regulation of transmembrane transport- the functions that are known to be involved in neuronal activity (Fig. 2D and 2J). Furthermore, the gain of stage-specific active regulatory regions was found to occur exclusively at distal sites, which in turn defines cell type-unique transcriptional programs during neuronal development (Fig. 2D). Although researchers have touched upon the distal regulation of basal neuronal activity, there are no reports studying how distal gene regulation is involved in transcriptional responses following prolonged neuronal activity via NMDA receptors (Kim et al. 2010; Malik et al. 2014). To examine NMDA-dependent transcriptional changes we performed a ChIP assay using H3K27ac-specific antibody with control and NMDA-treated neurons and performed next-generation sequencing (ChIP-seq) (Supplemental Table S5). Our analysis revealed that NMDA treatment led to a substantial loss of H3K27ac peaks (n=16349) and a gain of lesser
new peaks (n=4850) (Fig. 6A). Using stringent enrichment criteria, we further shortlisted genomic sites that strongly lost or gained H3K27ac enrichment (n=6571, down-regulated; n=1047, up-regulated) (Fig. 6B). Although decrease in enrichment was significant among all peaks, a dramatic reduction was observed at unique peaks (Fig. 6C). Deeper analysis did not reveal major changes in the percentage of H3K27ac sites distributed across promoters, exons, introns and intergenic regions following NMDA treatment (Fig. 6D, E). Interestingly, we observed that, upon NMDA stimulation, introns and intergenic regions exhibited most prominent reprogramming of H3K27ac mark (Fig. 6F-G). The nearest genes to these sites included known neuronal and synaptic-activity modulated genes (e.g., Dscam and Epha10 which lose H3K27ac and expression upon NMDA treatment, and Npas4 and Gpm6a, which gain H3K27ac and transcription upon NMDA treatment) (Fig. 6H-I). Comparison of epigenome and transcriptome dynamics between neuronal activity versus neurogenesis (NP to TND10) revealed that decreased H3K27ac peaks or downregulated genes during neuronal activity had more overlap with increased H3K27ac peaks or upregulated genes during neurogenesis and vice-versa (Supplemental Fig. 6A-C). GO enrichment analysis of these overlapping set of genes further supported our earlier observations that NMDA-stimulated neurons transiently lose their identity (Supplemental Table S6). We also found that a large fraction of these activity-modulated H3K27ac positive distal regulatory regions were also active in vivo during murine brain development (Nord et al. 2013) (Supplemental Fig. S6D, E).

In line with our previous observations that the distal accessible chromatin sites are largely enriched with H3K27ac in neurons (Fig. 2A), we found that all tested distal regions that gained H3K27ac following NMDA stimulation also gained chromatin accessibility and vice versa (Fig. 6J-K). A GO analysis of genes in proximity to distal regulatory sites modulated via NMDA treatment showed that the genes nearest to those loosing enrichment were dominantly enriched for synaptic transmission and neurogenesis (Fig. 6L) while genes in closest proximity to sites gaining H3K27ac were involved in signaling pathways and cell motility (Fig. 6M). Furthermore, a motif enrichment analysis of these regions revealed their
enrichment for binding sites for a number of transcription factors that are known to be critical for neurogenesis (Fig. 6N and Supplemental Fig. 6F, G). Overall, these findings establish that prolonged NMDA activity involves dramatic epigenetic reprogramming at distal regulatory elements.

**NMDA-mediated epigenetic reprogramming of distal regulatory elements functions in gene regulation**

We next attempted to determine whether distal regions that exhibit changes in H3K27ac in response to NMDA activity correlate with expression changes in the nearest genes. To address this question, we created bins of genes based on the distance from these distal regions and plotted their expression levels under control and NMDA-treatment conditions. In line with previous observations, nearby genes displayed a greater decrease or increase in expression when distal regions exhibited a loss or gain of H3K27ac respectively (Fig. 7A-D; Supplemental Fig. S7A, B). Importantly, these genes included a number of genes that were differentially expressed by at least 1.5-fold upon NMDA treatment (n=538 genes, down-regulated; n=173, up-regulated) (Fig. 7E-G). These down-regulated genes were again enriched for synaptic transmission and ion transport, whereas up-regulated genes were enriched in extracellular matrix reorganization (Supplemental Fig. S7C, D). We further independently validated that chromatin changes at distal regulatory elements accompany changes in the expression levels of associated genes both in ESC-derived neurons and cortical neurons derived from E16.5 brain (Fig. 7H-K, Supplemental Fig. S7E).

We next attempted to assess the downstream epigenetic machinery involved in altering chromatin landscape and gene expression in response to NMDA stimulation. To address this, we employed C646, a specific inhibitor of the histone acetyltransferase (HAT) EP300 (also known as p300)/CREBBP (also known as CBP) (Bowers et al. 2010) and analyzed distal regulatory elements for certain genes that showed increase in H3K27ac upon NMDA treatment following treatment with NMDA in the presence and absence of C646. These results reveal that for NMDA-induced distal H3K27ac peaks, the histone acetyltransferase
(HAT) EP300/CREBBP activity is required for a gain of H3K27ac enrichment in response to NMDA treatment (Fig 7L). In addition, such depletion of H3K27ac enrichment further accompanied loss of chromatin accessibility at all measured distal regulatory elements (Fig. 7M). Furthermore, this also accompanied downregulation in transcription of genes nearest to these distal sites (Fig. 7N). Taken together, these results suggest that NMDA pathway employs distinct epigenetic players such as histone acetyltransferase (HAT) EP300/CREBBP at distal regulatory elements to govern epigenetic state and transcriptional dynamics following NMDA receptor activation.

**NMDA-induced neural activity involves a dramatic reorganization of super-enhancers**

Recent studies have demonstrated that super-enhancers are large clusters of transcriptional enhancers that drive the expression of genes defining cell identity (Hnisz et al. 2013; Whyte et al. 2013). Prompted by our observations that NMDA-mediated gene expression responses involve epigenetic reprogramming of distal regulatory elements, we next investigated whether the NMDA response involves the reorganization of super-enhancers. Surprisingly, despite the massive loss of classical H3K27ac marked distal regulatory regions, we observed a substantial increase in the number of super-enhancers upon NMDA treatment (Fig. 8A). Furthermore, when we assigned these super-enhancers to nearby genes, we observed that few genes lost super-enhancers (n=113), whereas a larger number of genes gained super-enhancers (n=295) following NMDA treatment (Fig. 8B). Among the genes in proximity to control- or NMDA-specific super-enhancers were a number of genes that are known to be crucial for neuronal identity (e.g. *Ncam1, Mapt, Rbfox3*, control super-enhancers) or neuronal activity (*Fos, Per1 and Ephb2*, NMDA super-enhancers), respectively (Supplemental Table S7). Comparing the loss and gain of super-enhancers with the transcription changes following NMDA treatment demonstrated that the substantial number of control- or NMDA-specific super-enhancers were associated with higher expression levels of the associated genes in the respective states (Fig. 8C, D and Supplemental Fig. S9A-B). Considering the expression of nearby genes in the three layers of the embryonic cortex and representative
tissues from all three lineages, we observed that the majority of genes associated with super-enhancers that were present in control-treated neurons but lost after NMDA treatment were almost exclusively expressed in the cortical plate (CP), where mature neurons reside (Fig. 8E). Interestingly, while many genes associated with super-enhancers acquired exclusively following NMDA treatment were expressed in the cortex, a major fraction of these genes were also expressed in other tissues (Fig. 8F). Accordingly, super-enhancers identified in control neurons were enriched with genes related to neurogenesis (e.g. Ncam1, Mapt, Rbfox3) (Supplemental Fig. 8A), whereas genes associated with NMDA-induced super-enhancers were related to general transcription or metabolic regulation and included many established neuronal activity up-regulated genes (e.g. Fos, Per1 and Ephb2) (Supplemental Fig. 8B; Supplemental Table S7). These findings suggest that prolonged NMDA activity causes a massive reorganization of super-enhancers, which then mediate changes in the expression of critical genes.
DISCUSSION

Differentiation is characterized by sequential changes in the cellular state specified by transcriptional reprogramming; that is believed to be generated by a combinatorial action of epigenetic mechanisms and transcription factors. Despite progress, we lack a comprehensive understanding of how specific genes are precisely turned on and off during neuronal development and how regulatory sequences contribute to this process. Recent evidence has indicated a crucial role for distal regulatory elements in defining a cell type-specific gene expression program during development. Using FAIRE-seq assay here we have revealed genome-wide remodeling of chromatin accessibility during successive stages of neurogenesis. These data reveal that the H3K27ac marked distal regulatory regions are uniquely gained in each stage of differentiation and function to drive the expression of genes that define cell identity. We further demonstrated that, in addition to chromatin competence with respect to the presence of the H3K27ac marker, these distal elements recruit distinct transcription factors that are known to be critical for the respective stages of neuronal differentiation. These findings further highlight a complex crosstalk among epigenetic mechanisms, DNA sequence and transcription factors in determining the potential of distal regulatory elements that in turn define the transcriptome underlying cellular identity. Furthermore, such combinatorial analysis of chromatin accessibility (FAIRE-seq) and H3K27ac (ChIP-seq) has led to the first high-sensitivity map of regulatory elements for progressive stages of neuronal differentiation.

The identification of open chromatin regions using FAIRE-seq revealed that accessible chromatin is rapidly remodeled during neurogenesis. We observed that dividing neuronal progenitors have more open chromatin than post-mitotic neurons, reflecting genome compaction upon differentiation. This result also suggests that a large number of accessible sites from differentiated neurons may pre-exist in neuronal progenitors, arguing for ‘epigenetic priming’-like phenomenon that later gains transcriptional competence upon the induction of neurogenesis. This suggestion was further supported by our observations that
promoter accessibility correlates more strongly with gene expression in post-mitotic neurons than dividing neuronal progenitors. Alternatively, a certain fraction of the openness detected in dividing neuronal progenitor cells may be contributed by DNA replication while in post-mitotic neurons the open chromatin state may be exclusively related to transcriptional activity. These observations were further extended to distal regulatory elements because the fraction of open distal regions enriched with the active mark H3K27ac was much higher in post-mitotic cells than dividing neuronal progenitors. Overall, these observations suggest that neurogenesis accompanies establishment of a more structured landscape of regulatory elements and chromatin accessibility that function primarily in gene regulation in post-mitotic, terminally differentiated neurons.

Enhancers are distal regulatory elements that function to activate gene expression and are primary drivers of tissue-specific gene expression programs. Using our high-resolution map of chromatin accessibility and H3K27ac, which marks active enhancers, we illustrated the dynamic changes in usage of regulatory elements during neurogenesis. Interestingly, genes in close proximity to stage-specific non-promoter accessible H3K27ac sites were non-overlapping between the consecutive stages of neuronal differentiation (NP, TND1 and TND10) and gained expression in the stage associated with H3K27ac. A GO enrichment analysis for these genes revealed involvement in biological processes and pathways that reflects the cellular identity of each of the three cell types. These findings further argue for a critical importance of distal gene regulation in defining cell-fates during neurogenesis.

We were next tempted to ask whether the acquired epigenetic and transcriptional state in neurons is subject to modulation during neuronal function such as neuronal activity. The activity-dependent plasticity of vertebrate neurons allows the brain to respond to external stimuli. Neuronal activity-dependent LTP and LTD impart activity-driven neuronal plasticity. Basal neuronal activity or LTP results in the formation of synapses and thus creates memories, but after prolonged neuronal activity, LTD is required to rewire old synapses to allow the acquisition of new learning. This study unravels many novel aspects of neuronal
activity induced by NMDA receptors and demonstrates that prolonged NMDA activity results in significant epigenetic remodeling of the distal regulatory elements to mediate the required gene expression responses.

Here we provide the first comprehensive map of all coding and noncoding genes that are transcriptionally modulated in response to sustained NMDA receptor activity. The genes downregulated following NMDA receptor stimulation included many neuron-specific genes while those induced contained extracellular matrix genes and many other genes expressed in non-neuronal lineages in addition to the classical neuronal activity genes (Fig. 8G). This result is also in agreement with a previous study demonstrating that the NDMA response leads to a major re-organization of the extracellular matrix (Chen et al. 2014). Interestingly, such NMDA receptor activity driven transcriptome resembled closer to neuronal progenitors than to neurons and showed induction of classical neuronal progenitor genes (e.g. Pax6, Sox2) and downregulation of hallmark neuronal genes (e.g. Mapt, Rbfox3). Importantly, transcription of neuronal plasticity genes was completely reversed soon after the NMDA withdrawal, suggesting a true neuronal plasticity in response to neuronal activity. These findings suggested that sustained activity of NMDA receptor results in a transient loss of neuronal identity and we propose that this may be essential to allow cellular and chromatin plasticity. Future studies should aim to investigate the relevance of this phenomenon in the in vivo contexts of such neuronal activity. Furthermore, given generation of such ‘plastic’ cellular state in response to neuronal activity, it would be interesting to assess whether this condition predisposes neurons for reprogramming to other cell types.

Furthermore, this study also discovered for the first time a number of novel genes that were transcriptionally induced by NMDA and function in neuronal survival (Fig. 8G). In addition, NMDA-induced genes also included several genes established to be important in NMDA-driven neuronal survival (e.g. Btg2, Bdnf, Atf1) (West et al. 2002; Zhang et al. 2007; Zheng et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2014). Such induction of pro-survival genes upon neuronal activity may reflect a neuroprotective response (Fig. 8G) and envisage the possible protective effects of
neuronal activity against neurodegeneration. It would be interesting to explore in future whether these novel pro-survival genes could offer therapeutic avenues against neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson’s, Alzheimer’s or Huntington’s disease.

Current literature suggests that basal neuronal activity results in a gain of H3K27ac at distal sites and assists memory formation (Deisseroth et al. 1998; West et al. 2002; Feng et al. 2007; Kim et al. 2010; Zheng et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2014; Lopez-Atalaya and Barco 2014). Therefore, any LTD event that results in a selective loss of synaptic strength may also involve the erasure of memory stored on chromatin during basal activity. We observed that prolonged NMDA activity results in a massive loss of potential distal regulatory regions, whereas only a limited set of potential distal regulatory regions were gained. The genes in close proximity to these lost distal regulatory regions were dominantly enriched in neuronal genes such as those involved in neuronal transmission and neuronal development (Fig. 8G). Furthermore, such NMDA-induced lost and gained distal H3K27ac sites showed opposite dynamics during neurogenesis (upon transition from NP to TND10), further suggesting that a sustained neuronal activity also generates an epigenome that is closer to the neuronal progenitor state and reflects a partial de-differentiation.

In contrast to these classical H3K27ac marked distal regulatory regions that were mostly lost upon NMDA stimulation, such treatment led to a massive reorganization of super-enhancers that were both lost and gained. Furthermore, genes gaining super-enhancers following NMDA treatment included key neuronal activity genes while genes that lose super-enhancers contained many neuronal genes (Fig. 8G). These observations also imply that NMDA receptor signaling also functions to reprogram critical regulatory regions such as super-enhancers, which have been shown to drive expression of genes that define cell identity (Hnisz et al. 2013; Whyte et al. 2013; Pott and Lieb 2014) and thus may have a profound effect on the cell fate. We also observed that the activation of NMDA pathway results in the recruitment of histone acetyltransferase (HAT) EP300/CREBBP at distal regulatory elements
that then acetylates Histone H3 at Lysine 27 to result in the observed chromatin accessibility of these distal sites as well as transcriptional activation of the nearest genes.

Taken together, our findings reveal the dynamics of distal regulatory elements and a vast trove of potential distal regulatory regions and super-enhancers that function in determining transcriptome underlying stage-specific cellular identity during neurogenesis and in response to NMDA-induced neuronal activity. It is very exciting to uncover how the distal regulatory landscape is actively remodeled during neuronal development as well as upon activation of the NMDA receptor pathway to mediate neuroplasticity and neuroprotective responses (Fig. 8G). Future work should aim to unravel the mechanistic details of the collaborative partnership between transcription factors and epigenetic mechanisms at distal regulatory regions and how this partnership contributes to the gene expression program that underlies neuronal development and activity.
METHODS

Cell culture
Wild-type embryonic stem cells derived from blastocysts (3.5 PC) from a mixed 129-C57BL/6 background (called 159.2) were cultured and differentiated as previously described (Bibel et al. 2004). A subclone of NMuMG cells has been described previously and was grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-Glutamine and 1X Non-essential amino acids (Maeda et al. 2005). All cells were grown in 7% CO2 at 37 degrees.

Cortical neuron culture
Cortical neurons were cultured as described previously (Kim et al. 2010). Please refer to supplemental methods for more details.

FAIRE assay
FAIRE-assay was performed as described previously (Giresi et al. 2007). Please refer to supplemental methods for more details.

ChIP assay
ChIP experiments were performed as described previously (Stadler et al. 2011), starting with 60 µg of chromatin and 5 µg of anti-H3K27ac (ab4729; Abcam) antibodies. ChIP-real time PCR was performed using SYBR Green chemistry (ABI). Primers used for ChIP-qPCRs are listed in Supplemental Table S8.

Neuronal activation
Neurons were washed three times with BSS (balanced salt solution: 25 mM Tris, 120 mM NaCl, 15 mM glucose, 5.4 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 0.8 mM MgCl₂, pH 7). After treating the neurons with 200 µM NMDA (Sigma) or control only for 6 h, cells were processed for RT-qPCR, ChIP and FAIRE analysis or washed three times with BSS and fresh neuronal medium added for other downstream experiment. For recovery cells were incubated 72 hours in neuronal medium without NMDA.
Inhibition of the histone acetyltransferase (HAT) EP300/CREBBP

Neurons were pre-treated with the histone acetyltransferase (HAT) EP300/CREBBP inhibitor (10 µM, C646, Sigma) for 30 minutes and then NMDA treatment was performed as described above but in the presence of C646.

Next Generation Sequencing data analysis

Details of genomics data analysis including RNA-seq, ChIP-seq and FAIRE-seq are provided as supplemental methods.

Data Access

FAIRE-seq, ChIP-seq and RNA-seq data generated in this study data have been submitted to the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession number GSE65713.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. FAIRE-seq sensitively identifies proximal and distal accessible regions during neuronal differentiation. (A) Schematic representation of the in vitro differentiation system compared to respective in vivo stages. (B) Line plot showing the expression of established marker genes for different neuronal stages. (C) Genome browser tracks indicating the presence of an open region at Pax6 promoter (gene active in NP) and the absence of any active region in the Pou5f1 gene locus (active in ES cells only). (D) Stacked bar plot of the total and unique FAIRE peak count. (E) Box plot showing distribution of peak widths of unique NP, TND1 and TND10 peaks. P-values are calculated using Wilcoxon test. (F) Peak width distribution in different genomic regions. P-values are calculated using Wilcoxon test (G-I) Scatter plot comparing gene expression and promoter accessibility. Genes were binned into percentiles, and the mean expression and mean promoter accessibility of each bin were plotted. The x-axis shows gene expression in reads per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (RPKM); the y-axis represents normalized FAIRE enrichment at promoters. (J-K) FAIRE-qPCR validation of promoter accessibility and gene expression. Genes are categorized into 4 classes: (I) Not expressed in any of the three stages, (II) Not expressed in the analyzed stage but expressed in at least one other stage, (III) Expression specific to the analyzed stage, and (IV) Expressed in all the stages. Values on y-axis represent FAIRE/ Input normalized to Hspa8 (FAIRE qPCR), normalized enrichment score (FAIRE-seq) and RPKM for normalized expression (RNA-seq). (L) Genome browser track showing the presence of a distal regulatory element near Hes1 (a NP specific gene). (M) Same as in L for the nestin gene (Nes).

Figure 2. Distal regulatory elements define the cell type-specific transcriptome during neuronal development. (A) Stacked bar plot showing fraction of total FAIRE peaks overlapping with H3K27ac sites in NP, TND1 and TND10. Peaks were considered to be overlapping if they were within a distance of 1kb. (B) Same as in (A) but for unique peaks. (C) Bar plot showing the distribution of FAIRE and H3K27ac overlapping peaks in different
genomic regions in NP, TND1 and TND10 stages. (D) Stack bar plot showing promoter and non-promoter peaks that are open and uniquely acquire H3K27ac in NP, TND1 and TND10. (E) Venn diagram representing the overlap of genes near to the sites identified in (D). (F) Heat map showing expression of genes nearest to the peaks that uniquely gain H2K27ac in NP, TND1 or TND10. (G) Boxplot showing differences in expression for genes that are near to open H3K27ac sites (OpenEnh) and only open sites (Open). P-values are calculated using Wilcoxon test. (H-J) Bar plots showing enrichment of biological processes for genes near to NP, TND1 and TND10 open and unique H3K27ac positive sites. The bars reflect the number of genes in each category, and the lines represent the multiple tested corrected p-values, displayed as alternate x-axis, of the corresponding GO terms. (K-L) Browser tracks for Neurod2 and Pax6 showing potential distal regulatory regions.

Figure 3. Distinct transcription factors are targeted to distal regulatory elements in a stage-specific manner during neurogenesis. (A-C) Bar and overlapping line plot showing identified motifs and their counts in NP, TND1 and TND10 at uniquely gained H3K27ac non-promoter sites (bars, main y-axis) and there expression (Line, alternate y-axis). (D-F) Motif sequence of the selected transcription factors from the three stages. (G-L) Plots showing enrichment of the corresponding transcription factors around the center of the uniquely gained open H3K27ac peaks in NP (SOX2 & SOX3; G, H), TND1 (LHX3 & ISL1; I, J) and TND10 (CTCF & RFX1; K, L). The x-axis shows the distance from the peak center; the y-axis represents normalized enrichment of the corresponding transcription factor. (M-O) ChiP-qPCR validation of selected distal regions for their occupancy using specific antibody, SOX2 in NP (M), ISL1 in TND1 (N) and CTCF in TND10 (O). Average enrichments from independent assays are plotted on the y-axis as ratio of precipitated DNA (bound) to total input DNA and then further normalized to an intergenic region. Error bars represent SEM of independent biological replicates. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p< 0.001, Student’s t-test.
Figure 4. NMDA receptor signaling causes dramatic transcriptional changes. (A) Volcano plot showing up- and down-regulated genes upon NDMA treatment in TND10 neurons. The x-axis represents the fold change between control and NDMA in log2; the y-axis shows the adjusted p-value in -log10. (B) Bar plot showing fold induction of few selected known synaptic activity and neuronal plasticity related genes. X-axis show gain of expression fold change upon NMDA stimulation in neurons. (C-D) Browser tracks showing gain (C) and loss (D) of expression for key activity or neuronal-related genes. (E-H) Bar plots showing enrichment of biological processes and pathways in downregulated (E-F) and upregulated (G-H) genes upon NDMA stimulation. The bars reflect the number of genes in each category; the lines represent the multiple tested corrected p-value, displayed as alternate x-axis, of the corresponding GO term.

Figure 5. NMDA receptor stimulation causes induction of non-neuronal and pro-survival genes. (A) Expression values from RNA-seq (normalized tag counts) of selected potential pro-survival candidate genes that are induced upon NMDA. Error bars represent SEM of independent biological replicates. (B) mRNA levels for selected pro-survival genes (as in A) in NMDA induced cortical neurons were measured by qRT-PCR relative to Actb and plotted on the y-axis. Error bars represent SEM of independent biological replicates. (C) Bar plot showing percentage of live cells upon siRNA mediated knock down of pro-survival candidates as compared to non-targeting control (NTC) in cortical neurons. Error bars represent SEM of independent biological replicates. (D) Similar analysis as in (C) but knock down performed in epithelial cells. Error bars represent SEM of independent biological replicates. (E) Principal Component Analysis (PCA) plot of control- and NMDA-treated day-10 neurons with VZ (Ventricular Zone), SVZ (Sub-Ventricular Zone), CP (Cortical Plate), lung, pancreas, heart and MEF transcriptomes. (F) Heat map showing the expression of genes down-regulated by NMDA treatment in different tissues from 3 germ layers (ectoderm: VZ, SVZ and CP, endoderm: pancreas and lung, mesoderm: heart and MEF). (G) Same as in (F) but for up-regulated genes. (H-I) Bar plots showing mRNA levels for known neuronal progenitor genes in NMDA induced ESC-derived neurons (H) and cortical neurons (I).
measured by qRT-PCR relative to Actb and plotted on the y-axis. Error bars represent SEM of independent biological replicates. (J-K) Bar plots showing mRNA levels of key synaptic activity genes upon NMDA treatment and following NMDA withdrawal both in ESC-derived neurons (J) and cortical neurons (K) measured by qRT-PCR relative to Actb and plotted on the y-axis. Error bars represent SEM of independent biological replicates. (L) Similar analysis as in (J) but for pro-survival genes. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p< 0.001, Student’s t-test.

Figure 6. Prolonged NMDA activity results in a massive modulation of distal regulatory landscape. (A) Venn diagram showing overlap of H3K27ac peaks identified in control- and NDMA-treated day-10 neurons. (B) Scatter plot showing the gain and loss of H3K27ac sites. Peaks marked in green are either only present in NMDA or at least 2-fold up-regulated compared with control; peaks marked in red represent the opposite. The x- and y-axes represent the normalized enrichment of H3K27ac in control and NDMA treated neurons. (C) Box plots showing the loss of H3K27ac upon NMDA treatment compared with control at all and unique peaks. P-values are calculated using Wilcoxon test. (D-G) Pie charts showing the distribution of control or NMDA peaks in different genomic regions for all (D, E) and unique (F, G) peaks. (H) Browser plots showing loss of H3K27ac at potential distal regulatory regions of neuronal genes (Dscam and Epha10). (I) Same as in (H) but showing gain of H3K27ac at potential distal regulatory regions of activity-related genes. (J) Bar plot showing normalized H3K27ac enrichment of selected distal peaks in control and NMDA treated neurons as derived from the ChIP-seq dataset. (K) FAIRE assay was performed in cells treated with NMDA and qRT-PCRs were performed for the same distal regulatory regions as in (J). Average enrichments from independent assays are plotted on the y-axis as ratio of precipitated DNA (bound) to total input DNA and then further normalized to an intergenic region. Error bars represent SEM of independent biological replicates. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p< 0.001, Student’s t-test. (L-M) Bar plots showing the enrichment of biological processes for genes near down-regulated (L) and up-regulated (M) distal regulatory regions. The bars reflect the number of genes in each category; the lines represent the multiple tested
corrected p-value, displayed on alternate x-axis, of the corresponding GO term. (N).
Representative motifs identified in down- and up-regulated distal regulatory regions.

**Figure 7. Neuronal activity-dependent transcriptome changes are driven via distal regulatory elements.** (A) Heat map showing the expression of genes (within 5 kb window) near to down-regulated H3K27ac positive distal regulatory regions in the control and NMDA treatments. (B) Box plots showing differences in the expression of genes near down-regulated H3K27ac positive distal regulatory regions after the genes were binned based on their distance (5kb, 10kb, 20kb, 30kb, 50kb and 75kb) from these sites. P-values for the differences between control and NMDA conditions for distances 5kb (n=911), 10kb (n=1076), 20kb (n=1367), 30kb (n=1621), 50kb (n=1970) and 75kb (n=2252) are 1.5e-54, 5.7e-56, 3.4e-51, 1.4e-55, 1.5e-54 and 4.4e-56 respectively. P-values are calculated using Wilcoxon test. (C) Same as in (A) but for up-regulated H3K27ac positive distal regulatory regions. (D) Same as in (B) for up-regulated H3K27ac positive distal regulatory regions. P-values for the differences between control and NMDA conditions for distances 5kb (n=97), 10kb (n=171), 20kb (310), 30kb (406), 50kb (528) and 75kb (n=608) are 0.0005, 0.05, 0.04, 0.13, 0.56 and 0.91 respectively. (E-F) Venn diagram showing overlap of genes near to down-regulated distal regulatory regions (green circle) with genes at least 1.5 fold down-regulated upon NMDA treatment (blue circle) (E) and up-regulated distal regulatory regions (green circle) with genes at least 1.5 fold up-regulated (blue circle) (F). (G) Browser plots showing concomitant loss (\textit{Glra1}) or gain (\textit{Col1a2}) of distal H3K27ac and expression. (H) Normalized tag counts from RNA-seq data of selected genes that show changes in H3K27ac at their distal regions upon NMDA treatment. (I) ChIP-qPCR validation of NMDA-dependent H3K27ac changes for the distal regions for genes shown in (H). (J) FAIRE-qPCRs to assess changes in chromatin accessibility at the same regions shown in (I) upon NMDA treatment. (K) ChIP-qPCR validation of NMDA-dependent H3K27ac changes for the distal regions of genes shown in (H) in mouse cortical neurons treated with NMDA. (L-N) Bar plots showing analysis of H3K27ac (by ChIP-qPCRs) (L), chromatin accessibility (by FAIRE-qPCRs) (M) of selected distal regions and expression of nearest genes (by RT-qPCRs) (N) following NMDA
stimulation in the presence and absence HAT inhibitor (C646). All error bars represent SEM of independent biological replicates. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p< 0.001, Student t-test.

**Figure 8. Neuronal activity results in a global reorganization of super-enhancers at key responsive genes.** (A) Bar plot showing the number of super-enhancers in the control and NMDA treated neurons. (B) Venn diagram showing the overlap of genes near control and NMDA super-enhancer. (C-D) Expression of genes near to control (C) and NDMA (D) non-promoter super-enhancers in control- and NDMA-treated neurons (Enlarged heat maps along with gene names are provided as Supplemental Figure S9). (E-F) Heat map showing expression of same genes as in (C) and (D) in tissues from three germ layers. (G) Schematic representation of a model showing epigenome and transcriptome changes in response to sustained NMDA receptor activity. Neurons exhibiting basal synaptic activity express neuronal genes that are marked by an active chromatin state while non-neuronal genes are kept silent in heterochromatin. A prolonged NMDA activity results in a dramatic epigenetic reprogramming including at many distal regulatory elements and super-enhancers resulting in the downregulation of neuronal genes (loss of H3K27ac) and activation of neuronal plasticity, neuroprotective and several non-neuronal genes (gain of H3K27ac).
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