RESEARCH

The Comparative Genomic Structure and Sequence of the Surfeit Gene Homologs in the Puffer Fish *Fugu rubripes* and their Association with CpG-Rich Islands

Niall Armes,¹ Jonathan Gilley,¹ and Mike Fried²

Eukaryotic Gene Organisation and Expression Laboratory, Imperial Cancer Research Fund, Lincoln's Inn Fields, London WC2A 3PX, UK

The puffer fish *Fugu rubripes* (*Fugu*) has a compact genome approximately one-seventh the size of man, mainly owing to small intron size and the presence of few dispersed repetitive DNA elements, which greatly facilitates the study of its genes at the genomic level. It has been shown previously that, whereas the Surfeit genes are tightly clustered at a single locus in mammals and birds, the genes are found at three separate loci in the *Fugu* genome. Here, *Fugu* gene homologs of all six Surfeit genes (*Surf-1* to *Surf-6*) have been cloned and sequenced, and their gene structure has been compared with that of their mammalian and avian homologs. The predicted protein products of each gene are well conserved between vertebrate species, and in most cases their gene structures are identical to their mammalian and avian homologs except for the *Fugu Surf-6* gene, which was found to lack an intron present in the mouse gene. In addition, we have identified conserved regulatory elements at the 5' and 3' ends of the *Surf-3/rpl7a* gene by comparison with the mammalian and chicken *Surf-3/rpl7a* gene homologs, including the presence of a polypyrimidine tract at the extreme 5' end of this ribosomal protein gene. The *Fugu* Surfeit gene homologs appear to be associated with CpG-rich islands, like the Surfeit genes in higher vertebrates, but these *Fugu* CpG islands are similar to the nonclassical islands characteristic of other fish species. Our observations support the use of the *Fugu* genome to study vertebrate gene structure, to predict the structure of mammalian genes, and to identify vertebrate regulatory elements.

[The sequence data described in this paper have been submitted to the data library under accession nos. Y15170 (*Surf-2*, *Surf-4*), Y15171 (*Surf-3*, *Surf-1*, *Surf-6*), and Y15172 (*Surf-5*)]

The genome of the Japanese puffer fish, *Fugu rubripes* (*Fugu*), is ~7.5 times smaller than the human genome (400 Mb compared with 3000 Mb) mainly owing to the presence of fewer dispersed repetitive DNA elements and smaller introns (Brenner et al. 1993). Despite being compact, the *Fugu* genome is thought to possess a similar gene repertoire to other vertebrates and has therefore been proposed as a model genome for studying vertebrate gene structure. An increasing number of *Fugu* gene homologs have been identified that are highly homologous to their mammalian counterparts at the amino acid level and also show a conserved gene structure (e.g., intron/exon boundaries) (Baxendale et al. 1995; Elgar et al. 1995; Mason et al. 1995; Venkatesh and Brenner 1995; Maheshwar et al. 1996; Venkatesh et

al. 1996). Furthermore, sequence comparisons between the noncoding DNA of *Fugu* genes and their higher vertebrate homologs have revealed conserved elements thought to be required for gene regulation or associated with other functions such as intron-encoded small nucleolar RNAs (Aparicio et al. 1995; Marshall et al. 1994; Cecconi et al. 1996; Crosio et al. 1996).

The mouse Surfeit locus contains at least six sequence-unrelated genes (*Surf-1* to *Surf-6*) and encompasses ~45 kb of genomic DNA (Huxley and Fried 1990b). The six Surfeit genes have been classified as housekeeping genes, being expressed in all tissue types tested and not containing a TATA box in their promoter region. The mouse Surfeit locus contains four CpG-rich islands that are associated with the 5' ends of the six Surfeit genes (Fig. 1A). The relatively high gene density within the Surfeit locus (an average of one gene every 7.5 kb) compared with the mouse genome as a whole, the alter-

¹These authors contributed equally to the work.

²Corresponding author.

E-MAIL fried@icrf.icnet.uk; FAX 44-171-269-3093.

nation of transcription of five of the genes with respect to their neighbors, the presence of one confirmed bidirectional promoter (that of the *Surf-1* and *Surf-2* genes), and the overlap of two of the Surfeit gene transcripts have led to the suggestion that the unusual gene organization may have regulatory and/or functional significance (Huxley and Fried 1990b; Gaston and Fried 1994; Lennard et al. 1994). At present, only the function of the *Surf-3* gene, which encodes the ribosomal protein L7a (*Surf-3/rpL7a* gene), is known (Giallongo et al. 1989), although it is additionally known that the *Surf-4* gene encodes an integral membrane protein of the endoplasmic reticulum (Reeves and Fried 1995), that the *Surf-6* gene encodes a novel nucleolar protein (Magoulas and Fried 1996), and that an *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* gene homologous to the mammalian *Surf-1* gene encodes a mitochondrial protein required for respiration (Mashkevich et al. 1997).

The unique spatial arrangement of at least five of the Surfeit genes (*Surf-1* to *Surf-5*) has been shown to be conserved between mouse, human, and chicken (Colombo et al. 1992; Yon et al. 1993), whereas at least five of the Surfeit genes are not linked in the two invertebrate species tested, *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Armes and Fried 1995, 1996). Tetraodontoid fish are estimated to have diverged from the mammalian and avian lineages ~430 million years ago, which is conveniently positioned midway between the divergence points of the avian (300 million years) and invertebrate (600 million years) lineages from the mammalian lineage. *Fugu* should therefore be informative in the evolutionary analysis of the Surfeit locus and its genes.

We have reported previously that *Fugu* homologs of all six Surfeit genes have been isolated, that they are represented only once in the *Fugu* genome, and that their genomic organization is largely different from that found in higher vertebrates being located at three separate loci in the *Fugu* genome (Fig. 1) (Gilley et al. 1997). Even when *Fugu* Surfeit genes are found together, their gene order is largely different from that found in mammals and birds. In this paper we have analyzed the conservation of gene structure between the six *Fugu* Surfeit gene homologs and their mammalian counterparts. We find that the structures of the six Surfeit genes are largely conserved between *Fugu* and higher vertebrates and that the predicted products of the six *Fugu* gene homologs are highly homologous to the corresponding mouse proteins. In addition, we demonstrate that *Fugu* Surfeit gene ho-

mologs are associated with CpG-rich islands that we find are similar in composition to other characterized fish CpG islands (Cross et al. 1991). Otherwise, with the exception of the *Surf-3/rpL7a* gene, we can recognize little conservation of promoter elements between the *Fugu* and mammalian Surfeit genes.

RESULTS

Isolation of *F. rubripes* Surfeit Gene Homologs

A gridded *Fugu* cosmid genomic library sufficiently complex to cover eight genomes was screened with cDNA inserts of the six mouse and/or human Surfeit genes (*Surf-1* to *Surf-6*) (see Materials and Methods). Positively hybridizing cosmids were identified initially with mouse or human *Surf-3/rpL7a*, *Surf-4*, and *Surf-5* cDNA probes. Cosmids 036L10, 186H17, and 194D10 were identified with a *Surf-3/rpL7a* probe, cosmids 007P02, 028B15, 044B19, 084H09, 139G11, 186G08, and 196C01 with a *Surf-4* probe, and cosmids 028I13 and 177E10 with a *Surf-5* probe. Cosmids 186H17, 139G11, and 177E10 were studied further by restriction enzyme and Southern blot analyses that subsequently revealed that sequences homologous to *Surf-2* resided on the cosmids containing *Surf-4* homologous sequences and that sequences homologous to *Surf-1* and *Surf-6* resided on cosmids containing *Surf-3/rpL7a* homologous sequences. The extent and relative location of each of the six *Fugu* Surfeit gene homologs was determined by Southern blot analysis of cosmid restriction digests and sequence analysis of subcloned cosmid DNA (Fig. 1) (Gilley et al. 1997).

Conservation of the Intron/Exon Organization Between the *Fugu* and Mouse Surfeit Gene Homologs

The putative intron/exon organization of each of the six *Fugu* Surfeit gene homologs within their coding regions was deduced from the structure of the corresponding mouse Surfeit genes. The position of the last three introns of the *Fugu Surf-1* gene and the position of the last four introns of the *Fugu Surf-3/rpL7a* gene have been additionally confirmed following the isolation of three *Fugu Surf-1* cDNA clones and 14 *Fugu Surf-3/rpL7a* cDNA clones from a directionally cloned [poly(A)-selected] *Fugu* 5'-stretch plus cDNA library (Clontech). No other informative *Fugu* Surfeit gene cDNA clones have been isolated. The structures of the *Surf-2*, *Surf-3/rpL7a*, and *Surf-4* *Fugu* gene homologs, within their coding

regions, are identical to the structures of the corresponding mouse genes with all intron/exon boundaries predicted to be conserved (Fig. 2). The *Fugu Surf-1* gene homolog also appears to share an identical structure to its mammalian counterparts from a position within the third exon to its termination codon. Before this position, no homology to the mammalian Surf-1 proteins is evident, and we have therefore not been able to define the extreme 5' end of the *Fugu Surf-1* gene homolog by comparison. The intron/exon organization of the *Fugu Surf-6* gene homolog differs from that of the mouse Surf-6 gene in that it possesses only three introns within the coding region of the gene, whereas the mouse gene possesses four (Magoulas and Fried 1996) (Fig. 2). Two of the three *Fugu Surf-6* introns are found in identical positions to the first and third introns of the mouse gene. The second intron in *Fugu* is predicted to be in a similar, but not identical, position to the mouse intron 2, and it should be noted that this region is very poorly conserved between mouse and *Fugu* making it very difficult to predict the exact position of this intron/exon junction (Fig. 2). The fourth intron found in mouse is absent in *Fugu* (Fig. 2). Finally, the intron/exon organization of the *Fugu Surf-5* gene homolog is confused by the fact that the mouse *Surf-5* gene specifies two proteins (Surf-5 and Surf-5b) as a result of differential splicing (Garson et al. 1995, 1996). The ubiquitous mouse *Surf-5* 3.5-kb mRNA that specifies an 140 amino-acid-protein and the mouse 1.5-kb *Surf-5b* mRNA that specifies the

tissue-specific 200-amino-acid protein share three exons whose lengths and positions are conserved in the *Fugu Surf-5* gene homolog (Fig. 2). The mouse *Surf-5b* mRNA contains a fourth exon that is derived from the 3'-untranslated region of the mouse *Surf-5* mRNA. This exon encodes an additional 63 amino acids not found in the ubiquitous mouse Surf-5 protein. These additional 63 amino acids are less well conserved between mouse and human than the 137 amino acids that are common to both the Surf-5 and Surf-5b proteins (Garson et al. 1996). A search of the sequence downstream of the *Fugu Surf-5* coding region reveals a small open reading frame specifying 68 amino acids that includes a stretch of 8 amino acids that are identical to a stretch of 8 amino acids in the additional 63 amino acids specific to the Surf-5b protein (Fig. 2). In addition, the donor and acceptor splice sites for the intron predicted for this putative additional exon are in conserved positions when compared with mouse. Therefore, the *Fugu Surf-5* gene homolog may also specify a Surf-5b protein by differential splicing.

Comparison Between the Sizes of the *Fugu* and Mouse Surfeit Gene Homologs

Table 1 shows a comparison between the sizes of the mouse and *Fugu* Surfeit gene homologs from initiator codon to termination codon as well as an indication of the difference in intron sizes between each

Figure 1 Genomic organization of the mouse Surfeit locus and comparison with the organization of the *Fugu* Surfeit genes at three separate loci. (A) Organization of the mouse Surfeit locus. The relative orientation of the genes and their intergenic distances are shown (adapted from Garson et al. 1995). The continuous line represents genomic DNA. The direction of transcription of each gene (*Surf-1* to *Surf-6*) is indicated by arrows, and the 5' end of each gene can be seen to be associated with a CpG island (■). In human the ASS gene is located ~2–4 Mb from the Surfeit locus 3' to the *Surf-6* gene. The human EST00098 has been mapped to chromosome 9 but is not found within 50 kb either side of Surfeit locus as determined by PCR. These features have not been determined in mouse. (B) Cosmid contig construction demonstrates that the *Fugu* Surfeit gene homologs are located at three separate loci (modified from Gilley et al. 1997). Cosmid contigs were constructed around each of the three *Fugu* genomic loci; (i) containing the *Fugu Surf-3/rpL7a*, *Surf-1*, and *Surf-6* gene homologs, (ii) containing the *Fugu Surf-2*, *Surf-4*, and ASS gene homologs and sequences homologous to human EST00098, and (iii) containing the *Fugu Surf-5* gene homolog only. In each case, *Fugu* genomic DNA is represented as a thick horizontal line, and, above, the direction of transcription and position of each of gene is expanded for clarity. Intergenic distances between *Fugu* Surfeit genes are shown. Cosmid contigs are highlighted below the genomic DNA with each cosmid clone shown as a thin horizontal line. Each cosmid is labeled with its original *Fugu* cosmid library clone number. Cosmids in each contig were isolated and arranged relative to one another using restriction and hybridization analyses. None of the cosmids overlap with cosmids from either of the other two contigs. The approximate distance that each contig stretches either side of the *Fugu* Surfeit gene loci is shown in kilobases below the genomic DNA. Each contig shows only informative cosmids. Additional cosmids in contig i are 006118, 041C23, 059G12, 070P11, 111D07, 111M11, 111N11, 117M14, 194B16, and 194C20, and additional cosmids in contig ii are 007P02, 028B15, 044B19, 139G10, 186G08, and 196C01. No additional cosmids could be identified for locus iii, owing to the probable presence of numerous repetitive elements.

Fugu and mouse Surfeit gene homolog. The genomic distance between the initiator codon and the termination codon of the *Fugu* *Surf-4*, *Surf-5*, and *Surf-6* gene homologs is much reduced with respect to the mouse *Surf-4*, *Surf-5*, and *Surf-6* genes, the *Fugu* genes being ~7, 3.5, and 2.5 times smaller, respectively, owing to a sevenfold to twofold reduction in the sizes of their introns. On the other hand, the *Fugu* *Surf-1*, *Surf-2*, and *Surf-3/rpL7a* gene homologs are of a comparable size with their respective mouse

counterparts. The *Fugu* *Surf-1* and *Surf-2* gene homologs are both less than twofold smaller than the mouse genes; however, some of the introns are several times smaller in *Fugu* than mouse (up to a sevenfold reduction) even though the introns in both species are comparatively small. The *Fugu* *Surf-3/rpL7a* gene is slightly larger than the mouse *Surf-3/rpL7a* gene mainly owing to a large first intron in *Fugu*. The other *Surf-3/rpL7a* introns in both species are similar in size and relatively small.

Figure 1 (See facing page for legend.)

Comparison of the Amino Acid Sequence Homology of the Products of the *Fugu* and Mouse Surfeit Gene Homologs

Table 1 also shows the percentage of amino acid identity and similarity between the predicted *Fugu* and mouse Surfeit gene polypeptides. It can be seen that the *Surf-3/rpL7a*, *Surf-4*, and *Surf-5* gene homologs are extremely well conserved at the amino acid level between mouse and *Fugu*, whereas *Surf-2* and *Surf-6* are relatively poorly conserved. *Surf-1* shows intermediate conservation. It should be noted that cosmids containing the *Fugu* *Surf-1*, *Surf-2*, and *Surf-6* gene homologs could not be identified in the initial library screen using mammalian *Surf-1*, *Surf-2*, and *Surf-6* cDNA probes either because of under-representation of the true cosmid in the well corresponding to the library grid co-ordinate (as was the case for cosmid 186H17) or because the DNA sequence homology between probe and *Fugu* sequences was too poor under the hybridization conditions used (*Surf-2* and *Surf-6*). Comparison by alignment of amino acid sequences between the *Fugu* and mammalian Surfeit gene homologs gives an indication of those regions of the proteins that are most likely to be functionally important especially for those that are less well conserved (Fig. 2).

Table 1. Difference in the Sizes of the Mouse and *Fugu* Surf-3 Genes (Including Intron Sizes) and the Amino Acid Homology Between their Predicted Protein Products

Gene	Range of intron size difference between <i>Fugu</i> and mouse	Difference in gene size between <i>Fugu</i> and mouse	Percent amino acids identical between <i>Fugu</i> and mouse	Percent amino acids similar between <i>Fugu</i> and mouse
<i>Surf-1</i>	2.4 × increase to a 6.4 × reduction	<i>Fugu</i> 1.3 × smaller than mouse	72.5	83.8
<i>Surf-2</i>	1.5 × increase to a 7 × reduction	<i>Fugu</i> 1.7 × smaller than mouse	42.3	61.3
<i>Surf-3</i>	4.6 × increase to a 2 × reduction	<i>Fugu</i> 1.1 × larger than mouse	91.7	95.1
<i>Surf-4</i>	7 × reduction for all introns	<i>Fugu</i> 7.0 × smaller than mouse	90.0	94.8
<i>Surf-5</i>	2 × to 7 × reduction	<i>Fugu</i> 3.1 × smaller than mouse	86.4	92.9
<i>Surf-6</i>	3.5 × to 6 × reduction	<i>Fugu</i> 2.5 × smaller than mouse	46.6	65.1

Comparison of the Promoter Regions and Polyadenylation Signals of the *Fugu* and Mouse *Surf-3/rpL7a* Gene Homologs

Usually it is not possible to identify the 5' end of genes from the analysis of genomic sequences; however, most ribosomal protein genes in vertebrates and some invertebrates possess a polypyrimidine tract at their 5' end containing the transcriptional start sites. Such a polypyrimidine tract is located at the 5' end of the *Surf-3/rpL7a* gene in mammals (Huxley and Fried 1990a; Colombo et al. 1991), birds (Colombo and Fried 1992), and *Drosophila* (Armes and Fried 1995). Furthermore, the first exon/intron boundary of the mammalian and avian *Surf-3/rpL7a* genes is demarcated by the splice consensus donor sequence ATG/GT that follows 10–14 bp 3' of this polypyrimidine tract, the splice occurring di-

rectly after the ATG codon that specifies the initiator methionine (Colombo and Fried 1992; Colombo et al. 1991; Huxley and Fried 1990a). With this knowledge we were able to tentatively assign the first exon of the *Fugu Surf-3/rpL7a* gene by the presence of a polypyrimidine tract 12 bp upstream of a methionine initiator codon and 15 bp upstream of a splice donor site ATG/GT (Fig. 3A).

A number of transcriptional promoter elements have been found to be conserved between the mouse, human, and chicken *Surf-3/rpL7a* genes (Colombo and Fried 1992). Inspection of the genomic region upstream of the *Fugu Surf-3/rpL7a* gene has revealed that the Box B element, located just 5' to the polypyrimidine tract, is also conserved in *Fugu*, but other promoter elements further upstream, including Box A, are not conserved (Fig. 3A).

Analysis of cosmid 186H17 that contains both

Figure 2 Amino acid homology between the mouse and predicted *Fugu* Surf-3 gene products. The GAP program from the GCG software suite was used to generate amino acid sequence alignments comparing each of the six mouse Surf-3 proteins with the predicted protein products of the six *Fugu* Surf-3 gene homologs that have been deduced from the predicted structure of each *Fugu* gene. Vertical lines between the sequences indicate identity, double dots indicate conservation of similar amino acids, and single dots indicate changes found to occur frequently between homologs. Numeration of both proteins is given from their putative initiator methionines except for the putative *Fugu* Surf-1 protein whose amino terminus has not been elucidated and the Surf-5b protein for which alignment begins at amino acid 131 of both *Fugu* and mouse proteins. Vertical arrows above the mouse sequences and below the *Fugu* sequences indicate the relative positions of intron/exon boundaries as predicted by comparison of *Fugu* and mouse genomic DNA sequence. The similar but not identical position of the second intron and the absence of the fourth intron in the *Fugu Surf-6* gene homolog are highlighted. Table 1 indicates the percentage of amino acid identity and similarity of each conceptual *Fugu* protein to the mouse protein calculated using the GAP program.

cDNA clones. Therefore, a minimum of 184 bp separates the 3' ends of the *Surf-1* and *Surf-3/rpL7a* gene homologs based on the position where their respective poly(A) tails are added. Comparison of the intergenic regions between the *Fugu* and mouse *Surf-3/rpL7a* and *Surf-1* genes reveals no significant stretches of DNA homology.

Repetitive Elements Are Found in the Sequence 5' to the *Fugu Surf-5* Gene Homolog

A cluster of three small (<200 bp) partially inverted sequences are found within the first 1 kb of the 2.5 kb of sequence upstream of the *Fugu Surf-5* gene with the first being particularly pronounced. Furthermore, a small sequence element (<300 bp) 1.25 kb upstream of the *Surf-5* initiator methionine and positioned next to the inverted sequences is predicted to be a dispersed repetitive element because homologous sequences are found upstream of the *Fugu* α -anomalous (testis) actin gene homolog (GenBank accession no. U38962). Furthermore, a BLAST search of the Human Genome Mapping Project (HGMP) Resource Center *Fugu* sequences (<http://fugu.hgmp.mrc.ac.uk/>) reveals several other *Fugu* cosmid sequences that are homologous to this element. To date, no other repetitive elements have been found in the *Fugu* DNA we have sequenced.

Base Composition and CpG Methylation Status of the Genomic Regions Containing *Fugu* Surfeit Gene Homologs

In this study ~24 kb of *Fugu* genomic sequence has been obtained in and around the *Fugu* Surfeit gene homologs. The percentage of guanine plus cytosine (GC) content for this sequence as a whole is 42.6%, and the average relative observed/expected (O/E) CpG dinucleotide frequency predicted by base composition for the *Fugu* genomic sequence we have obtained is 0.61. Similar values for *Fugu* genomic regions have been reported previously (Elgar 1996; Elgar et al. 1996). The O/E CpG dinucleotide frequency value for *Fugu* (0.61) contrasts with the average CpG O/E frequency of 0.2 for the mammalian genome, the under-representation of the CpG dinucleotide probably being because of the deamination of methylated cytosine in the CpG dinucleotide to thymine. The difference between the CpG O/E frequency of *Fugu* and mammals suggests a difference in methylation patterns and/or a difference in the number of unmethylated CpG-rich islands per kilobase of genomic DNA. The locus containing

the *Surf-2*, *Surf-4*, Arginino-Succinate Synthetase (ASS), and *EST00098* gene homologs shows extensive relaxation in CpG suppression with an average CpG O/E value of 0.73 for this entire region covering 10 kb, such extensive relaxation not being seen in mammalian genomes. In addition, the same region also shows a percentage GC content (47.2%) significantly higher than the other two *Fugu* loci containing Surfeit gene homologs (39.6% and 39.0%), although all three values are lower than the value for the human Surfeit locus (53.4%).

Figure 4 illustrates the base composition and relative CpG dinucleotide frequencies (O/E) for the sequenced regions around the six *Fugu* Surfeit gene homologs. A significant reduction in CpG suppression and spikes of CpG O/E > 1.0 can be seen at the 5' end of all of the Surfeit gene homologs and the ASS gene homolog (Fig. 4), and a very distinct spike was detected 1.5 kb upstream of the *Surf-5* gene that might indicate the presence of another gene in this region although computer searches have not revealed any homology to any sequences in the DNA/protein databases. Further upstream from this point is 1 kb of sequence that shows very high CpG suppression (CpG O/E = 0.13) compared with the rest of the *Fugu* sequence obtained that corresponds to the location of the repetitive sequences discussed above. Interestingly, the percentage GC content for the three *Fugu* sequence contigs does not fluctuate greatly, and it can be seen that the regions of reduced CpG suppression are no more GC rich than regions with high CpG suppression.

To determine the methylation status of CpG dinucleotides in the promoter regions of three of the *Fugu* Surfeit gene homologs, we have used the different methylation sensitivities of the restriction enzyme isoschizmers *MspI* and *HpaII*. Both enzymes recognize the sequence CCGG; however, *HpaII* will not cleave the site if the central C (in the CpG dinucleotide) is methylated, whereas *MspI* is not sensitive to this methylation. The different patterns of DNA migration observed following electrophoresis of *MspI* and *HpaII* digests of *Fugu* genomic DNA on a 1% agarose gel suggest that *Fugu* genomic DNA is heavily methylated (data not shown). Southern blots of *MspI*- and *HpaII*-digested *Fugu* genomic DNA and an *MspI* digest of cosmid 186H17 DNA were subsequently probed with radiolabeled restriction fragments spanning *MspI*-*HpaII* restriction sites in the promoter and nonpromoter regions of the *Fugu Surf-3/rpL7a* and *Fugu Surf-1/Surf-6* genes to determine any differences in the methylation state of CpG dinucleotides within the *MspI*-*HpaII* restriction enzyme recognition sites in this region. Figure

ARMES ET AL.

Figure 4 Base composition and CpG suppression in the three *Fugu* loci containing Surfeit gene homologs. The three sets of plots show CpG dinucleotide O/E frequencies (calculated by the Staden software suite) and percentage of GC content (calculated by the MacVector 5.0.2 sequence analysis software from The Oxford Molecular Group) for each *Fugu* locus containing *Fugu* Surfeit gene homologs. The positions and intron/exon structures of the six complete *Fugu* Surfeit gene homologs are indicated by boxes (exons) and broken lines (introns) above each set of plots. Exon 1 of the *ASS* gene homolog and the position of the sequences homologous to human *EST00098* are also shown. Arrows indicate the direction of transcription of each gene. Regions have been "sampled" for their CpG O/E value and are marked by shaded bars. The CpG O/E value and the percentage GC content (in brackets) for each sample region is given above the shaded box in each case. Each region has been sequenced in its entirety.

FUGU SURFEIT GENE HOMOLOGS

Figure 5 Determination of the methylation status of CpG dinucleotides in the *Fugu* locus containing the *Fugu Surf-3/rpL7a*, *Surf-1*, and *Surf-6* genes by Southern blot analyses. The position of *MspI*-*HpaII* (M/H), *PstI* (P), and *HindIII* (H3) restriction enzyme recognition sites are shown along the 9.4 kb of sequence (horizontal line) obtained for the locus containing the *Fugu Surf-3/rpL7a*, *Surf-1*, and *Surf-6* genes. The predicted positions of other *MspI*-*HpaII* restriction sites outside the region sequenced are shown above a broken line and are included to facilitate interpretation of the data. The relative positions and orientations of each gene from initiator methionine to termination codon (except *Surf-1* whose 5' end is not determined) are indicated by bold arrows. Probes derived from *PstI*, *HindIII*, or *PstI*-*HindIII* restriction fragments used in the Southern blot analyses are shown as shaded boxes below and are noted as spanning *MspI*-*HpaII* restriction sites. (A-D) A Southern blot analysis probed with the corresponding probe (A-D). For each blot, 0.4 ng of cosmid 186H17 DNA was digested with *MspI* (lane 1), 3 μ g of *Fugu* genomic DNA was digested with *MspI* (lane 2), and *HpaII* (lane 3) and run on either a 2% agarose gel (A) or a 1% agarose gel (B,C,D). *MspI* and *HpaII* restriction digests of cosmid 186H17 DNA give an identical pattern of restriction fragments indicating that the cloned cosmid DNA contains no *MspI*-*HpaII* restriction sites containing methylated CpG dinucleotides (data not shown). Probable methylated CpG dinucleotides within *MspI*-*HpaII* restriction sites as determined by this analysis are indicated by an asterisk (*). (\$) At least one of the three *MspI*-*HpaII* restriction sites at the *Surf-1*/*Surf-6* promoters is predicted not to be methylated.

5 shows a restriction map of this region showing the predicted *MspI*-*HpaII* restriction sites and, below, the results of Southern blot analyses using four different probes (shown labeled A-D) from this region. In Figure 5A probe A hybridizes to three restriction fragments of ~210, 290, and 900 bp that are common to all three lanes. The probe also hybridizes to an ~3-kb restriction fragment in the *MspI* digests of cosmid DNA and *Fugu* genomic DNA (lanes 1,2) but to a larger ~4.6-kb restriction fragment in the *HpaII* digest of *Fugu* genomic DNA (lane 3). This suggests that all three *MspI*-*HpaII* sites spanned by probe A are unmethylated in native *Fugu* genomic DNA but that the next *MspI*-*HpaII* restriction site along (spanned by probe B) is methylated. Figure 5B shows that probe B hybridizes to ~1.7- and ~2.9-kb restriction fragments in *MspI* digests of cosmid and *Fugu* genomic DNA (lanes 1,2) but only to a single ~4.6-kb restriction fragment (as in Fig. 5A, lane 3) in the *HpaII* digest of *Fugu* genomic DNA (lane 3). This confirms that the *MspI*-*HpaII* site spanned by probe B is methylated in native *Fugu* genomic DNA. In Figure 5C, hybridization of probe C to two restriction fragments (~4.6 and ~6.5 kb) in lane 3 indicates that at least one of the *MspI*-*HpaII* sites spanned by probe C is unmethylated in native *Fugu* genomic DNA because it/they are cleaved in an *HpaII* digest of *Fugu* genomic DNA. The ~4.6-kb fragment is predicted to be the same as that in Figure 5, A and B (lane 3), confirming that the *MspI*-*HpaII* site spanned by probe B is

methyated in native genomic DNA. The ~6.5-kb fragment predicts that the *MspI*–*HpaII* site spanned by probe D is methylated in native *Fugu* genomic DNA. Probe C hybridizes to two restriction fragments (~1.6 and ~1.7 kb) in the *MspI* digests of cosmid and *Fugu* genomic DNA (lanes 1,2) as predicted by the restriction map. In Figure 5D probe D hybridizes to a single restriction fragment of ~6.5 kb (predicted to be the same fragment as in C, lane 3) in the *HpaII* digest of *Fugu* genomic DNA (lane 3) but hybridizes to two restriction fragments (~1.6 and ~2 kb) in *MspI* digests of cosmid and *Fugu* genomic DNA (lanes 1,2) as predicted. *HpaII* therefore does not cleave the *MspI*–*HpaII* site spanned by probe D in digests of *Fugu* genomic DNA confirming that it is methylated in native genomic DNA. These results also predict that the next *MspI*–*HpaII* site 3' to *Surf-6* is also methylated. The analyses therefore indicate that the four *MspI*–*HpaII* sites in the promoter of the *Fugu Surf-3/rpL7a* gene and at least one site in the promoters of the *Fugu Surf-1/Surf-6* genes are not methylated, whereas the only site between these two promoters and a site in the 3' end of the *Fugu Surf-6* gene and a more 3' site are methylated (Fig. 5). Although we could only test the methylation status of a few CpG dinucleotides using this approach, the results do show that the promoters of the *Surf3/rpL7a*, *Surf-1*, and *Surf-6* genes, which show a CpG frequency predicted by base composition, contain unmethylated CpG dinucleotides, whereas the regions between the promoters contain CpG dinucleotides that are methylated.

DISCUSSION

In this study we have identified homologs of all six of the *Surfeit* genes in the Japanese puffer fish, *F. rubripes*. We have shown that the predicted protein products of each gene homolog are well conserved between mammals and *Fugu* and that the structure of the *Fugu* genes are very similar, if not identical, to their mammalian counterparts over their coding regions. Only the *Fugu Surf-6* gene homolog, which has one fewer intron within its coding region, and the *Fugu Surf-1* gene homolog, the 5' end of which is very poorly conserved, show gene structures that are significantly different to the mammalian genes (introns in noncoding regions were not identified). With the exception of the *Surf-3/rpL7a* gene (which is slightly larger in *Fugu*), the *Fugu* homologs were all found to be smaller than their mouse and human counterparts, but the degree to which the mammalian homologs are expanded in relation to the *Fugu* homologs differs significantly. The *Surf-4* gene

shows the greatest difference in size between *Fugu* and mammals, being about seven times larger in mammals, the *Surf-5* and *Surf-6* genes show an intermediate size difference, and the mammalian *Surf-1* and *Surf-2* genes are only moderately expanded when compared with their *Fugu* homologs. These differences in the degree of expansion of the mammalian genes (or contraction of the *Fugu* homologs) may reflect some fundamental difference in DNA turnover for different mammalian genes, a difference that is not manifested so greatly in the *Fugu* genome. The conservation of gene structure and general reduction in gene size seen in this study supports the potential usefulness of the *Fugu* genome as a model to predict the genomic structure of mammalian genes.

We were also interested to determine whether regulatory elements might be conserved between the *Fugu* and mammalian *Surfeit* gene homologs because conserved regulatory elements have been previously shown to exist between mouse and *Fugu* in the *Hox* gene regions (Marshall et al. 1994; Aparicio et al. 1995). However, at present it is not known to what degree housekeeping gene promoters are conserved between these distantly related vertebrates. Significant conservation of promoter elements between the *Fugu* *Surfeit* genes and those of higher vertebrates could only be seen for the *Surf-3/rpL7a* gene although shorter stretches of conserved nucleotides were also seen in the *Surf-4* and *Surf-5* promoters (data not shown). The polypyrimidine tract and a more 5' conserved element, termed Box B, of the *Surf-3/rpL7a* gene promoter region are shown to be conserved between mammals, chicken, and *Fugu* and have enabled us to predict where the 5' end of the *Fugu* gene is located (Fig. 3). A more 5' element that is conserved between mammals and chicken (Box A) is not conserved in *Fugu* and may therefore only be important for regulation of the *Surf-3/rpL7a* gene when positioned next to the promoter of the *Surf-5* gene. A consensus polyadenylation signal can also be seen 10 bp 3' to the termination codon of the *Surf-3/rpL7a* gene that is in a conserved position in relation to the mammalian and chicken genes (Fig. 3). We have therefore, unusually, been able to predict the 5' and 3' ends of the *Fugu Surf-3/rpL7a* gene based on the positions of conserved regulatory elements. Isolation of *Fugu Surf-3/rpL7a* cDNA clones confirmed that predictions as to the position of the polyadenylation signal were correct.

Furthermore, we have investigated the promoter regions of the six *Fugu* *Surfeit* gene homologs to determine whether they are associated with the

presence of CpG-rich islands as is the case with the mammalian and chicken Surfeit gene homologs (Colombo et al. 1992). Our data indicates that all promoter regions of the *Fugu* genes identified in this study have a reduced suppression of the CpG dinucleotide compared with the nonpromoter regions we have sequenced (Fig. 4). Furthermore, we have shown that at least some of CpG dinucleotides in the promoters of the *Fugu Surf-3/rpL7a* and *Surf-1/Surf-6* genes are unmethylated, whereas CpG dinucleotides within the nonpromoter regions of the same genes are methylated. Both observations suggest that these genes are associated, as are the mammalian gene homologs, with CpG-rich islands; however, whereas mammalian and avian CpG-rich islands are relatively GC rich compared with surrounding DNA, the *Fugu* CpG-rich islands do not show a raised GC content, a feature consistent with the previously reported characteristic features of CpG islands of other cold-blooded vertebrates (Cross et al. 1991). Although the small *Fugu* genome may inevitably result in CpG islands comprising a greater percentage of total DNA and affect the average genomic CpG suppression value, a simple calculation suggests that this fact alone cannot account for the difference in CpG suppression values between *Fugu* and mammals. Instead, it seems more likely that regions of low CpG suppression must be more widespread in *Fugu* and that CpG islands may be relatively much larger in the *Fugu* genome. It is tempting to suggest that there may be a link between the generalized reduction in CpG suppression in the *Fugu* genome and the small size of its genome. The differences observed in percentage GC content of the three *Fugu* loci containing Surfeit gene homologs (47.2% for the *Surf-4/Surf-2/ASS* locus compared with 39.6% and 39.0% for the *Surf-3/Surf-1/Surf-6* and *Surf-5* loci) do not correlate with differences in GC content within the human Surfeit locus but nevertheless suggest that the *Fugu Surf-2* and *Surf-4* gene homologs are located within a different isochore region of the *Fugu* genome compared with the other *Fugu* Surfeit gene homologs.

Mammalian CpG islands are often characterized by numerous consensus Sp1 binding sites, which have often been shown to be important for regulating those genes in transfection studies (Tugores et al. 1994). *Fugu* genes seem less likely to possess Sp1 sites in their promoters as they are not very GC-rich, which is reinforced by the observation that no Sp1 sites were identified in the promoters of any of the *Fugu* Surfeit genes in sharp contrast to the situation in mammals. This may indicate that there is a genuine difference in housekeeping gene regu-

lation between cold-blooded and warm-blooded vertebrates or, alternatively, that too much emphasis is often placed on the relevance of Sp1 binding sites in mammals. In this case they may only be frequent because of unusually GC-rich DNA.

Finally, as we are interested in determining the point of origin of the Surfeit locus, it is of some interest to know which particular arrangement of Surfeit genes, either that of the tightly clustered mammalian and avian Surfeit genes or that of the more dispersed *Fugu* Surfeit genes, more accurately reflects the archetypal gene arrangement (Fig. 1). In this respect, it is worth considering what is known of the karyotypic evolution rates found for different vertebrate classes. Studies of karyotypic evolution in fish suggest that the rate of karyotypic change is lower in fish than in birds and mammals (Wilson et al. 1975). Karyotypic changes probably accompany speciation events. Further support for increased karyotypic evolution in birds and mammals as opposed to cold-blooded vertebrates comes from the observation that speciation rate accelerated in birds and mammals compared with cold-blooded vertebrates (Bush et al. 1977; Bernardi 1993). This suggests that *Fugu* may have a greater propensity to reflect archetypal genomic configurations than mammals and, if true, supports the possibility that the completed Surfeit cluster arose in a restricted fish, amphibian, or reptilian lineage. However, *Fugu* may not be representative of all cold-blooded vertebrates with respect to the Surfeit locus. Furthermore, *Fugu* may also have an unusual genomic organization and evolution compared with other teleost fish as its notoriously small genome could reflect different rates of DNA turnover to that of other vertebrates.

To conclude, it is pertinent to address the relevance of these results to the importance of the mammalian Surfeit locus. The Surfeit locus has been demonstrated to be conserved between mammals and birds; however, the existence of an avian Surfeit locus may not necessarily provide a strong case for a requirement for conservation of the locus. The progressive discovery of syntenic regions between mammals and birds (Palmer and Jones 1986; Bumstead et al. 1994; Burt et al. 1995; Li et al. 1995) suggests that the conservation of syntenic regions between birds and mammals may only reflect the relatively slow pace of genomic change in these lineages. With the possible exception of the *Surf-3/rpL7a* and *Surf-1* gene pair, this study has not provided strong circumstantial evidence for a requirement for a conserved order of the Surfeit genes. The organization of the genes in the Surfeit locus may

ARMES ET AL.

therefore have resulted from random gene shuffling events. It is possible that housekeeping genes are frequently shuffled together because of an increased frequency of breakpoint formation at their promoters resulting from greater DNA fragility in these regions caused by their chromatin status. This evolutionary analysis cannot, however, prove whether coordinate regulation does or does not occur in the Surfeit locus of higher vertebrates.

METHODS

Hybridizations to Libraries and Southern Blots

Southern blotting was performed using the standard protocols suggested in the Hybond-N protocol booklet from Amersham, and Hybond-N membrane was used in all cases. All DNA probes were labeled by random hexanucleotide priming and hybridized with membranes under standard conditions. The *Fugu* genomic cosmid library used in this study, which is complex enough to cover eight genomes, was obtained from the HGMP Resource Center of the Medical Research Council (MRC). Low-stringency hybridizations to the cosmid libraries and cosmid Southern blots were performed at 55°C, and washes were performed at 58°C in 0.8× SSC (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate), 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS). High-stringency hybridizations of *Fugu*-derived probes to Southern blots of restriction digests of *Fugu* genomic and cosmid DNA were performed at 65°C, and washes were at 65°C in 0.1× SSC, 0.1% SDS. *Fugu Surf-1* and *Surf-3/rpL7a* gene cDNAs were isolated from a *Fugu* fish 5'-STRETCH PLUS cDNA library from Clontech using standard high-stringency hybridizations to *Fugu* probes.

Cloning and Sequencing Techniques

All restriction digests, ligations, and other routine DNA manipulations were performed according to standard protocols, generally as detailed in Sambrook et al. (1989). Sequencing was performed using the Sequenase version 2.0 kit from U.S. Biochemical following the manufacturer's instructions. Double-stranded sequencing was performed from plasmid DNA minipreparations. Not all sequences were determined on both strands, and ambiguous bases were occasionally encountered, with the exception of the coding regions of the genes where extra care was taken.

Sequence Analysis

All sequences were processed using the MacVector 5.0.2 program from Oxford Molecular Group PLC. This software was also used to calculate and plot the GC content of the sequences and to sample regions for their CpG O/E values. The Genetics Computer Group, Inc. (GCG) software suite was used to generate protein and DNA sequence alignments. The Staden software suite was used to generate the plots of CpG O/E frequency in Figure 4.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Drs. Anna-Marie Frischauf and Denise Sheer for their helpful comments in the preparation of this manuscript.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF

Sequence analysis of a PCR product derived from the *Fugu* cDNA library has demonstrated the existence of the alternatively spliced *Fugu Surf-5b* mRNA containing a fourth exon (see text and Fig. 2).

REFERENCES

- Aparicio, S., A. Morrison, A. Gould, J. Gilthorpe, C. Chaudhuri, P. Rigby, R. Krumlauf, and S. Brenner. 1995. Detecting conserved regulatory elements with the model genome of the Japanese puffer fish, *Fugu rubripes*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 92: 1684–1688.
- Armes, N. and M. Fried. 1995. The genomic organisation of the region containing the *Drosophila melanogaster* rpL7a (*Surf-3*) gene differs from those of the mammalian and avian *Surfeit* loci. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 15: 2367–2373.
- . 1996. *Surfeit* locus gene homologs are widely distributed in invertebrate genomes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 16: 5591–5596.
- Baxendale, S., S. Abdulla, G. Elgar, D. Buck, M. Berks, G. Micklem, R. Durbin, G. Bates, S. Brenner, S. Beck, and H. Lehrach. 1995. Comparative sequence analysis of the human and pufferfish Huntingtons disease genes. *Nature Genet.* 10: 67–76.
- Bernardi, G. 1993. Genome organization and species formation in vertebrates. *J. Mol. Evol.* 37: 331–337.
- Brenner, S., G. Elgar, R. Sandford, A. Macrae, B. Venkatesh, and S. Aparicio. 1993. Characterization of the pufferfish (*Fugu*) genome as a compact model vertebrate genome [see comments]. *Nature* 366: 265–268.
- Bumstead, N., J.R. Young, C. Tregaskes, J. Palyga, and P.P. Dunn. 1994. Linkage mapping and partial sequencing of 10 cDNA loci in the chicken. *Anim. Genet.* 25: 337–341.
- Burt, D.W., N. Bumstead, J.J. Bitgood, F.A. Ponce de Leon, and L.B. Crittenden. 1995. Chicken genome mapping: A new era in avian genetics. *Trends Genet.* 11: 190–194.
- Bush, G.L., S.M. Case, A.C. Wilson, and J.L. Patton. 1977. Rapid speciation and chromosomal evolution in mammals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 74: 3942–3946.
- Cecconi, F., C. Crosio, P. Mariottini, G. Cesareni, M. Giorgi, S. Brenner, and F. Amaldi. 1996. A functional role for some *Fugu* introns larger than the typical short ones: The example of the gene coding for ribosomal protein S7 and snoRNA U17. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 24: 3167–3172.
- Colombo, P. and M. Fried. 1992. Functional elements of the ribosomal protein L7a (rpL7a) gene promoter region and

FUGU SURFEIT GENE HOMOLOGS

- their conservation between mammals and birds. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 20: 3367–3373.
- Colombo, P., J. Yon, and M. Fried. 1991. The organization and expression of the human L7a ribosomal protein gene. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1129: 93–95.
- Colombo, P., J. Yon, K. Garson, and M. Fried. 1992. Conservation of the organization of five tightly clustered genes over 600 million years of divergent evolution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 89: 6358–6362.
- Crosio, C., F. Cecconi, P. Mariottini, G. Cesareni, S. Brenner, and F. Amaldi. 1996. *Fugu* intron oversize reveals the presence of U15 snoRNA coding sequences in some introns of the ribosomal protein S3 gene. *Genome Res.* 6: 1227–1231.
- Cross, S., P. Kovarik, J. Schmidtke, and A. Bird. 1991. Non-methylated islands in fish genomes are GC-poor. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 19: 1469–1474.
- Elgar, G. 1996. Quality not quantity: The pufferfish genome. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 5: 1437–1442.
- Elgar, G., F. Rattray, J. Greystrom, and S. Brenner. 1995. Genomic structure and nucleotide sequence of the p55 gene of the puffer fish, *Fugu rubripes*. *Genomics* 27: 442–446.
- Elgar, G., R. Sandford, S. Aparicio, A. Macrae, B. Venkatesh, and S. Brenner. 1996. Small is beautiful: Comparative genomics with the pufferfish (*Fugu rubripes*). *Trends Genet.* 12: 145–150.
- Garson, K., T. Duhig, N. Armes, P. Colombo, and M. Fried. 1995. Surf5: A gene in the tightly clustered mouse surfait locus is highly conserved and transcribed divergently from the rpl7A (Surf3) gene. *Genomics* 30: 163–170.
- Garson, K., T. Duhig, and M. Fried. 1996. Tissue-specific processing of the Surf-5 and Surf-4 mRNAs. *Gene Expression* 6: 209–218.
- Gaston, K. and M. Fried. 1994. YY1 is involved in the regulation of the bi-directional promoter of the Surf-1 and Surf-2 genes. *FEBS Lett.* 347: 289–294.
- Giallongo, A., J. Yon, and M. Fried. 1989. Ribosomal protein L7a is encoded by a gene (Surf-3) within the tightly clustered mouse surfait locus. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 9: 224–231.
- Gilley, J., N. Armes, and M. Fried. 1997. *Fugu* genome is not a good mammalian model [letter]. *Nature* 385: 305–306.
- Huxley, C. and M. Fried. 1990a. The mouse rpl7a gene is typical of other ribosomal protein genes in its 5' region but differs in being located in a tight cluster of CpG-rich islands. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 18: 5353–5357.
- . 1990b. The mouse surfait locus contains a cluster of six genes associated with four CpG-rich islands in 32 kilobases of genomic DNA. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 10: 605–614.
- Huxley, C., T. Williams, and M. Fried. 1988. One of the tightly clustered genes of the mouse surfait locus is a highly expressed member of a multigene family whose other members are predominantly processed pseudogenes. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 8: 3898–3905.
- Lennard, A., K. Gaston, and M. Fried. 1994. The Surf-1 and Surf-2 genes and their essential bidirectional promoter elements are conserved between mouse and human. *DNA Cell. Biol.* 13: 1117–1126.
- Li, H., J. Grenet, M. Valentine, J.M. Lahti, and V.J. Kidd. 1995. Structure and expression of chicken protein kinase PITSLRE-encoding genes. *Gene* 153: 237–242.
- Magoulas, C. and M. Fried. 1996. The Surf-6 gene of the mouse surfait locus encodes a novel nucleolar protein. *DNA Cell. Biol.* 15: 305–316.
- Maheshwar, M.M., R. Sandford, M. Nellist, J.P. Cheadle, B. Sgotto, M. Vaudin, and J.R. Sampson. 1996. Comparative analysis and genomic structure of the tuberous sclerosis 2 (TSC2) gene in human and pufferfish. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 5: 131–137.
- Marshall, H., M. Studer, H. Popperl, S. Aparicio, A. Kuroiwa, S. Brenner, and R. Krumlauf. 1994. A conserved retinoic acid response element required for early expression of the Homeobox gene Hoxb-1. *Nature* 370: 567–571.
- Mashkevich, G., B. Repetto, D.M. Glerum, C. Jin, and A. Tzagoloff. 1997. *SHY1*, the yeast homolog of the mammalian *Surf-1* gene, encodes a mitochondrial protein required for respiration. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272: 14356–14364.
- Mason, P.J., D.J. Stevens, L. Luzzatto, S. Brenner, and S. Aparicio. 1995. Genomic structure and sequence of the *Fugu rubripes* glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase gene (G6PD). *Genomics* 26: 587–591.
- Palmer, D.K. and C. Jones. 1986. Gene mapping in chicken-Chinese hamster somatic cell hybrids. Serum albumin and phosphoglucomutase-2 structural genes on chicken chromosome 6. *J. Hered.* 77: 106–108.
- Reeves, J.E. and M. Fried. 1995. The surf-4 gene encodes a novel 30 kDa integral membrane protein. *Mol. Membr. Biol.* 12: 201–208.
- Sambrook, J., E.F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. *Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual*, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Tugores, A., S.T. Magness, and D.A. Brenner. 1994. A single promoter directs both housekeeping and erythroid preferential expression of the human ferrochelatase gene. *J. Biol. Chem.* 269: 30789–30797.
- Venkatesh, B. and S. Brenner. 1995. Structure and organization of the isotocin and vasotocin genes from teleosts. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 395: 629–638.

ARMES ET AL.

Venkatesh, B., B.H. Tay, G. Elgar, and S. Brenner. 1996. Isolation, characterization and evolution of nine pufferfish (*Fugu rubripes*) actin genes. *J. Mol. Biol.* 259: 655-665.

Wilson, A.C., G.L. Bush, S.M. Case, and M.C. King. 1975. Social structuring of mammalian populations and rate of chromosomal evolution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 72: 5061-5065.

Yon, J., T. Jones, K. Garson, D. Sheer, and M. Fried. 1993. The organization and conservation of the human Surfeit gene cluster and its localization telomeric to the c-abl and can proto-oncogenes at chromosome band 9q34.1. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 2: 237-240.

Received July 28, 1997; accepted in revised form October 17, 1997.

The Comparative Genomic Structure and Sequence of the Surfeit Gene Homologs in the Puffer Fish *Fugu rubripes* and their Association with CpG-Rich Islands

Niall Armes, Jonathan Gilley and Mike Fried

Genome Res. 1997 7: 1138-1152

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gr.7.12.1138](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.7.12.1138)

References

This article cites 40 articles, 12 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genome.cshlp.org/content/7/12/1138.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

Affordable, Accurate
Sequencing.

To subscribe to *Genome Research* go to:
<https://genome.cshlp.org/subscriptions>