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Toward the Construction of Integrated Physical and Genetic Maps of the Mouse Genome Using Interspersed Repetitive Sequence PCR (IRS–PCR) Genomics
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Using two recently developed techniques, IRS–PCR YAC walking and IRS–PCR genotyping, a framework-integrated physical and genetic map of the mouse genome was constructed. The map consists of 821 contigs, containing 7746 YAC clones originating from three different YAC libraries. Three hundred eighty of the contigs have been anchored to the genetic map. Approximately 16% of the physical length of the mouse genome is estimated to be represented.

A major task in developing our understanding of the human genome is the creation of integrated physical and genetic maps of the human genome and selected model systems (Chapman et al. 1993; Collins and Galas 1993). One major approach strategy to realize this goal has been the development and use of PCR-based sequence-tagged sites (STSs) as anchors for genetic and physical maps. Simple sequence length polymorphisms (SSLPs) have been the primary source of STS-based genetic markers in humans (Murray et al. 1994) and mice (Cornall et al. 1991; Watson et al. 1992; Copeland et al. 1993; Dietrich et al. 1992, 1993, 1994; Hunter et al. 1993). STS content mapping of libraries of yeast artificial chromosomes (YACs; Burke et al. 1987) has been a primary means of physical mapping (for review, see Schlessinger et al. 1991; Chumakov et al. 1992; Foote et al. 1992; Cohen et al. 1993; Vetrie et al. 1994). The recent development of two techniques, based on interspersed repetitive sequence PCR (IRS–PCR; Nelson et al. 1989), has permitted the development of an alternative, complementary strategy for integrated physical and genetic mapping in the mouse genome. IRS–PCR-based strategies permit rapid, low-cost, and accurate genotyping (McCarthy et al. 1995) and screening of YAC libraries (Hunter et al. 1994; Liu et al. 1995; Aburatani et al. 1996; Qin et al. 1996).

Both genetic and physical mapping using IRS–PCR depend on the use of PCR primers, which permit amplification of DNA sequences located between two interspersed repetitive elements oriented in opposite directions in the genome separated by a distance that is within range of a conventional PCR reaction. Individual loci can be assayed by isolating single-copy sequences located between pairs of repetitive elements oriented in this manner and using each of these sequences as a hybridization probe against IRS–PCR products from a large number of individuals or YAC pools in a dot-blot format. In the mouse the B1 repeat element has proved most favorable for analysis of this type.

IRS–PCR products can be mapped genetically by taking advantage of the genetic differences among mouse species or strains in the amplification of specific IRS–PCR products. Segregation of an amplification polymorphism is detected easily among a panel of DNAs derived from an interspecific backcross panel by hybridizing a probe representing an individual IRS–PCR product from the nonrecurrent parent to filters of gridded IRS–PCR products.
PCR products of individual backcross animals. High resolution mapping is possible by genotyping large numbers of animals per filter, and a single investigator is capable of screening hundreds of clones per week (McCarthy et al. 1995; Ramu et al. 1996). The resulting map consistently replicates the results obtained by conventional methods and therefore produces a map with high confidence (McCarthy et al. 1995; Ramu et al. 1996). To date, >400 amplification polymorphism loci have been mapped (McCarthy et al. 1995; Ramu et al. 1996).

IRS–PCR can also be used to detect YAC overlap and construct contigs by hybridization. Using the unique sequences that lie between two B1 repeat sequences, a PCR product from one YAC is used as probe on filters containing gridded sets of inter-B1 products derived from the pools for the three-dimensional screening arrays of the mouse YAC libraries. Overlapping clones are detected by hybridization to the x, y, and z coordinates of any other YAC that amplifies the same IRS–PCR product. The utility of this technique was demonstrated by the construction of a ~5-cM contig on mouse chromosome 1 (Hunter et al. 1994).

When combined, these techniques permit the highly efficient generation of an integrated genetic and physical map of the mouse genome because randomly cloned IRS–PCR products are screened simultaneously for contig generation and amplification polymorphism. Although only 25% of random inter-B1 products demonstrate amplification polymorphism (McCarthy et al. 1995; Ramu et al. 1996), a sufficiently large number of loci are available to produce an integrated map of high marker density. The major advantage to the IRS–PCR approach is that it permits the simultaneous screening of multiple libraries or interspecific backcrosses in the same hybridization while avoiding the necessity of running gels or sequencing each probe.

As a demonstration of the utility of this strategy, we present here a framework-integrated physical and genetic map of the mouse genome, consisting of 7746 individual YAC clones from three different YAC libraries in 821 contigs. Forty six percent of the contigs have been anchored genetically (380 of 821 contigs) The contigs described are estimated to cover ~16% of the mouse genome.

RESULTS
Integrated physical and genetic mapping was performed by hybridizing filters representing the three-dimensional screening strategies for the St. Mary’s Hospital (Chartier et al. 1992), Imperial Cancer Research Foundation (ICRF) (Larin et al. 1991), Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT)/Whitehead (Kusumi et al. 1993) YAC libraries, and the IRS–PCR genetic mapping filters of the Jackson Laboratory BSS interspecific backcross panel (Rowe et al. 1994; McCarthy et al. 1995) simultaneously with individual clones of the probe library (for examples, see Fig. 1).

A total of 1903 probes were analyzed, the YAC coordinates and haplotype data manually scored, and the YAC addresses and accompanying locus designations entered into a Filemaker Pro data base. Contigs were identified by analyzing the YAC data with the computer program probeorder (Mott et al. 1993), which uses simulated annealing to order probes based on shared positive YACs and then fits the YACs to the probe order. For this first generation analysis, incomplete and ambiguous addresses were omitted and relatively permissive criteria for contig assembly were used. A single shared positive YAC is accepted as a link between probes, and clones apparently lying in four or more contigs are disregarded. This set of contigs gives an idea of the progress of the project but will contain false links and should be regarded as the starting point of a more detailed analysis that also considers genetics and other data. A total of 7746 individual YAC clones were identified from the three libraries in 821 contigs. Of the YACs detected, 3436 were identified unambiguously (44%). The ambiguity of the remaining YACs resulted in either detection of only two of the three coordinates or caused by the presence of more than one positive clone in a set of coordinate pools. Approximately 46% (380 of 821) of the contigs were anchored to the genetic map by amplification polymorphisms (Fig. 2). Contigs were identified on every chromosome except the Y chromosome, as all of the YAC libraries were generated from female mice. The remaining contigs were identified by nonpolymorphic IRS–PCR products, and their genomic location is not known. Many of these contigs are expected to merge with genetically anchored contigs as the density of amplification polymorphism probes increases.

The distribution of the number of probes hybridizing per unambiguous YAC clones is shown in Figure 3. As expected, the majority of clones (73%) were detected by only a single probe. The generation of a number of small extended con-
Figure 1  Example of integrated IRS-PCR genetic and physical screen. (A) IRS-PCR YAC filter of the MIT/Whitehead Library. (B) IRS-PCR YAC filter of the St. Mary's Hospital and ICRF libraries. (C) IRS-PCR genetic mapping filter of the Jackson Laboratory BSS interspecific backcross panel. Samples on the YAC filters are in duplicate, arrayed in both diagonals, horizontally or vertically. Addresses of individual clones are determined by intersection of the three coordinate pools represented on the filter by paired hybridization signals, with the same orientation. The Jackson Laboratory BSS panel samples are duplicated either in the right-to-left diagonal or in the vertical. Representative samples are circled. Animals heterozygous for the C57BL/6 allele are represented by positive hybridization signal, homozygous M. spretus animals do not hybridize.

Figure 1  Example of integrated IRS-PCR genetic and physical screen. (A) IRS-PCR YAC filter of the MIT/Whitehead Library. (B) IRS-PCR YAC filter of the St. Mary's Hospital and ICRF libraries. (C) IRS-PCR genetic mapping filter of the Jackson Laboratory BSS interspecific backcross panel. Samples on the YAC filters are in duplicate, arrayed in both diagonals, horizontally or vertically. Addresses of individual clones are determined by intersection of the three coordinate pools represented on the filter by paired hybridization signals, with the same orientation. The Jackson Laboratory BSS panel samples are duplicated either in the right-to-left diagonal or in the vertical. Representative samples are circled. Animals heterozygous for the C57BL/6 allele are represented by positive hybridization signal, homozygous M. spretus animals do not hybridize.

Examination of the contigs constructed by genetically polymorphic loci identified a significant number of putatively chimeric clones. To determine whether these represented legitimate chimeras or were attributable to clerical or experimental error, 52 YACs, with representatives from all three libraries, were IRS-PCR amplified, and the products dot blotted and hybridized with the putatively positive probes. Approximately 70% (17 of 24) of the predicted YACs (Fig. 5), including several putatively triply chimeric clones. The remaining 30%
result either from clerical errors or from the internal deletion of the IRS-PCR product during clonal expansion (Hunter et al. 1994).

The genome coverage of this set was calculated several ways. A simplistic estimate of the genome covered was made by calculating the percent of the YACs in the MIT/Whitehead library that were detected by the probes used. Of 18,432 clones screened, 3070 were assembled into contigs, indicating that ~16.6% of the YAC clonable genome had been covered. The calculation was also performed by assuming 600 kb of contiguous DNA (50% overlap of YACs, with average size of 400 kb) was covered by each of 821 contigs. On the basis of these calculations, (821 contigs × 600,000 bp per contig/3 × 10^9 bp per genome), ~16.4% of the physical length of the mouse genome should be represented.

Figure 2 Schematic representation of the genetically anchored YAC contigs. Filled circles indicate contigs identified by IRS-PCR contiging. Open circles indicate contigs identified by STS content mapping. The filled box on chromosome 1 represents the previously described contig (Hunter et al. 1994).

Figure 3 Distribution of the number of probes hybridizing to the unambiguously defined YACs in the data base.
DISCUSSION

The data presented here comprise the first large-scale effort to construct a contiguous physical map of the mouse genome. A significant portion of the mouse genome is represented, with clones originating from three independently derived YAC libraries. In many cases the contigs identified in this study will enable researchers to rapidly identify clones that extend preexisting contigs or to increase coverage of a particular region. The use of three different libraries will be particularly important in regions that are not amenable to YAC cloning. In a number of cases, it was observed that regions not represented in one or two of the libraries were often present in the third library, enabling extension of contigs into regions that were refractory to extension in a single library. It is anticipated that a majority of the mouse genome can be assembled into contigs by this strategy, as a similar strategy was used for the construction of contigs on human 3p21-14 demonstrated that this technique is useful for contig assembly and extension even in regions of relatively low repetitive element density (Aburatani et al. 1996).

In addition, this strategy has resulted in a sig-
significant portion of the YAC contigs identified to be anchored to the genetic map (Fig. 2). Although only 46% of the contigs were directly localized genetically, additional contigs can be localized by overlap with genetically anchored contigs (data not shown). As the density of genetically localized contigs increases, the unlocalized contigs will be gradually incorporated into a contiguous physical map by physical linkage to flanking loci. Because the data presented here rely primarily on amplification polymorphisms to link the physical and genetic maps, the integration with an STS-based contig map can only be achieved by identification of clones that are common between STS-based and amplification polymorphism contigs. Investigators interested in using these data to extend existing contigs are advised to search the data base with clones at the ends of their preexisting contigs to determine whether the clones have been identified and incorporated into contigs. It is anticipated that integration of the physical maps will be facilitated as the density of microsatellite type on the Jackson Laboratory BSS panel is increased, and the relationship between the microsatellites and the amplification polymorphism loci is resolved. To further integration of the various physical and genetic maps, all of the Hun loci clones used in this study will be deposited with Research Genetics for distribution. The nonpolymorphic probes are available to the community by contacting us.

Examination of the integrated physical and genetic map revealed clustering of loci and their associated contigs. Although some apparent clustering might be explained by duplicate probes that escaped detection during our analysis, the majority of events leading to clustering are independent as determined by probe-to-probe hybridization (data not shown) and identification of independent YAC sets. In addition, sequence analysis of clustered loci on chromosomes 13 and 19 have demonstrated that with two exceptions, the loci are independent (G. Cox and P. Gros, pers. comm.). The apparent cluster may be regions that are inverted between C57BL/6 and Mus spretus and, hence, give no recombination over large physical distances and/or are regions of high B1 density (Boyle et al. 1990). Further studies will be required to address these issues.

Comparisons of IRS–PCR physical mapping and STS content mapping reveal that the capability of the two techniques to detect clone overlap are roughly equal. In our hands, the efficiency of screening the St. Mary’s hospital library by STS content mapping was equivalent to that of IRS–PCR YAC screening (K. Hunter, unpubl.). Independent researchers have observed similar efficiencies in the MIT/Whitehead library (C. Fletcher, pers. comm.). We have also been able to replicate the construction of a number of contigs constructed by conventional STS content screening (J. Weber and M. Meissler; C. Fletcher; L. Zelter; all pers. comm.). The reproducibility of the technique is also excellent. Identical results were obtained with probes that were subsequently shown to be identical by clone-to-clone screening.

A generic problem with strategies based on the three-dimensional screening system is the high degree of ambiguous clone identification. In particular, the existence of two positive clones in a set of three-dimensional coordinate pools can result in ambiguous scorings. This has been a significant issue in this study, particularly with the ICRF library because of the high genome complexity of plate stacks used to generate the coordinate pools (~1.5 genome equivalent). To reduce this problem and hopefully to increase the efficiency of screening, a new set of coordinate pools defining the ICRF library of lower genomic complexity has been generated and future analysis will be performed using filters with the new ICRF coordinate pools. This will reduce the probability of having multiple positive clones in the same set of coordinate pools and thus reduce the overall ambiguity of the data base. Deletion of the target sequence in one of the coordinate pools during
three-dimensional pool generation can be a problem, with both STS and B1 PCR screening resulting in ambiguous YAC addresses. We have included all ambiguous data in our database, as the ambiguous clones still represent a significant reduction of effort to identify a specific clone. Eventually, as the density of probes increases, the identities of many of these ambiguously defined clones will be resolved.

The analysis of the putative chimeric YACs yielded several interesting results. As expected, a number of YACs hybridized with more than one genetically independent probe, suggesting that they were true chimeras. The clones that did not hybridize to the relevant probes can be explained by either clerical error or by deletion of the inter-B1 product. Our analysis of the mouse chromosome 1 contig demonstrated that although IRS-PCR YAC walking reproducibly detected the same set of YACs and end-clone analysis (Riley et al. 1990) confirmed overlap, clones often had deleted the inter-B1 product during the generation of high molecular weight DNA (Hunter et al. 1994), presumably because of the intolerance of inverted repeats in yeast (Gordenin et al. 1993). Therefore, most of the nonhybridizing clones probably fall into this category. Unexpectedly, multiple members of several contigs hybridized with two genetically independent probes, suggesting that the clones might be chimeric for the same portions of the genome (Fig. 5). Duplicate isolation of the same YAC could explain such results. However, results of this type were observed in contigs with clones originating from two libraries, and therefore eliminating duplication isolation as an explanation for this finding. The possible duplication of a genomic region followed by divergence of the genetically separated probes would be consistent with these observations. Regions of significant genetic duplication have been observed in human YAC contig generation (V. Stanton, pers. comm.). Further analysis to investigate these genomic regions in the mouse is clearly warranted.

To complete the mouse physical genome map by IRS-PCR will require ~10,000 evenly distributed probes. Isolation of probes directly from a PCR of whole genomic DNA has provided a genetically anchored framework physical map. To proceed to completion, alternative sources of B1 PCR products, which provide as even a distribution throughout the genome as possible, would be most useful. Generation of IRS-PCR products from flow-sorted chromosomal DNA, randomly selected YACs, bacterial artificial chromosome (BACs), or cosmid clones will be an excellent way to achieve this goal, as well to anchor the YAC contigs to framework cosmid or BAC maps.

METHODS

Configuration of the YAC Libraries

The three-dimensional pooling scheme for the St. Mary’s Hospital YAC library (Chartier et al. 1992) is described in Hunter et al. (1994). The ICRF library pools were arrayed essentially as described (Hunter et al. 1994; G. Argyropoulos, pers. comm.). The three-dimensional configuration of the MIT YAC library is described in Kusumi et al. (1993).

Generation of High Molecular Weight DNAs and IRS-PCR Walking Filters

Generation of YAC three-dimensional pool DNA and production of the YAC IRS-PCR walking filters is described in Hunter et al. (1994).

Generation of IRS-PCR Genotyping Filters

Fifty nanograms of each of the Jackson Laboratory BSS interspecific backcrosses were amplified and spotted essentially as described (Hunter et al. 1994).

IRS-PCR Probe Library Generation

Fifty nanograms of C57BL/6J genomic DNA was amplified with the B1MvsCH primer essentially as described (Hunter et al. 1994). The products were then enriched for C57BL/6J-specific products by subtraction with M. spretus products, based on representation difference analysis (RDA, Lisitsyn et al. 1993). The PCR reaction was phenol/chloroform extracted, precipitated, washed, dried, and resuspended in TE. Ten micrograms of PCR products was digested to completion with EcoR2-24 and JECos2Cla-13 oligonucleotides, 6 μl of 10X T4 DNA ligase buffer (New England Biolabs), and incubating overnight at 12-16°C. One microgram of linker DNA was then precipitated with 40 μg of M. spretus B1MvsCH-amplified products, washed in 70% ethanol, dried, resuspended in 4 μl of 30 mM EEPs (pH 8.0), 3 mM EDTA, and overlayed with mineral oil. The reaction was denatured at 98°C for 5 min, and 1 μl of 5 mM NaCl was added, incubated at 67°C for >20 hr (Cₜ value = 36 mm/sec), and diluted with 390 μl of TE. Forty microliters of the diluted hybridization reaction was extended by incubating at 70°C in 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris (pH 8.3) 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 μM dNTPs, 15 units of Taq polymerase to a final reaction volume of 400 μl. Ten mi-
croliters of 20 μM JbglCla-24 was added, and the reaction cycled 10 times essential as described (Hunter et al. 1994). The reaction was phenol/chloroform extracted, precipitated, washed in 70% ethanol, dried, and resuspended in 35 μl of ddH2O. Four microliters of 10× mung bean nuclease buffer and 10 units of mung bean nuclease (New England Biolabs) were added, and incubated for 30 min at 30°C. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 160 μl of 50 mM Tris (pH 8.9) and incubating at 98°C for 5 min. Forty microliters of the nucleased product was used as template for a 400-μl PCR reaction using the JbglCla-24 primer as described (Hunter et al. 1994). The PCR reaction was phenol/chloroform extracted, precipitated, washed, dried, resuspended in TE, digested with CfrI (New England Biolabs), and subcloned into pBluescript KS(-) (Stratagene, Palo Alto, CA). A total of 1536 clones were isolated and arrayed in 96-well dishes. The complexity of the probe library was estimated by labeling 96 random IRS-PCR clones, pooling the probes, and hybridizing this pool to gridded filters representing the library. Approximately 900 (60%) clones did not hybridize to the pooled probes and were assumed to be either single copy or infrequently repeated. Clones that hybridized to the complex pooled probe were excluded from further analysis.

Hybridization and Washing Conditions

Individual clones were amplified with vector-specific primers directly from bacterial cultures under the following conditions: 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris (pH 8.3), 1.5 mM MgCl2, 200 μM dNTPs, 0.5 μM each SKNot and KSXho, 1 unit of Taq polymerase to a final reaction volume of 20 μl for 5 min at 94°C, 30 cycles each of 10 sec at 94°C, 30 sec at 55°C, and 60 sec at 72°C, followed by 5 min at 72°C, and gel-purified through low melting temperature agarose (FMC, Rockland, MD). Probes were labeled in 10-μl reactions by the random oligonucleotide labeling method (Feinberg and Vogelstein 1984) in 96-well format. Labeling reactions were incubated 90-120 min at 37°C, diluted with 40 μl of TE, denatured, and the unpurified probe added directly into the hybridization solution. Hybridizations were performed in 5 ml of hybridization solution [50% deionized formamide (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland), 10% dextran sulfate (Pharmacia, Piscataway, NJ), 2X SSC, 1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA] at 42°C in 30-ml Sarstedt tubes on a bacterial wheel. Filters were washed once at room temperature in 2X SSC, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM sodium pyrophosphate for 15 min and once in 0.2× SSC, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM sodium pyrophosphate at 65°C for 60 min, and exposed on film for 12-72 hr with intensifying screens.

Genetic Analysis

Polymorphic clones were scored manually, the data entered into the Jackson Laboratory data base, and analyzed using the program MapManager v2.6 (Manly 1993). D Hun data can be accessed on the Jackson BSS mapping panel through the World Wide Web via the Jackson Laboratory Home Page (http://www.jax.org), by e-mail to labsaretha.jax.org, or in MGD with accession numbers (McCarthy et al. 1995).

Contig Analysis

YAC contig data were scored manually and the data entered into a Filemaker Pro data base, which is available by contacting us. These data were filtered and converted into well file format (Mott et al. 1993) using a series of awk scripts and assembled into contigs using the program probeorder (Mott et al. 1993).

Oligonucleotides

Oligonucleotides used in this study are as follows: JbglCla-24, 5’-CCGACGTGACATCGATGAAAC-3’; JbcoR2Cla-13, 5’-CCAGGTGTTCATC-3’; KSXho, 5’-CCCTCGAGGTCGACGGTATCG-3’; SKNot, 5’-GGGCGCCGGCTCCTAGAACGTGGATGAT-3’; and B1MvsCH, 5’-AGTTTCCAGGACAGCAGGG-3’ (Hunter et al. 1994).

Isolation of IRS-PCR Probes From Individual YAC Clones

IRS-PCR probes were isolated from individual YAC clones in 96-well plates as follows. Twenty microliters of YAC glycerol stocks was spun at 1500 rpm in a Sorval RT6000 for 5 min in Costar V-bottom polycarbonate plates. The supernatant was removed by flicking the plate, blotting with a paper towel, the cells resuspended in 20 μl of TE, 6.7 mM βME, and 1 mg/ml of yeast lytic enzyme and incubated 37°C for 60 min. Ten microliters of TE, 0.3% SDS, 150 mM of NaCl, and 100 ng/ml of proteinase K was added, and the plate was incubated 37°C for >2 hr. The DNA was precipitated by adding an equal volume of isopropanol and centrifuging for 5 min at 2000 rpm. The DNAs were washed twice with 70% ethanol, dried for 5 min at 80°C under vacuum, resuspended in 20 μl of TE, and amplified in a 20-μl reaction under the following conditions: 1× Deep Vent buffer (New England Biolabs), 0.5 μM B1MvsCH primer, 5 μM MgCl2 final concentration, 100 μM dNTPs, 0.5 units of Deep Vent exo- J. The cycling conditions were 94°C for 3 min, 30 cycles each of 94°C for 10 sec, 53°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 30 sec, followed by 5 min at 72°C. Individual probes were isolated by electrophoresis through 2% LMT agarose.
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