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Chromatin is composed of DNA and histones, which provide a unified platform for regulating DNA-related processes, mostly through their post-translational modification. During DNA replication, histone arrangement is perturbed, first to allow progression of DNA polymerase and then during repackaging of the replicated DNA. To study how DNA replication influences the pattern of histone modification, we followed the cell-cycle dynamics of 10 histone marks in budding yeast. We find that histones deposited on newly replicated DNA are modified at different rates: While some marks appear immediately upon replication (e.g., H4K16ac, H3K4me1), others increase with transcription-dependent delays (e.g., H3K4me3, H3K36me3). Notably, H3K9ac was deposited as a wave preceding the replication fork by ~5–6 kb. This replication-guided H3K9ac was fully dependent on the acetyltransferase Rtt109, while expression-guided H3K9ac was deposited by Gcn5. Further, topoisomerase depletion intensified H3K9ac in front of the replication fork and in sites where RNA polymerase II was trapped, suggesting supercoiling stresses trigger H3K9 acetylation. Our results assign complementary roles for DNA replication and gene expression in defining the pattern of histone modification.

In eukaryotic cells, DNA is wrapped around histone octamers to form nucleosomes, the basic building blocks of the chromatin structure. This packing presents a unified platform for regulating processes that require DNA accessibility (Gossett and Lieb 2012), including gene transcription and DNA replication (Bannister and Kouzarides 2011). Central to this regulation is the covalent modification of histones by different chemical groups (e.g., acetyl or methyl) at defined sites. These modifications impact the binding affinity of histones to DNA and recruit specific factors that regulate DNA-dependent processes (Unnikrishnan et al. 2010; Rando and Winston 2012). Histones are modified by regulatory enzymes that are recruited to particular positions either by binding to specific DNA sequences or by recruitment to other DNA-binding proteins (Bannister and Kouzarides 2011; Owen-Hughes and Gkikopoulos 2012). Transcription factors, for example, recruit histone modifiers to gene promoters, thereby regulating gene expression (Morse 2003; Rezai-Zadeh et al. 2003). In addition, modifiers are recruited by the general transcription machinery to modify histones along gene bodies as transcription progresses (Rodríguez-Navarro 2009). Chromatin is also shaped by DNA replication. First, specific histone modifiers are recruited to the replication machinery to modify histones at replication origins (Li et al. 2008; Unnikrishnan et al. 2010). Furthermore, as replication progresses, histones are ejected and new histones are synthesized for wrapping DNA (Annunziato 2005; Croth et al. 2007; Radman-Livaja et al. 2010, 2011). Newly synthesized histones are acetylated on specific H3 and H4 residues but lack position-specific information (Sobel et al. 1995; Benson et al. 2006; Han et al. 2007a; Carpet and Almouzni 2009). Post-replication modification of these histones either occur immediately or occur with extended delays (Alabert et al. 2015).

The patterns of histone modifications therefore integrate the interplay between them. Transcription and replication allowed us to distinguish the individual contributions of these effects, making it difficult to discern the contribution of individual processes.

Here, we describe the temporal dynamics of 10 histone marks along the budding yeast cell cycle. Simultaneously measuring changes in histone modifications, gene expression, and DNA replication allowed us to distinguish the individual contributions of transcription and replication to the modification pattern, as well as the interplay between them.

Results

Dynamics of histone modifications along the yeast cell cycle

To follow the temporal changes in histone modifications along the cell cycle, we synchronized cells to the beginning of S phase using hydroxyurea (HU; 3 h) and followed them for 90 min after release. Samples were taken every 10 min for profiling the genome-wide binding patterns of 10 histone modifications (Supplemental Table S1), genomic DNA sequencing, and gene expression (Fig. 1A). The synchronized progression along the cell cycle was verified by the coordinated expression of cell-cycle genes and by the increase in total DNA content (Fig. 1B; Supplemental Fig. S1A,B).

Histone marks concentrated at preferred positions along genes (Fig. 1C,D): H3K9ac and H3K4me3 peaked at gene start, while the other trimethylations were observed throughout the coding region, as previously described (Pokholok et al. 2005).

© 2016 Bar-Ziv et al. This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see http://genome.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.
Figure 1. Cell-cycle dynamics of chromatin marks. (A) Experimental scheme. Yeast cells were synchronized to the beginning of S phase using hydroxyurea (HU), released, and followed as they progressed through the cell cycle. Samples for DNA staining (using FACS), RNA-seq, and ChIP-seq were taken every 5–10 min. (B) Gene expression along the cell cycle. Average log₂ changes in the expression of cell-cycle genes (G1, G2/M, and histones) and environmental stress response (ESR) genes at the indicated time-points. (C) Enrichment of histone marks at different positions along genes. Metagene representation of the indicated histone marks. Subsequent time-points are shown from top to bottom. Genes were aligned by their transcription start site (TSS) and transcription termination site (TTS) and are normalized (through binning) to the same length. (D) Modification pattern on all genes. Abundance of H3K4 methylations for all genes (transcript +250 bp from both sides), sorted by gene length and aligned to the middle of the transcript. Black line depicts TSS (left) and TTS (right). Data for each gene were averaged over the entire time-course. For other modifications, see Supplemental Figure S2C. (E) Correlations between changes in gene expression and changes in histone modifications. Changes were calculated between time-points separated by the indicated time lag (Δt). For all individual correlations measured also for all genes, see Supplemental Figures S3 and S1D, and for normalization by different total modification dynamics, see Supplemental Figure S13. (F,G) Replication-associated dynamics of histone modifications. DNA content (top; genomic DNA) and the abundance of the indicated histone marks (bottom; as indicated) along chromosome IV are shown. Each plot depicts the signal along the chromosome (horizontal) at different times (vertical) relative to the average in the entire time-course. Assignment of regions to replication clusters is also shown (see also Supplemental Fig. S5A–C). Dashed line indicates the 40-min time-point when replication ends (see also Supplemental Fig. S5D). Histones marks are shown with or without normalization by DNA content, in G and F, respectively.
These patterns were largely stable throughout the time-course, with the exception of H3K4me2 which shifted toward the transcription start site (TSS). Most patterns were independent of gene length, with few exceptions (e.g., lack of H3K4me1 on short genes) (Supplemental Fig. S2). Notably, while the abundance of H3K9ac, H3K4me3, and H3K36me3 on genes was correlated with gene expression (Pokholok et al. 2005), changes in these modifications showed little correlation with changes in gene expression (Fig. 1E; Supplemental Figs. S1C,D, S3).

Next, we examined the spatial modification patterns by plotting their abundances along chromosomes (x-axis) at subsequent times (y-axis) (Fig. 1F). Triangle-shaped patterns were observed, largely resembling the progression of DNA replication (Fig. 1F, top). Indeed, clustering genes based on similarity in their histone modification dynamics essentially captured gene replication timings (Supplemental Fig. S4). This similarity between histone modification and DNA replication could reflect the deposition of histone modifications simultaneously with replication. Indeed, for some of the modifications, normalizing the histone modification pattern by DNA content (input) diminished the replication-like spatial pattern (e.g., H4K16ac or H3 itself). Other modifications, however, maintained the replication-like pattern even after normalization by DNA content (Fig. 1G). Examining the data more closely suggested that these modifications recovered from DNA replication with some delays. Below, we examine quantitatively these post-replication modification dynamics.

**Histone modifications follow DNA replication with variable delays**

We defined the time delay between DNA replication and histone modification using two measures. First, we grouped genomic regions into eight clusters based on their time of replication (Fig. 1F; Supplemental Fig. S5A–C). Comparing the cluster-averaged increase of DNA content and histone marks confirmed that some modifications are deposited immediately upon replication, while others recovered with a delay (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Fig. S6). Next, to obtain a measure of this delay, which is independent of cluster assignment, we performed a cross-correlation analysis, defining the time-shift that best aligns the genome-wide increase in DNA content and changes in each modification (Fig. 2B,C).

**Figure 2.** Delayed recovery of histone modifications following DNA replication. (A) Replication-associated dynamics of histone marks. Abundances of genomic DNA (black) or histone marks were averaged over all regions assigned to replication cluster six (mid-late replicating) (for more clusters, see Supplemental Fig. S6). Shaded blue area denotes the time period in which this cluster was replicated. Initial decrease in signal resulted from data normalization, reflecting the increase in signal from earlier replicating loci (see Supplemental Note S1). All signals are log2-transformed, normalized by the signal in synchronized culture, and adjusted to show the same dynamic range. (B,C) Delayed post-replication recovery. Correlations were measured between changes in modification levels at time $t$ and changes in DNA content at time $t - \tau$. These cross-correlations are shown as a function of the delay $\tau$ (B). Only correlations higher than 0.2 are shown (see also Methods; Supplemental Fig. S7). The typical delay time between DNA replication and the indicated histone marks was defined as the time $\tau$ showing the maximal cross-correlation (C).

Histone H3 levels increased concomitantly with the genomic DNA, as expected (Shibahara and Stillman 1999; Li et al. 2008). A similar immediate post-replication increase was observed for H4K16ac and H3K4me1. Interestingly, neither H4K16ac nor H3K4me1 is enriched on newly synthesized histones (Sobel et al. 1995), suggesting their rapid deposition, perhaps through enzymes that are recruited to the replication fork. In contrast, all three trimethylations tested followed DNA
replication with a significant delay of ∼20 min. In fact, these marks did not reach their prereplication value even after S phase was completed.

We noted that the heterogeneity (lower correlation) in the post-replication recovery times increased for marks that recovered with a delay. This heterogeneity may suggest a role for replication-independent processes, such as transcription. Indeed, highly expressed genes recovered faster than genes with low expression in four of the six histone marks showing a delayed recovery (Fig. 3). Further, fast recovery was associated with promoters that lack a TATA-box or that are depleted of a nucleosome near their TSS, features that correlate with slow histone turnover (Dion et al. 2007; Tirosch and Barkai 2008).

A wave of H3K9ac precedes the replication fork

While the majority of modifications appeared simultaneously with DNA replication or after some delay, H3K9 acetylation preceded DNA replication by ∼9 min, being deposited in regions that are about to be replicated (Fig. 2B; Supplemental Fig. S6). To confirm that this prereplication dynamics was not specific to HU synchronization, we profiled cells released from G1 arrest induced by α-factor. Cells were followed for 39 min after release with samples taken every 3 min for profiling H3K9ac, gene expression, and DNA content (Fig. 4A). As a control, we profiled also H3K56ac, which is deposited on newly synthesized histones prior to DNA incorporation (Han et al. 2007b). The DNA replication program inferred from this experiment was essentially the same as that inferred from HU-synchronized cells (Supplemental Fig. S8A,B).

Cross-correlation analysis confirmed that also in this experiment, H3K9ac preceded replication and decreased following passing of the replication fork (Fig. 4B,C,E; Supplemental Fig. S8C,D). In these conditions, H3K9ac was observed ∼5 min before replication compared with the 9 min observed when releasing cells from HU arrest, likely reflecting faster replication. In contrast, H3K56ac, which is deposited on newly synthesized histones, increased practically together with the genomic DNA (Fillingham et al. 2008; Li et al. 2008).

To control for possible cross-reactivity of the H3K56ac and H3K9ac antibodies (Drogaris et al. 2012), we repeated our experiment in strains harboring mutated H3, incapable of being either K9- or K56-acetylated (depicted H3K9A, and H3K56A, respectively) (Dai et al. 2008). Indeed, in H3K9A mutant, H3K56ac increased concomitantly with the DNA content, while in the H3K56A mutant the prereplication induction of H3K9ac was maintained (Fig. 4D; Supplemental Fig. S8E–H).

Distinct roles of Rtt109 and Gcn5 in H3K9 acetylation in DNA replication and gene transcription

The prereplication pattern of H3K9ac described here complements the previously reported correlation of H3K9ac with gene expression (cf. Supplemental Fig. S1C; Pokholok et al. 2005). H3K9ac acetylation is catalyzed by two acetyltransferases, Gcn5 and Rtt109 (Fillingham et al. 2008). To distinguish which enzyme is required for the replication-associated H3K9ac, we repeated our experiment in cells individually deleted of either Rtt109 or Gcn5. Neither mutant detectably affected the replication program or S-phase duration (Supplemental Fig. S9A–C; Voichek et al. 2016).

Deletion of Rtt109 completely abolished the prereplication increase in H3K9ac, which now followed replication with ∼4-min delay (Fig. 5A; Supplemental Fig. S12). Deletion of Gcn5, on the other hand, strengthened the association of H3K9ac with prereplicated regions. Thus, Rtt109, but not Gcn5, is required for the replication-associated wave of H3K9ac.

Highly expressed genes show an elevated H3K9ac specifically at gene start sites, while H3K9ac in prereplicated regions increases throughout the gene bodies (Fig. 5B). Notably, deleting Gcn5 practically abolished the correlations between H3K9ac and gene expression (Fig. 5B,C) and diminished the characteristic H3K9ac peak at the gene 5′ end (Fig. 5B;
When the chromosome-wide H3K9ac signal is compared, the wild-type pattern appeared as a combination of the Rtt109-associated replication pattern and the Gcn5-associated expression pattern (Fig. 5D,E). Together, we conclude that Rtt109 and Gcn5 play distinct roles in catalyzing replication- and expression-associated H3K9 acetylation.

Topoisomerase depletion intensifies H3K9 acetylation

The prereplication wave of H3K9ac may be linked to the supercoiling stresses that accumulate in front of the replication fork. These stresses are relieved by DNA topoisomerases (Wang 2002). Notably, early studies have shown that topoisomerases relieve transcription inhibition caused by positive supercoiling, and implicated histone acetylation in this process (Krajewski and Luchnik 1991; Gartenberg and Wang 1992). We therefore profiled H3K9ac during replication also in strains depleted of topoisomerases (Wang 2002). In yeast, Top2 is the main enzyme functioning during replication, yet a top2-ts strain had no effect on DNA replication (Baxter and Diffley 2008) and maintained the normal H3K9ac pattern (Fig. 6E). We therefore analyzed a strain that harbors the temperature-sensitive top2-ts allele combined with top1 deletion. Cells were grown in the permissive temperature, arrested by α-factor for 2.5 h, and shifted to the restrictive temperature for half an hour before release from G1 arrest (Fig. 6A,B; Supplemental Fig. S11A).

Replication of early origins initiated with some delay. Regions of ~5–20 kb around early origins were replicated before replication arrested (Fig. 6C, bottom panel and inset; Supplemental Fig. S11B). Gene expression in these replicated regions was specifically reduced, consistent with the accumulation of supercoiling (Fig. 6D). Notably, also here, H3K9ac preceded replication and was observed at ~5–6 kb in front of the fork (Fig. 6E–G; Supplemental Fig. S11C). The spread of H3K9ac stopped upon replication arrest, and it did not progress further into unreplicated regions. Therefore, the progression of H3K9ac in front of the replication fork depends on active replication or on other processes affected by the torsional stress. Notably, in contrast to the transient H3K9ac wave in wild-type cells, where replicated regions were deacetylated following the passing of the fork, H3K9ac remained stable in topoisomerase-depleted cells (Fig. 6E, bottom panel). This may indicate continuous acetylation, triggered by supercoiling, or an inability of histone deacetylases to access the supercoiled regions.

Figure 4. H3K9 acetylation precedes replication. (A) Experimental scheme and gene expression. Cells were released from α-factor G1-arrest and sampled every 3 min for DNA staining, RNA-seq, and ChIP-seq of H3K9ac and H3K56ac. Gene expression changes are shown, as in Figure 1B. (B) Prereplication increase in H3K9ac. Same as Figure 2A for the indicated clusters (see more clusters in Supplemental Fig. S8C). (C,D) H3K9ac precedes DNA replication. Cross-correlation analysis as in Figure 2B, for H3K9ac and H3K56ac in wild-type cells (C), and in cells carrying the H3K9A or H3K56A histone variants (D). See replication progression in Supplemental Figure S8, F through H. (E) Prereplication increase in H3K9ac along ~55 kb of chromosome V. Same as Figure 1F for wild-type cells released from α-factor synchronization. Data were normalized by the synchronized time-point and were plotted up to 21 min (for the full time-course, see Supplemental Fig. S8D). Autonomously replicating regions (ARSs) are indicated (top). Three 2-kb regions (I–III, marked by rectangles) were averaged for both H3K9ac and DNA content in each time-point and plotted together for comparison.

Supplemental Fig. S9E). When the chromosome-wide H3K9ac signal is compared, the wild-type pattern appeared as a combination of the Rtt109-associated replication pattern and the Gcn5-associated expression pattern (Fig. 5D,E). Together, we conclude that Rtt109 and Gcn5 play distinct roles in catalyzing replication- and expression-associated H3K9 acetylation.
In addition to their role in replication, topoisomerases are required for relieving supercoiling stresses during transcription (Mondal 2003; Chong et al. 2014). We reasoned that in topoisomerase-depleted cells, RNA polymerase II may be trapped in supercoiled regions. We therefore profiled Pol II binding in wild-type and topoisomerase-depleted cells synchronized at G1. Indeed, Pol II binding was consistently modified by topoisomerase depletion, becoming highly concentrated at specific sites along genes. Further, Pol II binding became tightly correlated to H3K9ac (Fig. 7B). Together, our results suggest that H3K9ac and Pol II trapping are elevated in supercoiled regions, normally relieved by topoisomerases.

**Discussion**

DNA replication displaces nucleosomes and perturbs the pattern of histone modification. Our study reveals that gene transcription plays an important role in the post-replication recovery of histone marks. It further suggests a prereplication wave of histone modification, which may be guided by the supercoiling stresses developing in front of the replication fork.

Time resolved data enabled us to define the typical delays between DNA replication and associated histone modifications. Immediate retrieval of position-dependent modifications may suggest epigenetic mechanisms that rely on existing marks. Delayed recovery, on the other hand, is consistent with passive retrieval through replication-independent processes. Some histone marks appeared on newly replicated DNA practically immediately. This was expected for modifications such as H3K56ac, which is deposited on newly synthesized histones prior to DNA incorporation (Li et al. 2008), but was also observed for H3K4me1 and H4K16ac, which are not enriched on newly synthesized histones (Sobel et al. 1995). These modifications appear to be added uniformly concomitantly with, or immediately after, replication.

Newly deposited histones were di- or trimethylated rather slowly following replication, resembling the delayed kinetics reported for other methylations (Alabert et al. 2015). This delay was significantly shorter for highly expressed genes and for genes associated with slow histone turnover. These modifications are therefore added primarily during gene expression, with active epigenetic inheritance contributing little to their post-replication recovery.

Similar to histone trimethylations, H3K9ac is deposited on highly expressed genes. However, in contrast to all the other modifications, H3K9ac preceded replication, being deposited ∼5–6 kb ahead of the replication fork. The two acetyltransferases, Gcn5 and Rtt109, played distinct roles in establishing the H3K9ac pattern: While the first catalyzed expression-dependent H3K9ac, the second was dedicated to the newly described replication-associated H3K9ac. Topoisomerase depletion modified H3K9ac by intensifying its abundance ahead of the replication fork and also by inducing replication-independent H3K9ac peaks in positions that trapped RNA polymerase. Together, our results suggest that supercoiling stresses such as the ones developing in front of the replication fork trigger H3K9ac, either by recruiting acetyltransferases or by promoting the incorporation of H3K9ac-containing histones.

Further studies are needed to define the function of H3K9ac during replication. Deletion of Rtt109 or mutating H3K9 did not perturb S-phase progression. This mutant was previously shown to increase genomic instability (Driscoll et al. 2007), although this effect was attributed to the loss of H3K56ac, which also

**Topoisomerase depletion increases the association between H2K9ac and RNA polymerase II**

Depletion of topoisomerase affected H3K9ac also outside S phase (Fig. 7A,C). As discussed above, replication-independent H3K9ac localizes to the TSS and correlates with gene expression (see Fig. 1). In contrast, upon topoisomerase depletion, H3K9ac was shifted downstream from the TSS and its correlation with gene expression was reduced (Fig. 7D,E).
Figure 6. Topoisomerases depletion intensifies the prereplication H3K9ac. (A) Experimental scheme. Cells were grown in the permissive temperature (24°C), synchronized using α-factor, and 30 min before release transferred to the restrictive temperature (34°C). Cells were released from G1 arrest and sampled every 3 min for DNA staining, RNA-seq, and ChIP-seq of H3K9ac. Binding of RNA polymerase II was measured using ChIP-seq in the synchronized time-point. Gene expression changes of cell-cycle-regulated genes are shown in Supplemental Figure S11A. (B) S-phase progression. Flow cytometry analysis of DNA-stained cells collected at the indicated time-points. (C) Topoisomerase depletion arrests DNA replication. Same as Figure 1F (top) for the indicated strains on chromosome VII. Dashed lines denote confirmed replication origins (based on OriDB) that intersect with regions that were replicated in the double mutant (Nieduszynski et al. 2007). A high-resolution view of a 30-kb region is shown in the inset, together with the corresponding H3K9ac profile. Top panel represents genes. (Blue) Watson; (green) Crick. Dashed lines in inset indicate the replicated region and the replication origin. For all chromosomes, see Supplemental Figure S11B. (D) Topoisomerase depletion represses gene expression in replicated regions. Log2 changes in gene expression, relative to synchronized cells, averaged over all genes (∼400) positioned in regions that were replicated in the topoisomerase-depleted strain. (E) H3K9ac on chromosome VII. H3K9ac normalized by the synchronized time-point. The inset is a blow-up of the indicated region (also marked in C). (F) H3K9ac in replicated regions. All replicated regions in top2-tsΔtop1 were ordered according to their length. DNA abundance (left) and the H3K9ac levels (right) at these regions, 45 min after release, normalized by the synchronized time-point, are plotted. Dashed lines depict the edges of the replicated region. (G) H3K9ac precedes replication. Same as Figure 4B for one of the two clusters replicated in top2-tsΔtop1. For the second cluster, see Supplemental Figure S11C.
controls expression homeostasis during S phase (Voichek et al. 2016). The prereplication H3K9ac wave may contribute to the smooth progression of the replication machinery, perhaps by recruiting or stabilizing nucleosome remodeling complexes.

Methods

Strains

Supplemental Table S2 summarizes all yeast strains described in this study. For ChIP-seq experiments in wild-type Saccharomyces cerevisiae, a BY4741 strain with C-terminal tagged Rpd3 and Whi5 was used. Rpd3 was tagged with nine repetitions of Myc peptide by transforming with a PCR fragment amplified from pFA6-9Myc-His3MX6 (Longtine et al. 1998) with primers 5′-TGCGAGGGACCTACATGTTGAGCATGACAATGAATTCTATGGTGGGGCGGTGGTGGGTTAATTAACGGTGAACA and 5′-TCCATTATTTATATTCGTATATACTTCCAACTCTTTTTTAATCGACAGCAGTATAGCGA and selection on plates lacking histidine. Whi5 was tagged with three repetitions of HA peptide by transforming with a PCR fragment amplified from pYM24 (Janke et al. 2004) with primers 5′-ACGGACGAAACGGAGCCCGAGTCGGATACCGAAGTGGAGACGTCTGGCGGAGGTGGCGGAAAGTGGAATCTTTTACCCATACGA and 5′-CTGCACTAACTCCGAGATTGCGGAGAAAAAACTCGTACTACCACATCCGCGGCCGCA and selection on plates with Hygromycin B and lacking histidine.

Figure 7. Replication-independent effects of topoisomerase depletion on H3K9ac and RNA polymerase II. (A, B) Colocalization of RNA polymerase II with replication-independent H3K9ac peaks. H3K9ac levels (A) and RNA pol II binding (B) in synchronized cells. Dashed lines highlight peaks of H3K9ac in the top2-ts top1 strain. Gene positions are depicted in the center, as in Figure 6C (inset). (C) Topoisomerase depletion modifies the genome-wide H3K9ac pattern. The genome-wide correlations between H3K9ac in synchronized cells of the indicated strains. (D) Shift in H3K9ac upon depletion of topoisomerases. Metagene analysis of H3K9ac (as in Fig. 1C) for the indicated strains. (E) Shift in H3K9ac is associated with gene expression levels. Metagene analysis of H3K9ac for genes grouped according to their expression levels (as in Fig. 5B). Expression levels as measured in the relevant strain at the synchronized time-point. Correlation values (ρ) between gene average H3K9ac and gene expression levels are indicated. (F) H3K9ac colocalizes with RNA pol II. Peaks in H3K9ac were identified, the respective regions were aligned by the maximal H3K9ac signal, and the average RNA pol II measured over the aligned regions was plotted.
BY4741 strain was deleted of RTT109 or GCN5 by transforming with a PCR fragment amplified from pBS7 (Yeast Resource Center) with primers 5′-GTCAAAACATCAGATTGAAGGAGTACCTTGGATAGATCGTGTAGGCTGCCG and 5′-TTATCATATAGGTAGAATTCAGGATTATTTTATTTATCGAATAGCTAGCTCG for GCN5 and 5′-TCAGTGGATGA CTCCTAAGTTCGTCAGTTCAGTATGTCAGTGGCCTCG and 5′-TCAGTGTTGGACGAAGGGTTTTATCGAATAGCTAGCTCG for RTT109 and selection on YPD+G418 plates.

Cell-cycle synchronization: HU synchronization
Yeast cells were synchronized to S phase using HU (NBS Biologicals, HB0528) as previously described (Slater 1973; Futcher 1999; Walker 1999). Briefly, cells were grown in YPD overnight at 30°C and inoculated in fresh medium to OD_{600} of 0.01. When reaching an OD_{600} of 0.1, HU was added to a final concentration of 0.2 M and grown for additional 3 h. Then, the culture was split to four vacuum-filtering bottles (0.45 µm), with an extra removable filter on top of them. Yeast stuck to the filter and then resuspended in fresh and warm media. Samples were washed again from the HU by centrifugation (4000 rpm, 2 min) and resuspended in warm, fresh YPD. Cells were collected at the following time-points: after 3 h of arrest (sync), 5 min after release, and every 10 min after release for 90 min. Due to the large volumes required for ChIP-seq, the HU experiment was split into eight experiments, done on different days and serving as biological replicates. In each experiment, two large quantities of culture (1 L) were fixed for ChIP. The pairs of ChIP-seq samples was as follows: time-points a, 10 and 80 min; b, 20 and 70 min; c, 40 and 90 min; d, 30 and 90 min; e, 5 and 60 min; f, 0 and 5 min; g, 0 min; and h, synchronized. An experiment of 50 min after release was excluded from the analysis.

In order to ensure different experiments are synchronized similarly, samples for DNA staining and RNA sequencing in all the time-points of each experiment (except for experiments g and h) were obtained.

Cell-cycle synchronization: α-factor synchronization
Synchronization using α-factor was done as previously described (Futcher 1999). Briefly, cells were grown in YPD overnight at 30°C and inoculated in fresh medium to OD_{600} of 0.05. When reaching an OD_{600} of 0.12, cells were washed from the media using 0.45-µm filters in order to remove secreted Bar1. Cells were then resuspended in an equal-volume fresh YPD prewarmed to 24°C, with α-factor in a final concentration of 5 µg/mL. Next, the yeast culture was divided into 15 separate 50-mL tubes with a ventilated cap as in the above-mentioned experiments. Cells were incubated for 2.5 h at 24°C and then switched to 34°C for an additional 30 min. Every 3 min for 45 min, one tube was taken out of the incubator and washed twice from the α-factor by centrifugation (4000 rpm for 1 min) and resuspension in fresh YPD prewarmed to 34°C. Following the two washes, the cells were resuspended in an equal volume of fresh YPD prewarmed to 34°C and returned to the incubator to grow at 34°C (restrictive temperature). Subsequent steps were done as in other strains, with an arrested sample (sync), immediately after release (time-point 0), and samples every 3 min starting at 6 min after release for 39 min (in total, 45 min after release). Samples for ChIP-seq of RNA pol II were then taken at the synchronized time-point in the same experiment.

ChIP-seq
Chromatin immunoprecipitation was done as described previously (Liu et al. 2005), with modifications. In experiments that used HU, for each antibody, 50 mL of cells were used. In total, 1 L of yeast culture (equivalent to 20 antibodies) was cross-linked at the relevant time-point with 1% formaldehyde for 5 min in 30°C at 90 rpm shaking. Cross-linking was stopped by incubating cells with 125 mM glycine for 5 min at RT. Next, cells were washed twice with cold sterile water, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and moved to −80°C. Cells were resuspended in 1× Lysis buffer (Lysis buffer: 50 mM HEPES-KOH at pH 7.5, 140 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate) with protease inhibitor cocktail (1:1000, Sigma-Aldrich, P8340). For every sample originating from 200 mL of yeast, 400 µL of zirconium oxide beads (0.5 mm) was added. Cells were then mechanically disrupted using a BBX24 bullet blender (Next Advance) for 1 min at intensity 8. Subsequently, cells were sonicated in a Branson digital sonifier S-250D (courtesy of Jacob Hanna’s laboratory) for 10 min at 35% amplitude, in pulses of 0.7 sec on, 1.3 sec off. Cells were centrifuged twice to remove cell pellets. From each time-point, 50 µL of DNA was taken out to serve as input. To the remaining sample, 1 mg/mL BSA and NaCl to a final concentration of 275 mM were added. Sonicated DNA material was then precleared using magnetic beads (Dynabeads Protein G, Life Technologies) for 1 h in a 4°C tumbler. The remaining sonicated material was pooled together, and 300 µL of sonicated material was aliquoted to tubes containing Protein G magnetic beads bound to 10 µg of specific antibodies.
(for a list of antibodies, see Supplemental Table S1), and incubated in a tumbler at 4°C overnight.

After overnight incubation with antibodies, beads were washed five times with cold lysis buffer, twice with cold Buffer W1 (50 mM HEPES-KOH at pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate), twice with cold Buffer W2 (10 mM Tris-Cl at pH 8.0, 250 mM LiCl, 0.5% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA), and once with cold TE buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl at pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA). Reversal of cross-linked was done by incubating beads in direct elution buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl at pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT) overnight in 37°C. RNA was degraded using RNase A solution for 60 min in 37°C. Proteins were degraded by incubating samples for 2 h in 37°C with Invitrogen Proteinase K in the presence of glycogen. ChIP libraries were indexed (Garber et al. 2012), pooled, and sequenced on Illumina HiSeq 2000.

ChIP-seq protocol for α-factor experiments was modified: For each time-point, 35 µL of yeast were used for ChIP-seq, with chromatin from 10 mL of culture used per antibody. Tubes were taken out of the bath shaker and fixed at the same time, as each sample has been released at a different time, in 3-min intervals. The cells were fixed and processed further with the same protocol as the HU experiments.

For details about addition of Schizosaccharomyces pombe cells to samples, for normalizing ChIP-seq data, see Supplemental Note S2.

RNA extraction and sequencing
Yeast culture was centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 1 min, supernatant was removed, and pellets were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA was extracted using a modified protocol of the Nucleospin 96 RNA kit (Macherey-Nagel, 740709). Specifically, cell lysis was done in a 96 deep-well plate by adding 450 µL of lysis buffer containing 1 M sorbitol (Sigma-Aldrich), 100 mM EDTA, and 0.45 µL lyticase (10 IU/µL). The plate was incubated in 30°C for 30 min to break the cell wall and then centrifuged for 10 min at 3000 rpm, and supernatant was removed. From this stage, extraction proceeded as in the protocol of the Nucleospin 96 RNA kit, only substituting β-mercaptoethanol with DTT. Fragmented, poly(A)-selected, RNA extracts of size ∼200 bp were reverse-transcribed to cDNA using barcoded poly(T) primers. cDNA was amplified and sequenced with an Illumina HiSeq 2500 using a primer complementary to the opposite adaptor to the poly(A).

Flow cytometry
To assess cell-cycle synchronization efficiency and position along the cell cycle, we followed DNA staining of samples from every time-point using flow cytometry. Briefly, cells were washed twice with 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8), resuspended in RNase A for 40 min in 37°C, washed twice with 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8), and resuspended in Proteinase K for 1 h incubation at 37°C. Then, cells were washed twice again, resuspended in SYBR green (S9430, Sigma-Aldrich; 1:1000), and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 1 h. Then, cells were washed from the stain and resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8) and sonicated in the Diagenode Bioruptor for three cycles of 10 sec on and 20 sec off in low intensity. Finally, cells were taken to FACs for analysis using the BD LSRII system (BD Biosciences).

Processing and analysis of RNA-seq data
For every RNA-seq sample we mapped the 50-bp/60-bp sequences to the S. cerevisiae genome (SGD, R64) using Bowtie (parameters: –best –a –m 2 –strata –s 10), with an average of 85% sequence alignment (Langmead et al. 2009). We then filtered the mapped reads for reads not mapped to rRNA and down-sampled the aligned filtered reads to 400,000 reads. Down-sampling the reads was done in order to have similar data from all samples. For every sequence, we normalized for PCR bias using the unique molecular identifier (UMI), scoring each position on the genome by the unique number of UMIs it had out of the 256 possible UMIs (Kivioja et al. 2012).

To get the expression of each gene, we summed all the reads aligned to 400 bp upstream of its 3' end to 200 bp downstream. For genes having high sequence similarity in the region being summed and thus having sequences aligning as good to two genes, we separated the reads aligned to both genes according to the amount of uniquely mapped sequences: for example, if genes X and Y had 300 sequences aligning to both 3' end regions, and 500, 1000 uniquely mapped to X, Y respectively. Then, the reads aligned twice will be divided in the same ratio (500/1500) × 300 versus (1000/1500) × 300. The final expression will be 600 for X and 1200 for Y.

For the HU experiments, we averaged the different biological replicates of the time-course to get one data set to work with.

Average changes in gene expression
Expression at each time-point was divided by the expression in the synchronized time-point, log2-transformed, and then averaged on the group of genes (for Figs. 1B, 4A, 6D; Supplemental Figs. S1A, S7A, S8E, S9B, S11A; and the clusters in Supplemental Fig. S4).

Processing and analysis of ChIP-seq and genomic DNA data
Genomic tracks representing the enrichment of every locus in the yeast genome were calculated for all ChIP-seq samples. The process was the same for the genomic DNA (input) and ChIP-seq samples, differing only for data of 50-bp paired-end sequencing (HU time-courses and WT α-factor time-courses) and data of 50-bp single-read sequencing (all other α-factor time-courses).

For paired-end data, reads were aligned using Bowtie (parameters: –m1 –best –X 1000) to a genome containing both S. cerevisiae (SGD, R64) and S. pombe genomes (Supplemental Note S2). Genomic tracks for each sample were calculated by taking each location covered by a full read (including the region between the pairs) and adding +1 to these loci in total, so that every position increased by 1/insert size.

For single-read data, reads were aligned using Bowtie (parameters: –best –m 1) to the combined S. cerevisiae and S. pombe genome (Supplemental Note S2). Genomic tracks were calculated by extending every aligned read to cover 200 bp and adding +1 to each covered location.

All tracks were normalized to have a total signal of 1,000,000. Enrichment level for each gene was calculated by averaging the signal over its coding region. In the HU time-course, we did not use samples having fewer than 500,000 reads.

Normalizing the log2-transformed bins of one time-point A versus (1000/1500) × 300. The final expression will be 600 for X and 1200 for Y.

For the HU experiments, we averaged the different biological replicates of the time-course to get one data set to work with.
two biological replicates were entered as two measurements from the same time.

**Metagene analysis**

For a profile of a specific histone modification (time-course and a specific time-point) and a group of genes, metagene analysis was done as follows: taking the signal 400 bp upstream of the TSS to 400 bp downstream from the transcription termination site (TTS) for every gene in this profile (Pelechano et al. 2013). The signal found between the TSS+200 bp and the TTS−200 bp was binned into 20 equal-sized bins to be able to compare genes of different lengths (the binned area was then extended in size for visualization purposes). Signal for all genes was then averaged to get the average pattern.

**Gene replication time for genes or 10-kb bins**

Genome-wide replication timing data from Yabuki et al. (2002) was used to define gene replication time by assigning each gene the replication time closest to its 5’ end. For each 10-kb bin (Supplemental Fig. S4B), replication time was assigned as the average data points intersecting with the bin from Yabuki et al. (2002) data.

**Plotting histone modifications for all genes**

Plotting the modifications of all genes aligned to their middle (Supplemental Figs. S1D, S2C) or to the TSS (Supplemental Fig. S2D) was done by first sorting the genes according to their length and averaging the signal over all time-points. Then a small spatial filter (50 × 50 Gaussian with σ = 2σ) was used on this matrix.

**Quantification of the time delays between DNA replication and histone modification**

Correlations of changes in histone modifications to changes in genomic DNA are quantified as follows: First we extrapolated the temporal changes of a modification and genomic DNA to a 1-min resolution, using cubic spline. We then calculated the changes around each time t (±5 in HU, or ±2 in α-factor). For every time delay τ, we calculated the correlation of the modification changes in time t and changes in DNA content at time t − τ for which t − τ is in S phase.

**Normalization of genomic DNA profile to get absolute level**

As each profile is normalized to the same total level, as explained in Supplemental Note S1, regions not replicated at a given time will show a decrease in their genomic DNA level due to replication of other regions. To normalize this effect in the genomic DNA data, we used the fact that DNA level can only increase during replication. As each profile is normalized to the same total level, as explained in Supplemental Note S1, regions not replicated at a given time will show a decrease in their genomic DNA level due to replication of other regions. To normalize this effect in the genomic DNA data, we used the fact that DNA level can only increase during replication. Therefore, a decrease in DNA can be corrected to no change (and thus a stronger increase in DNA content in replicated regions). We do so both for visualization purposes (Supplemental Fig. S5A) and also for more accurate calculation of the delays in the modification recovery (e.g., Figs. 1B, 4A).

**Groups of genes used in the enrichment analysis**

For the enrichment analysis (Supplemental Fig. S4), we took the following coexpressed groups of genes from (1) the environmental stress response (ESR) from Gasch et al. (2000), (2) groups from module level 13 in Ihmels et al. (2004), and targets of different transcription factors from Maclsaac et al. (2006). For enrichment of genes replicating at different times, we separated the genes to six groups according to their time of replication (Yabuki et al. 2002).

**Groups of genes used to plot the progression through the cell–cycle**

“G2/M genes” and “G1 genes” are taken from Ihmels et al. (2004). ESR induce/reduce are taken from Gasch et al. (2000), and “Histones” contain the eight histone genes (e.g., Figs. 1B, 4A).

**Identification of H3K9ac peaks**

Peak calling was done with PeakFinder (https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/25500) using the parameter sel = 1 (defining the threshold for calling a peak).

**Data access**

RNA-seq, ChIP-seq, and DNA-seq data from this study have been submitted to the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA; https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/) under accession numbers PRJEB11501, PRJEB13262, and PRJEB11977.
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