Method

Highly efficient CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knock-in in zebrafish by homology-independent DNA repair

Thomas O. Auer,^{1,2,3,4} Karine Duroure,^{1,2,3} Anne De Cian,^{5,6,7}
Jean-Paul Concordet,^{5,6,7,8} and Filippo Del Bene^{1,2,3,8}

¹Institut Curie, Centre de Recherche, Paris F-75248, France; ²CNRS UMR 3215, Paris F-75248, France; ³INSERM U934, F-75248 Paris, France; ⁴Centre for Organismal Studies Heidelberg, University of Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany; ⁵Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris F-75231, France; ⁶CNRS UMR 7196, Paris F-75231, France; ⁷INSERM U565, Paris F-75231, France

Sequence-specific nucleases like TALENs and the CRISPR/Cas9 system have greatly expanded the genome editing possibilities in model organisms such as zebrafish. Both systems have recently been used to create knock-out alleles with great efficiency, and TALENs have also been successfully employed in knock-in of DNA cassettes at defined loci via homologous recombination (HR). Here we report CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knock-in of DNA cassettes into the zebrafish genome at a very high rate by homology-independent double-strand break (DSB) repair pathways. After co-injection of a donor plasmid with a short guide RNA (sgRNA) and Cas9 nuclease mRNA, concurrent cleavage of donor plasmid DNA and the selected chromosomal integration site resulted in efficient targeted integration of donor DNA. We successfully employed this approach to convert eGFP into Gal4 transgenic lines, and the same plasmids and sgRNAs can be applied in any species where eGFP lines were generated as part of enhancer and gene trap screens. In addition, we show the possibility of easily targeting DNA integration at endogenous loci, thus greatly facilitating the creation of reporter and loss-of-function alleles. Due to its simplicity, flexibility, and very high efficiency, our method greatly expands the repertoire for genome editing in zebrafish and can be readily adapted to many other organisms.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]

Methods of genome engineering are becoming increasingly powerful owing to breakthroughs in the design of artificial nucleases that induce site-specific double-strand breaks (DSBs) in the genome (Gaj et al. 2013). These DSBs, as was shown nearly 20 years ago using the homing endonuclease IScel, efficiently stimulate homologous recombination (HR) with a gene targeting vector in cultured cells and plants (Jasin 1996). Several types of artificial nucleases can now be designed to make the initial DSB that induces modification of a sequence of interest. Among these, zinc finger and TALE nucleases (TALENs) are fusions of artificial DNA binding domains—arrays of zinc fingers and TALE effector repeats, respectively—to the endonuclease domain of the FokI restriction enzyme. The latter is only active as a dimer and therefore needs to be recruited to the target sequence by fusion to two separate zinc finger or TALE domains binding complementary sequences separated by a short DNA spacer.

More recently, novel RNA-guided nucleases (RGNs) have been developed based on the CRISPR/Cas9 mechanism of bacterial defense against exogenous DNA (Jinek et al. 2012). A short guide RNA (sgRNA) complexed to *Streptococcus pyogenes* Cas9 endonuclease binds to its complementary DNA target sequence and leads to specific DNA cleavage by Cas9. By changing the 20-bp sgRNA sequence, one can redirect the Cas9 nuclease to predetermined chromosomal target sites (Cho et al. 2013; Cong et al. 2013; Hwang et al. 2013b; Mali et al. 2013).

Important pioneer studies using zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs) have demonstrated the potential of artificial sequence-specific

nucleases in the genome engineering of many experimental systems. TALE and CRISPR/Cas9 nucleases have emerged as powerful alternatives that are much easier to engineer. While sequence-specific TALE nucleases can be readily assembled from TALE repeats specific to each nucleotide (Cermak et al. 2011; Huang et al. 2011; Sander et al. 2011), sgRNAs for the CRISPR/Cas9 system can be easily generated by cloning of target-specific oligonucleotides into sgRNA expression vectors. The constraints of the sequences that can be targeted are minimal since TALE nucleases can be assembled to target $TN_{48-54}A$ sequences (Miller et al. 2011) and sgRNA (G/A)(G/A) N_{18} -NGG sequences (Hwang et al. 2013b). Importantly, both systems have been shown to be active in a very high proportion of cases, although efficiencies may vary considerably (Reyon et al. 2012; Hwang et al. 2013b).

The use of sequence-specific TALENs or RGNs based on the CRISPR/Cas9 system allows specific gene disruption in many organisms not previously amenable to forward genetic analyses, for instance, in common experimental models such as the rat or the zebrafish (Huang et al. 2011; Sander et al. 2011; Tesson et al. 2011; Hwang et al. 2013b). Gene inactivation results from small insertions or deletions (indels) introduced during the repair of cleaved DNA by nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ), causing frameshifts and premature stop codons.

However, a broader range of DNA sequence modifications is highly desirable for many purposes such as locus-specific insertion of reporter genes or tagging of open reading frames. Since their first application, both systems have been used for the targeted insertion

⁸Corresponding authors

E-mail filippo.del-bene@curie.fr

E-mail jean-paul.concordet@mnhn.fr

Article published online before print. Article, supplemental material, and publication date are at <http://www.genome.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gr.161638.113>.

© 2014 Auer et al. This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://genome.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>.

of short DNA sequences. By co-injection of single-stranded oligonucleotides bearing sequences flanking the cleaved target, site-specific DNA integration was recently demonstrated in mouse and zebrafish (Bedell et al. 2012; Chang et al. 2013; Hwang et al. 2013a; Wang et al. 2013; Wefers et al. 2013). Inducing DSBs with TALENs or RGNs at two sites on a chromosome can be used to trigger chromosomal deletions and inversions in cultured cells and zebrafish (Carlson et al. 2012; Gupta et al. 2013; Lim et al. 2013; Xiao et al. 2013). Artificial nucleases can also stimulate highly precise sequence modification by HR, but the efficiency is generally low. For example, using extremely active TALEN pairs that were able to induce indel mutations at rates up to 98%, Zu et al. could show gene targeting by HR in zebrafish with efficiencies at ~1.5% (Zu et al. 2013). Linearized donors with >800-bp perfect homology flanking the TALEN target site served as a template for gene targeting by HR and allowed integration of inserts up to 1 kb. In living organisms, low efficiency limits the widespread application of gene targeting by HR because screening a large number of animals may be required to isolate founders carrying the mutation of interest. Here we report highly efficient CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knock-in of >5.7-kb-long DNA cassettes into the zebrafish genome based on homology-independent DSB repair. We show that, due to its flexibility and high efficiency, our method considerably expands the practical possibilities of genome engineering in model organisms.

Results

It was recently shown that zinc finger nucleases and TALENs can drive targeted integration of DNA cassettes in cultured cells (Cristea et al. 2013; Maresca et al. 2013) via homology-independent DSB repair. Although the design strategy slightly differed between the two studies, they both showed that if a donor plasmid is cleaved in transfected cells, it is frequently integrated at a site concomitantly targeted by zinc finger or TALE nucleases. We were interested in testing this approach in a model organism—the zebrafish—as a potential alternative to gene targeting by homologous recombination. Due to its easier design compared to ZFNs and TALE nucleases, we decided to first utilize the CRISPR/Cas9 system to introduce targeted DSBs.

Targeted knock-in of *KalTA4* into the *Tg(neurod:eGFP)* locus

We chose a *neurod:eGFP* transgene (Obholzer et al. 2008) that is broadly expressed in the central nervous system during embryonic development as the target integration site. The *eGFP* transgene allows the direct visualization of target gene disruption and should not compromise survival upon loss of gene function.

In our donor plasmid, we inserted the target sequences for two sgRNAs specific to *eGFP* (hereafter referred to as “bait” sequence) followed by the coding sequence of an improved version of the transcriptional transactivator Gal4 (*KalTA4*) (Distel et al. 2009). This reading frame was preceded by an E2A peptide linker for multicistronic expression (Fig. 1A; Szymczak et al. 2004). When the donor plasmid was co-injected into an *eGFP* transgenic line with sgRNAs/*Cas9* mRNA, concurrent cleavage of the genomic *eGFP* locus and bait plasmid sequence occurred. As NHEJ was shown to be highly active in early zebrafish development (Hagmann et al. 1998; Dai et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2012), we speculated that it would trigger integration of the donor plasmid into the opened chromosomal locus through nonspecific ligation of cleaved DNA ends.

After integration of the donor plasmid resulting in in-frame insertions of the E2A-*KalTA4* cDNA (Fig. 1A), former *eGFP* positive cells were expected to express *KalTA4*. The simple loss of *eGFP* expression demonstrates gene disruption by the CRISPR/Cas9 system. In order to visualize integration events of the donor plasmid, we performed injections in embryos also carrying an *UAS:RFP* transgene [*Tg(neurod:eGFP)* × *Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)*] (Fig. 1B,C). If *KalTA4* is inserted in-frame at the *neurod:eGFP* locus (which happens theoretically in 16.6% of integration events given three different frames and two insertion directions of the donor plasmid), the expressed *KalTA4* will transactivate *RFP* expression by binding to the *UAS* sequence and triggering *RFP* transcription.

We designed two different sgRNAs targeting the *eGFP* bait sequence and estimated their efficiency at inducing indel mutations. For this purpose we pooled ten *eGFP* transgenic embryos after injection of sgRNAs and *Cas9* mRNA, isolated genomic DNA, performed locus-specific PCR amplification on the *eGFP* locus, and estimated the rate of mutations by sequencing individual PCR clones. While sgRNA *eGFP* 1 was able to induce indel mutations at a rate of 66% (10/15 clones carrying mutations) (Table 1; Supplemental Table 1), the rate for sgRNA *eGFP* 2 was significantly lower (20%, 3/15 clones carrying mutations).

Using sgRNA *eGFP* 1 and co-injecting it with our *eGFP* bait-E2A-*KalTA4* donor and *Cas9* mRNA into *Tg(neurod:eGFP)* × *Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* embryos, we observed RFP-positive cells within the *neurod* pattern in >75% (293/388) of injected embryos (Table 1). In about 22% (85/388) of injected embryos, RFP-positive cells were largely recapitulating *neurod:eGFP* expression (Supplemental Fig. 1; Table 1). In such embryos, RFP expression could be simultaneously detected in the brain and caudal neural tube, indicating integration events had likely occurred during the earliest stages of development.

In all confocal images acquired, we never observed co-expression of *eGFP* and RFP in the same cell. In about 80% of embryos (303/388), *eGFP* expression was strongly reduced compared to uninjected controls (Supplemental Fig. 1), indicating disruption of the *eGFP* open reading frame. RFP expression was more often observed in embryos that lost large parts of their *neurod:eGFP* expression, arguing for higher activity of the CRISPR/Cas9 system in these embryos. Within the group of RFP-positive embryos, <3% (9/388) showed RFP-expressing cells outside the *neurod:eGFP* expression domain (in muscle or skin cells). To further check for potential off-target integration of the donor plasmid, we performed injections in *Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* embryos without the *neurod:eGFP* target locus. Within these embryos, we could only rarely observe some red muscle or skin cells in 1/300 (0.3%) embryos, arguing for a very low frequency of off-target integration events leading to expression of a functional *KalTA4*. In *Tg(neurod:eGFP)* × *Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* embryos injected with *eGFP* bait-E2A-*KalTA4* donor DNA and *Cas9* mRNA but no sgRNA, we did not detect any RFP-expressing cells (0/243) (Fig. 1C). This indicates that the sgRNA is necessary to trigger integration of the donor plasmid.

After injection of the donor plasmid with the RGNs, successful targeted knock-in events were verified by PCR amplification (Fig. 1D) using integration site- and donor-specific primers (Fig. 1A). Subsequent analysis of the junction sequences revealed indel events typical for DSB repair by classical NHEJ and alternative end-joining mechanisms (Fig. 1E; Supplemental Table 1; Dai et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2012). Analyzing all junction sequences (between target locus and knocked-in donors) obtained in the course of this study, 50% exhibited small deletions (24/48 sequences) and 33% small

Figure 1. (Legend on next page)

insertions (16/48), while 17% (8/48) corresponded to ligation of nonmodified DNA sequences from the targeted locus and plasmid (perfect repair).

In a further set of experiments, we made use of the second sgRNA specific for *eGFP*, sgRNA *eGFP* 2, and again found phenotypic and molecular evidence for targeted DNA integration (Supplemental Fig. 2). The number of successfully converted embryos (22/149), however, was much lower (15% vs. 76% with sgRNA *eGFP* 1), consistent with a reduced efficiency of this sgRNA (20%) at directing site-specific indel mutations in the *eGFP* ORF compared to sgRNA *eGFP* 1 (66%).

Comparison to co-injection of linearized donor plasmid

We wanted to test whether co-injected linearized donor plasmids would be integrated at the genomic locus cleaved by the CRISPR/Cas9 system. We therefore linearized our donor plasmid prior to injection in vitro with a restriction enzyme, cutting just upstream of the E2A-KalTA4 sequence (close to the sgRNA *eGFP* 1 binding site). When we co-injected linearized *eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4* donor DNA with sgRNA *eGFP* 1 and *Cas9* mRNA into one-cell stage embryos of the *Tg(neurod:eGFP) × Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* cross, we observed an increased death rate compared to when co-injecting circular plasmid (35% vs. 15%, respectively) (Supplemental Fig. 3C). Frequency of in-frame integration events as scored by RFP expression was much lower (11% vs. 76% with circular plasmid) and observed in a sparse manner (Supplemental Fig. 3A,E). Altogether, this experiment demonstrates that co-injection of a circular plasmid that is cleaved concurrently with the endogenous target locus is less toxic and more efficient in triggering plasmid integration at the desired locus.

Targeted knock-in of *KalTA4* into the *Tg(vsx2:eGFP)* transgenic line

We next sought to confirm the efficiency of our approach using a second *eGFP* transgenic line [*Tg(vsx2:eGFP)*] (Kimura et al. 2006) integrated at a different genomic locus and with a more restricted expression pattern. *Vsx2:eGFP* drives *eGFP* expression in the zebrafish embryonic retina and hindbrain cells in 2-dpf-old embryos (Fig. 2A). The *eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4* donor plasmid was co-injected with sgRNA *eGFP* 1 and fish embryos

examined at 2 dpf. As shown in Figure 2B, conversion of the *eGFP* to the *KalTA4* transgene could be directly visualized by the appearance of red fluorescent cells in the retina in the *Tg(vsx2:eGFP) × Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* genetic background. Cells in the hindbrain also switched from *eGFP* to RFP expression (Fig. 2C). Efficiency of targeted DNA integration was estimated to range around 60% (83/144 embryos) (Table 1), based on the green to red fluorescence conversion. Eleven percent of embryos (16/144) thereby showed a broad expression pattern, with red cells spread over the whole retina (~5% of retinal cells) (Fig. 2B) and the hindbrain (Fig. 2C). PCR and sequence analysis further confirmed that targeted DNA integration had taken place, and indel mutations typical of homology-independent repair pathways such as NHEJ were detected at junction sequences (Fig. 2D).

As we used *cry1:eGFP* (resulting in *eGFP* expression in the lens) as a transgenesis marker for the *UAS:RFP* transgene in the *Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* line, we offered a further potential target site for *eGFP*-specific sgRNAs. In a few cases, we could observe RFP expression in the lens of the *Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* transgenic fish (Supplemental Fig. 4). This event likely reflects the insertion of the *KalTA4* DNA cassette into the *cry1:eGFP* transgene and was rarely detected (8/388 [2%] of injected embryos), owing to the extremely restricted expression pattern of the *cry1* promoter.

Targeted knock-in at the *Tg(pou4f3:mGFP)* locus

Subsequently, to test our method with a different target gene while still benefiting from the visual read-out of the GFP-to-KalTA4 switch, we targeted a transgene encoding an older, noncodon optimized version of GFP present in the *Tg(pou4f3:mGFP)* transgenic line (Xiao et al. 2005). We designed a sgRNA specific to the noncodon optimized GFP coding sequence and generated a new matching bait sequence for our E2A-KalTA4 donor plasmid. Co-injection with *Cas9* mRNA into the *Tg(pou4f3:mGFP) × Tg(UAS:RFP,*

Table 1. Knock-in efficiencies at the *eGFP* and the *kif5aa* locus

Transgenic line used	Targeting system	Indel mutation efficiency	Donor plasmid used for knock in	Embryos with RFP expression	Broad RFP pattern
<i>Tg(neurod:eGFP) × Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)</i>	sgRNA <i>eGFP</i> 1 Cas9	66.6% 10/15	<i>eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4</i>	293/388 (75.6%)	85/388 (22.1%)
<i>Tg(vsx2:eGFP) × Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)</i>	sgRNA <i>eGFP</i> 1 Cas9	66.6% 10/15	<i>eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4</i>	83/144 (57.6%)	16/144 (11.1%)
<i>Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)</i>	sgRNA <i>kif5aa</i> 1 Cas9	22.2% 4/18	<i>kif5aabait-E2A-KalTA4</i>	6/150 (4.0%)	0/150
<i>Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)</i>	sgRNA <i>kif5aa</i> 1 sgRNA <i>eGFP</i> 1 Cas9	22.2% 66.6%	<i>eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4</i>	58/604 (9.6%)	20/604 (3.3%)

cry1:eGFP) cross led to the GFP-to-KalTA4 switch (Supplemental Fig. 5A,B), and targeted DNA integration was confirmed at the DNA level by PCR and DNA sequence analysis of the junctions at the integration site (Supplemental Fig. 5C,D). The previous experiments show that we can successfully target *eGFP* and *GFP* transgenes and convert them to *KalTA4* expression.

Targeted knock-in at the zebrafish *kif5aa* locus

To further extend the validity of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knock-in on an endogenous target gene, we chose to target integration of *KalTA4* cDNA to the *kinesin family member 5Aa* (*kif5aa*, ENSEMBL ID: ENSDARG00000005470.9) locus. Using in situ hybridization, we detected mRNA expression of this gene from 24 h post-fertilization onward in the spinal cord (Fig. 3A), consistent with a recently published expression pattern (Campbell and Marlow 2013). At 3 dpf, *kif5aa* is broadly expressed in the brain, while BAC transgenesis using the medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) ortholog showed additional *kif5aa* transcription in the spinal cord and motoneurons at later stages of development (Kawasaki et al. 2012). We first designed a sgRNA specific to *kif5aa*, whose efficiency at inducing indel mutations was determined to range around 22% (4/18) (Supplemental Table 1). Furthermore, we replaced the *eGFPbait* sequence in the previously described *KalTA4* targeting vector with

a bait sequence for *kif5aa* (Fig. 3D). Successful integration of *KalTA4* was revealed by RFP expression after co-injection of the *kif5aabait-E2A-KalTA4* donor vector, sgRNA *kif5aa* 1, and *Cas9* mRNA into *Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* embryos. RFP-positive cells could be detected in 4% (6/150) of injected embryos within the endogenous *kif5aa* expression domain (Fig. 3B,C; Table 1), while the remaining 96% of embryos did not show any RFP expression. We observed RFP-expressing cells in the spinal cord, hindbrain, cerebellum, and motoneurons. Insertion in the *kif5aa* locus was confirmed by PCR and subsequent sequence analysis (Fig. 3E). In contrast to experiments on the two *eGFP* transgenes, however, we did not observe embryos with extensive red fluorescent labeling, indicating that knock-in efficiency was lower (76% of RFP-positive cells when using the *eGFP* knock-in set

Figure 1. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knock-in of *KalTA4* into the *Tg(neurod:eGFP)* transgenic line. (A) A schematic of the donor plasmid consisting of an N-terminal *eGFPbait* with two sgRNA target sites (in orange, PAM sequence in blue). After co-injection of the donor with *Cas9* mRNA and one *eGFP* sgRNA, insertion at the *eGFP* locus occurs. In-frame fusion of the E2A-KalTA4-pA cassette results in a multicistronic mRNA after successful integration at the *eGFP* locus. Due to the E2A sequence, the N-terminal *eGFP* peptide is cleaved from the *KalTA4* protein by cotranslational ribosomal skipping. (B) A 6-dpf *Tg(neurod:eGFP) × Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* embryo showing a switch from *eGFP*- to RFP-expressing cells upon injection of the donor plasmid together with sgRNA *eGFP* 1 and *Cas9* mRNA. Successful in-frame knock-in of the donor plasmid into the *eGFP* open reading frame results in *KalTA4* expression. Consecutively, *KalTA4* binds to *UAS:RFP* and triggers RFP expression, leading to the *eGFP* to RFP switch. Scale bar, 300 μ m. *Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* transgenic fish express *eGFP* in the lens (driven by the crystalline promoter *cry1:eGFP*), thus allowing *UAS:RFP* transgenic fish to be identified by expression of *eGFP* in their lens (since without transactivation by *KalTA4*, no RFP is expressed from this transgene). (C) No RFP-expressing cells could be observed in *Tg(neurod:eGFP) × Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* embryos injected with the donor plasmid and *Cas9* mRNA but without sgRNA *eGFP* 1. Scale bar, 300 μ m. (D) A representative gel of PCR products obtained from the founder fish shown in B, demonstrating targeted knock-in of the donor plasmid at the *eGFP* locus. PCR primers were placed flanking the *neurod:eGFP* locus and outward directed in the donor plasmid. Positions of PCR primers and the resulting fragment nomenclature are shown in A. (E) Sequence analysis at the 5' and 3' junctions of five representative targeted integration events. (Orange) sgRNA binding site, (red) base pair changes or insertions. The PAM sequence NGG required for cleavage by *Cas9* (Jinek et al. 2012) is shown in blue. Note that only the $\Delta 6$ integration events correspond to in-frame insertions of the E2A-KalTA4 sequence. Due to three possible frames and two integration directions, only 16.6% of integration events will result in RFP expression.

Figure 2. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knock-in of *KalTA4* into the *Tg(vsx2:eGFP)* transgenic line. (A) *Tg(vsx2:eGFP)* shows eGFP expression in retina progenitor cells and the hindbrain region in 2dpf transgenic embryos. Scale bar, 100 μ m. (B) *eGFP* to *KalTA4* conversion in retina progenitor cells of *Tg(vsx2:eGFP) × Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* embryos as revealed by RFP expression. The same donor plasmid and sgRNA *eGFP* 1 as in Figure 1 were used. Scale bar, 50 μ m. (C) *eGFP* to *KalTA4* conversion was seen as well in the developing hindbrain. Zoom-in of region indicated in A. Scale bar, 50 μ m. (D) Using PCR, the targeted integration events could be verified. Sequence analysis of the 5' junction and the 3' junction. (E) F1 embryo (from founder A) with stable expression of the *Tg(vsx2:eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4; UAS:RFP)* transgene activating RFP expression from *UAS:RFP* in the retina. Scale bar, 300 μ m. (F) List of 5' junctions of alleles identified in stable transgenic founders. Within 12 screened potential founder fish, six alleles could be detected, whereas four founders showed in-frame integration of the transgene. (Orange) sgRNA binding site; (blue) PAM sequence NGG.

vs. 4% when using the *kif5aa* knock-in set) when using a less efficient sgRNA (66% of indel mutations for sgRNA *eGFP* 1 vs. 22% for sgRNA *kif5aa* 1).

Combination of multiple sgRNAs to increase knock-in efficiency

To overcome this reduced efficiency and demonstrate the flexibility of the CRISPR/Cas9 system for targeted knock-in, we co-injected *Cas9* mRNA, the *eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4* donor plasmid, and the more efficient sgRNA *eGFP* 1 together with sgRNA *kif5aa* 1 (Fig. 4A). While sgRNA *eGFP* 1 guides Cas9 nuclease activity to cut the donor plasmid in the *eGFPbait* sequence, sgRNA *kif5aa* 1 is used to target the endogenous target locus. By more efficient cutting of the donor plasmid (66% vs. 22% indel rates for sgRNA *eGFP* 1 and sgRNA *kif5aa* 1, respectively), more linearized donor is expected to be present for integration.

Indeed, we observed a 2.5-fold increase in integration of the DNA cassette at the specific *kif5aa* locus (9.6% [58/604] vs. 4% [6/150]) (Table 1). Furthermore, 3.3% (20/604) of the injected embryos now exhibited a broad RFP expression in the entire *kif5aa* expression domain (Fig. 4B,C). Successful integration events were confirmed by PCR and subsequent sequence analysis (Fig. 4D). These results indicate that, when only low-efficiency sgRNAs are available to target the chromosomal sequence of interest, as in the case of *kif5aa*, the integration frequency can be significantly

improved by the co-injection of a more efficient sgRNA for in vivo cleavage of the donor vector. In addition, this experiment demonstrated that our knock-in strategy is independent from any sequence homology between the target locus and the bait sequence in the donor plasmid.

Homology-independent knock-in with TALE nucleases

Because the current design of the CRISPR/Cas9 system allows one to target statistically one sequence every 32 bp, in specific cases it may be necessary to use TALENs to target DSBs at specific loci (Hwang et al. 2013b). Therefore, we wanted to test the compatibility of our knock-in method with TALE nucleases in zebrafish. We designed a TALEN pair targeting the *kif5aa* locus. As previously described for our sgRNAs, we estimated the TALEN efficiency at inducing indel mutations by PCR amplification on genomic DNA from a pool of ten injected embryos and subsequent sequence analysis of individual PCR clones. Thereby, this TALEN pair showed an efficiency of 60% (6/10 clones carrying mutations) at inducing indel mutations (Supplemental Table 1). For the visualization of integration events, we designed a plasmid donor with a *kif5a* bait sequence followed by an *UAS:eGFP* cassette (Supplemental Fig. 6A). This DNA reporter construct shows eGFP expres-

sion independently from the direction and the frame of its insertion, allowing an easy assessment of integration events. Injections of the donor plasmid together with the *kif5aa* TALEN mRNAs were performed into the double transgenic line *Tg(UAS-mcherry) × Et(-1.5hsp70l:Gal4-VP16)s1013t* (Scott et al. 2007) that expresses Gal4 and mcherry in the central nervous system and the notochord. This approach can be used without any prior knowledge of the target gene expression pattern and allows an efficient preselection of potential founders with targeted integration. More than 30% of injected embryos showed correct eGFP expression in the notochord compared to controls (injection without TALEN mRNAs or injection of TALEN mRNAs plus donor with scrambled bait sequence) (Supplemental Fig. 6B) showing no eGFP signal. Integration events were verified by PCR and sequence analysis (Supplemental Fig. 6C,D). In a few cases, eGFP fluorescence could also be detected in muscle cells in control embryos, which may correspond to rare random DNA integration or persistence of plasmid DNA at later developmental stages.

Germline transmission of knocked-in transgenes

To investigate the transmission of knocked-in donor plasmids through the germline to the next generation, we raised embryos of the *Tg(neurod:eGFP)* transgenic line that were injected with the *eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4* donor plasmid together with sgRNA *eGFP* 1 and *Cas9* mRNA. This allowed an unbiased determination of the

Figure 3. CRISPR/Cas-mediated knock-in of *KalTA4* into the *kif5aa* locus. (A) *Kif5aa* expression in zebrafish embryos revealed by in situ hybridization. Dorsal (A') and lateral (A'') views of 24-hpf embryos and dorsal view of 3-dpf embryo head and trunk region (A''') showing *kif5aa* expression in various brain regions and the spinal cord. (B,C) Representative confocal pictures of a *Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* embryo showing RFP expression in the brain and spinal cord upon injection of the *kif5aa* bait donor plasmid together with sgRNA *kif5aa* 1 and Cas9 mRNA. Lateral view of the spinal cord (B',C'), dorsal view of the head and trunk region (B'',C''), and high magnification of the spinal cord region (B''',C''') showing RFP expression in motoneurons. Scale bar, 50 μ m. (sc) Spinal cord, (cb) cerebellum, (hb) hindbrain, (mn) motoneuron (cf. the GFP expression in the *kif5aa* BAC transgenic line reported by Kawasaki et al. [2012]). (D) A schematic of the used donor plasmid consisting of an N-terminal *kif5aa* bait with the sgRNA target site. The same E2A-KalTA4-pA cassette as in Figure 1A was used. (E) Sequence analysis at the 5' and 3' junctions of representative targeted integration events after PCR-based amplification. Binding sites of primers used for amplification are shown in D. (Orange) sgRNA binding site; (blue) PAM sequence NGG; (red) integrated additional base pairs. Note that the sgRNA is targeting the minus strand.

germline transmission rate without prior selection for positive integration events. Potential founder fish were out-crossed to *Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* embryos and screened for RFP expression. We could detect germline transmission of in-frame knock-in events in three out of 29 (10.3%) F0 fish (Fig. 5B; Table 2). The degree of transmission of the knocked-in transgene to the next generation thereby ranged from 1.2% (3/244) to 34.2% (93/272) in F1 progeny (Supplemental Table 2). If no RFP expression was

observed in at least 50 embryos, these were pooled and analyzed by PCR for out-of frame insertion of the targeting vector not resulting in expression of a functional *KalTA4*. In six further founders, we detected forward insertion of the *KalTA4* transgene by PCR, and sequence analysis confirmed out-of-frame insertion into the *eGFP* locus (Fig. 5C; see Fig. 5D for a list of sequenced 5' junctions). This argues for a germline transmission rate of forward integrated donors of 31% (9/29 tested founders) (Table 2).

Taking advantage of the visual readout of integration, we also selectively raised *Tg(neurod:eGFP) × Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* embryos injected with the *eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4* donor plasmid together with sgRNA *eGFP* 1 that showed expression of RFP in parts of the *neurod:eGFP* expression domain. Within the pool of RFP-selected embryos, we found germline transmission of two in-frame knock-in events in five founders screened (40%, 2/5) (Table 2). This argues for an enrichment of in-frame integration by selection for RFP expression in F0 fish as expected.

Similarly, for the *Tg(vsx2:eGFP)* transgene, we could identify transmission through the germline at a comparable rate of 50% (6/12) in RFP-selected *Tg(vsx2:eGFP) × Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* embryos (Table 2), with 33% (4/12) showing in-frame integration (Fig. 2E; see Fig. 2F for a list of sequenced 5' junctions).

The identical expression pattern of RFP and eGFP clearly argues for the insertion of the *eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4* transgene into the *eGFP* locus as confirmed by PCR analysis.

To further confirm locus-specific knock-in events, we performed Southern blot analysis. With a probe hybridizing to the *neurod* locus flanking sequence (Fig. 5A), we could detect a specific band of the expected size (6.6 kb) for insertion of the donor plasmid into the *neurod:eGFP* locus in the progeny of founder C (Fig. 5E, black arrow). Furthermore, the probe detected a 2.7-kb band in the wild-type zebrafish embryos corresponding to the endogenous *neurod* locus (white arrowhead), present also in all other samples as expected. In the transgenic animals used for our knock-in experiments, this band was accompanied by a smaller 2.6-kb band corresponding to the *neurod:eGFP* BAC transgene (black arrowhead), as well as an additional weaker band of 4.4 kb (asterisk), that likely corresponded to a partially digested fragment (see Fig. 5A for a graphic explanation). The signals corresponding to the transgenic locus were much more intense than the wild-type one, consistent with the presence of multiple transgene copies in the *Tg(neurod:eGFP)* line (Fig. 5E, inset), which is frequently observed in classical BAC transgenesis used to generate this line (Obholzer et al. 2008). In the knock-in animals derived from founder C, the bands corresponding to the *neurod:eGFP* transgene were no longer detected and were replaced by the 6.6-kb band resulting from the *KalTA4* integration.

To examine if multiple copies of donor plasmid were integrated, we performed PCR analysis on DNA of founder progeny. We used five different primer combinations to detect 5' and 3' junctions of integrations at the target locus and potential head-to-head, tail-to-tail, or head-to-tail plasmid concatemers (Supplemental Fig. 8A). We detected head-to-tail concatemer formation as well as potential single-copy integration in different stable lines, as shown in Supplemental Figure 8B. These results were further confirmed using a *KalTA4* transgene-specific probe in Southern blot analysis (Supplemental Fig. 8C,D).

This indicates that, in our approach, similar to ISceI-mediated transgenesis (Thermes et al. 2002), small concatemers can integrate at the target locus. For most applications this should not create any inconvenience, but given the high number of founders generated, single-copy integration can be identified if needed as shown here (Supplemental Fig. 8).

Figure 4. CRISPR/Cas-mediated knock-in of *KalTA4* into the *kif5aa* locus using the *eGFPbait* donor plasmid. (A) For integration of the E2A-KalTA4-pA cassette into the *kif5aa* locus, we used the *eGFPbait* donor plasmid in combination with two different sgRNAs. While sgRNA *kif5aa* 1 guides cleavage to the endogenous *kif5aa* locus, sgRNA *eGFP* 1 is employed for cleavage of the donor plasmid. (B,C) Representative confocal pictures of *Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* 2-dpf embryos showing RFP expression in various brain regions and the spinal cord. Dorsal view (B',C') of the brain region and lateral view of an entire embryo (B'',C'') showing RFP expression in the whole length of the spinal cord and in the midbrain. Scale bar (B',C'): 50 μ m, (B'',C''): 200 μ m. (dc) Diencephalon, (cb) cerebellum, (ot) optic tectum, (hb) hindbrain, (mb) midbrain, (sc) spinal cord. (D) Sequence analysis at the 5' junction of representative targeted integration events after PCR-based amplification. Binding sites of primers used for amplification are shown in A. (Black) *kif5aa* locus; (blue) NGG PAM sequences for sgRNA *kif5aa* 1 and sgRNA *eGFP* 1; (green) parts of the *eGFP* bait sequence; (red) integrated additional base pairs. Note that, in this case, due to the frame difference between the *kif5aa* and *eGFP* genes, only +2 or -1 indels will produce functional fusion protein.

Analysis of potential off-target indel mutations and integration events

As it was recently shown that CRISPR/Cas9 nucleases show a high frequency of off-target mutagenesis in human cells (Fu et al. 2013), we analyzed off-target indel mutations or integrations in our approach. Using the fuzznuc program from the EMBOSS bioinformatics suite, we identified no potential off-target binding sites of sgRNA *eGFP* 1 in the zebrafish genome (Zv9 assembly) with up to three mismatches. Two sequences showed four mismatches and a conserved PAM sequence (5'-NGG) and 19 sequences five mismatches and a conserved PAM sequence (5'-NGG) compared to the original sgRNA sequence. Of these, 14 were annotated as part of a gene in the UCSC database and were selected for further examination (Supplemental Table 3). Eleven could be amplified and checked for

mutations by T7 endonuclease I digestion in pools of *Tg(neurod:eGFP) × Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* embryos with and without injection of sgRNA *eGFP* 1, *Cas9* mRNA, and the *eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4* donor plasmid.

As expected, we could detect T7E1-mediated cleavage at the *neurod:eGFP* locus in the pool of injected embryos (Supplemental Fig. 9). In contrast, no mutations could be detected at eight of the 11 potential off-target loci tested. For off#7 we saw the same T7E1 activity in controls as in injected embryos, and we determined by sequencing of PCR products that this was caused by a polymorphism in the *Tg(neurod:eGFP) × Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* genetic background (16:43707701–43707722: TGTT TATTTTTTGTTTTTTTA → TG- -A- - - -TGTTTTTTTA). At two loci (off#1, off#8), we detected T7E1-mediated cleavage that was more prominent in injected embryos compared to controls (Supplemental Fig. 9). By direct sequencing of PCR clones from these loci, we did not detect any indel mutations at the potential off-target site off#8 in 33 clones (0/33 clones carrying mutations), arguing for a cleavage frequency <3% at this locus. For off#1, we sequenced 34 clones. Thus we detected the presence of a polymorphic microsatellite region with various alleles within our amplicon (1:40240770–40240783: GTGTGTGTGTGTGT) that would lead to fragment sizes, after T7E1 cleavage, of around 140 bp + 250 bp. Furthermore, we detected no indel mutations at the potential off-target site (0/34 clones carrying mutations). Also at this locus, the cleavage frequency of sgRNA *eGFP* 1/Cas9 must be <3%.

To check for knock-in of our donor plasmid at the two off-target sites, off#1 and off#8, we looked for plasmid insertion by PCR at these two locations in injected embryos and could not detect any evidence for off-target insertion (Supplemental Fig. 10).

Similarly, when analyzing the progeny of one founder fish [*Tg(neurod:eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4)*—founder H], no integration of our donor plasmid at these two potential off-target genomic locations could be observed, consistent with our Southern blot data.

Discussion

In the experiments described here, we showed for the first time in an in vivo model that CRISPR/Cas9-mediated DSBs can be used to efficiently knock-in donor plasmids at predetermined target sites. We were able to knock-in donors as large as 5.7 kb compared to up to 1 kb when gene targeting was performed by HR in zebrafish (Zu et al. 2013).

In previous cell culture studies, Cristea et al. (2013) showed that including a short DNA sequence bearing the nuclease target

Figure 5. Analysis of stable germline transmission of the *Tg(neurod:eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4)* transgene. (A) Schematic depicting the Southern blot design to detect *KalTA4* transgene integration. The *neurod* locus-specific probe 1 detects a 2.7-kb fragment after *HindIII* digest in the wild-type allele. The transgenic BAC *neurod:eGFP* locus is digested into a 2.6-kb fragment and, in the case of a partial digest in the BAC backbone, into a 4.4-kb fragment. After insertion of the *KalTA4* cassette, a 6.6-kb fragment is detected. (B) Brightfield and fluorescent images of a transgenic *Tg(neurod:eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4)* embryo at 2 dpf. (C) Screening for transgene integration by PCR in eight potential founders. Two show the expected fragment size (478 bp) (cf. Fig. 1A for primer positions and amplicon size). Note that the amplicon of founder B is slightly larger, as confirmed by sequencing and shown in D. (D) Sequences of 5' junction sites of alleles identified in stable transgenic founders. Out of 11 founders showing stable transgene integration and transmission, five had an in-frame integration of the transgene. (Orange) sgRNA binding site; (blue) PAM sequence NGG; (red) integrated additional base pairs. (E) Analysis of the stable founder C for site-specific transgene integration by Southern blot analysis. As controls, wild-type and *Tg(neurod:eGFP)* embryos were used. Compare the schematic shown in A for expected fragment sizes. The 2.7-kb wild-type *neurod* fragment can be seen in all three samples (white arrow). The *Tg(neurod:eGFP)* sample shows a further fragment at 2.6 kb with greater intensity (black arrow) consistent with multiple insertions of the BAC construct. A shorter exposure is shown below to better distinguish the two separate bands. A further fragment at 4.4 kb is visible (asterisk), probably arising from incomplete digest of the *neurod:eGFP* BAC transgene. In founder C, the *neurod:eGFP* band is no longer visible—instead, a fragment at 6.6 kb corresponding to the integration of *KalTA4* into the *eGFP* sequence is detected.

Table 2. Rate of germline transmission of *KalTA4* knock-in into the *eGFP* locus

Pool of F0 fish screened	Number of fish screened	Founder with forward integration	Founder with forward integration in-frame	Rate of germline transmission
<i>Tg(neurod:eGFP)</i> Injected embryos without selection	29	9	3	31% (9/29)
<i>Tg(neurod:eGFP) × Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)</i> Injected embryos screened for RFP expression	5	2	2	40% (2/5)
<i>Tg(vsx2:eGFP) × Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)</i> Injected embryos screened for RFP expression	12	6	4	50% (6/12)

sequence onto a plasmid (that we call bait sequence) was sufficient for targeted integration at the nuclease chromosomal target sequence by homology-independent pathways of DSB repair upon cotransfection of plasmid and nuclease expression vectors. In contrast, Maresca et al. (2013) reported a different design where further cleavage of the integrated plasmid was prevented due to the specific utilization of nucleases with FokI mutants that only heterodimerize. In our case, using CRISPR/Cas9, we showed that both designs were efficient, since recleaving of the integrated sequence is possible in the case of the *KalTA4* insertion into the *eGFP* locus but impossible after the insertion of the same DNA cassette into the *kif5aa* locus, as shown in Figure 4. In the first case, upon integration and end-joining in the absence of indels, we expect to re-create a complete sgRNA target sequence necessary for Cas9 activity, while in the second case, a hybrid sequence between the endogenous gene and the GFP bait sequence will be generated and no longer be recognized by the sgRNAs. In our study, we have not examined which homology-independent mechanisms are mediating DNA integration. Further studies would be necessary to determine if classical NHEJ or alternative end-joining pathways are involved. Nevertheless, in agreement with previous studies in cell culture systems (Maresca et al. 2013), classical NHEJ is the most likely mechanism involved.

In order to test our knock-in method we chose to target *eGFP* transgenes, and we have shown that our *eGFP*bait-E2A-*KalTA4* construct can be directly applied to efficiently convert any *eGFP* into a *KalTA4* transgenic line. Given the wealth of *eGFP* enhancer and gene trap lines previously generated in zebrafish (Kawakami et al. 2004; Parinov et al. 2004; Ellingsen et al. 2005), this offers new possibilities for deeper analysis of the marked cell types by tissue-specific expression of various UAS-driven constructs. The same approach, using the same target plasmid and sgRNA, can also be used in other species, such as *Drosophila*, where large collections of *eGFP* transgenic lines exist and CRISPR/Cas9 has been shown to work (Gratz et al. 2013).

Previously, when performing a knock-in by HR, Zu et al. (2013) showed germline transmission in zebrafish at rates of 1.5%, using highly efficient TALEN pairs (up to 98% indel rates). In our case, the most efficient nuclease, sgRNA *eGFP* 1/Cas9, had an indel mutation rate of 66%. Nevertheless, we observed germline transmission rates for the *neurod:eGFP* locus up to 31%. Even just taking in-frame integrations into account, with 10.3%, the rate of functional targeting of the locus was still higher. Taking advantage of positive selection, as done when screening for RFP-positive founders, we could increase this rate up to 40%. This high rate of in-frame founders after selection held true for a second locus, *Tg(vsx2:eGFP)*,

with four in-frame insertion events in 12 screened founder fish (4/12, 33%). Therefore, it seems that knock-in events by homology-independent DSB repair mechanisms are more frequent and lead to higher rates of germline transmission than HR-mediated events. This is in line with previous studies that showed that NHEJ, the major homology-independent mechanism of DSB repair, is at least 10-fold more active than HR during early zebrafish development (Hagmann et al. 1998; Dai et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2012).

Importantly, when targeting the *kif5aa* locus, we found that integration efficiency was considerably increased by using a combination of the *kif5aa*-specific

sgRNA *kif5aa* 1 and sgRNA *eGFP* 1, with its corresponding *eGFP* DNA donor (Fig. 4). This strategy can be easily applied to any gene of interest without designing locus-specific donor plasmids. Our efficient sgRNA 1 for *eGFP* seems to direct only a very low degree of off-target nuclease activity, and no integration of the donor vector at predicted off-target sites could be detected. Therefore, sgRNA *eGFP* 1 together with its donor plasmid can be used to efficiently insert *KalTA4* at any genomic locus targeted by a site-specific sgRNA, even of modest efficacy. Furthermore, *KalTA4* can be easily replaced with reporter genes such as GFP to generate fluorescent fusion proteins, or other heterologous transcription factors such as TetR or LexA.

Our strategy, due to its simplicity and high efficiency, may become a new standard to generate mutant alleles that can be readily visualized and screened for in different transgenic backgrounds. This has the advantage of creating reporter lines at the same time (as compared to BAC recombineering), as we demonstrated for the *kif5aa* locus. The possibility to select for integration events already in the F0 will greatly reduce the number of animals to raise and screen to obtain mutants, so far blindly selected by PCR. In addition, the simplicity of the DNA target vector preparation will offer an easier alternative to BAC transgenesis. In fact, as bait sequences are of small size, they can be generated easily by PCR or oligonucleotide cloning, and no long homology stretches between donor and target site are required.

However, in contrast to gene targeting by HR, which allows for precise, predetermined transgene insertion sites, knock-in events mediated by homology-independent mechanisms have to be selected for appropriate in-frame insertions. In our case, this did not seem to be a major limitation due to the high knock-in rate. In many cases, choosing target sequences within introns and employing splice acceptor sites in the donor plasmid will avoid problems due to imprecise end-joining, and it could even further increase the number of functional insertions. As a great advantage, CRISPR/Cas9 allows the simultaneous targeting of several sequences (Cong et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2013) and may also be used for gene replacement by targeting sequences upstream of and downstream from a given locus at the same time.

Methods

Fish lines and husbandry

For this study, the *Tg(neurod:eGFP)* (Obholzer et al. 2008), *Tg(vsx2:eGFP)* (Kimura et al. 2006), *Tg(pou4f3:mGFP)* (Xiao et al. 2005), *Tg(UAS:mCherry) × Et(1.5hsp70l:Gal4-VP16)s1013t16* (Scott

et al. 2007), and *Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* transgenic lines were used. Breeding and raising of zebrafish followed standard protocols.

Molecular cloning

The *UAS:RFP/cry1:eGFP* construct was cloned combining the *cry1:eGFP* fragment (Balciunas et al. 2004) with an 14×*UAS* sequence upstream of *RFP* (Koster and Fraser 2001) in a vector containing Tol2 sites (Kawakami et al. 2000). The *eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4* donor plasmid was generated by forward insertion of a PCR-amplified *eGFP* fragment into the pCRII-TOPO (TOPO TA Cloning Kit Dual Promoter, Invitrogen) vector. Primers used were (5' to 3') *eGFP_fwd*: ATAGTGGTACCATGGTGAAGGAGGAGGAGC, *eGFP_rev*: GTAGCGGCTGAAGCACTGCACGC. The *E2A-KalTA4-pA* fragment was generated by fusion of individual PCR products using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Thermo Scientific); *E2A* was amplified with the primers (5' to 3') *E2A_fwd*: TGCAGATATCCAGGAGGAGGACAGTGCTACTAATTATGCTC, *E2A_rev*: TTCCTCCTCCGGGACCTGGGTTGCTC from a previously generated *E2A* sequence (Szymczak et al. 2004). *KalTA4-pA* was amplified with (5' to 3') *KalTA4_fwd*: CCCAGGTCCCGGAGGAGGAAAAGTCTC, *KalTA4_rev*: CATGCTCGAGTCACTAGTTCTAGAGCG, using the 4×*Kaloo* vector as template (Distel et al. 2009). Subsequently, both fragments were fused, amplified, and inserted into pCRII-TOPO-*eGFPbait* with *EcoRV* and *XhoI*. The *GFPbait-E2A-KalTA4-pA* donor plasmid was generated by forward insertion of a PCR-amplified *GFP* fragment into the pCRII-TOPO vector. Primers used (5' to 3') were *GFP_fwd*: ATGAGTAAAGGAGAAGAAC, *GFP_rev*: TCCGTATGTTGCATCACC. The *E2A-KalTA4* fragment was transferred by an *EcoRV* and *XhoI* digest from the *eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4* donor plasmid. The *kif5aa* bait sequence was amplified from genomic zebrafish (TL genotype) DNA using the following primers (5' to 3'): *Kif5aa_fwd*: TCTTCAACCACATCTTCTCC, *Kif5aa_rev*: TACCTTGATGTGGAAGTCCAG, and inserted into the pCRII-TOPO vector. The *E2A-KalTA4-pA* fragment was transferred by an *EcoRV* and *XhoI* digest from the *eGFPbait-E2A-KalTA4* donor plasmid. To generate the *kif5aabait-UAS:eGFP-pA* vector, an 4×*UAS:eGFP-pA* fragment (Akitake et al. 2011) was excised by *XhoI/SpeI* digestion and inserted into the *XhoI/XbaI*-digested *kif5aa* bait vector. All constructs were verified by sequencing.

TALEN and sgRNA generation

TALENs were assembled by a method derived from Huang et al. (2011). For each TALEN subunit, the fragment containing the 16 RVD segment was obtained from single-unit plasmids kindly provided by Bo Zhang (Peking University, China). The assembled TALE repeats were subcloned in a pCS2 vector containing appropriate $\Delta 152$ Nter TALE, +63 Cter TALE, and FokI cDNA sequences with the appropriate half-TALE repeat (derived from the original pCS2 vector [Huang et al. 2011]). Sequences of encoded TALEN proteins are listed in Supplemental Table 4. sgRNAs guide sequences (listed in Supplemental Table 4) were cloned into the DR274 (Addgene ref 42250) plasmid vector for synthesis of sgRNA by T7 RNA polymerase as recommended (Hwang et al. 2013b).

Production of sgRNAs, Cas9 mRNA, and TALEN mRNAs

sgRNAs and *Cas9* mRNA were generated as described previously (Hwang et al. 2013b). TALEN expression vectors were linearized by *NotI* digestion. Capped RNAs were synthesized using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE SP6 Kit (Life Technologies) and purified using the NucleoSpin RNA II Kit (Macherey-Nagel).

Injection of zebrafish embryos

TALEN mRNAs or sgRNA/*Cas9* mRNA were co-injected into one-cell stage zebrafish embryos with fresh Qiagen midiprep (Qiagen) purified donor DNA. Each embryo was injected with 1 nl of solution containing ~75 ng/ μ l of each TALEN mRNA or ~7 ng/ μ l of sgRNA and ~150 ng/ μ l *Cas9* mRNA together with ~7 ng/ μ l of donor plasmid. When two sgRNAs were co-injected, 7 ng/ μ l of each sgRNA were used. On the next day, injected embryos were inspected under a stereomicroscope. Only embryos that developed normally were assayed. Fluorescent protein expression was monitored over consecutive days. Genomic DNA was extracted from either single embryos or pools of embryos (as indicated) and then used for PCR, mapping, and DNA sequencing experiments as described below.

Insertion mapping

For insertion mapping, the primers used are listed in Supplemental Table 5. Genomic DNA was extracted following standard protocols. PCR was performed using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Thermo Scientific). For sequence analysis of PCR products, PCR amplicons were tailed using Taq Polymerase (Life Technologies), cloned into the pCRII-TOPO (TOPO TA Cloning Kit Dual Promoter, Life Technologies) vector, and sent for sequencing. Mutant alleles were identified by comparison to the wild-type unmodified sequence. Mapping products were compared to the theoretical fusion products of cutting sites.

Detection of germline transmission

Potential founder fish were out-crossed to the *Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* transgenic line. Fluorescent protein expression was monitored over the following days of development and the rate of mosaicism of germline transmission determined for RFP-positive in-frame founders. If no RFP signal was detected in at least 50 embryos, embryos were pooled, and genomic DNA was extracted and screened for locus-specific transgene integration by PCR. Subsequently PCR amplicons were sequenced.

Immunohistochemistry

Zebrafish larvae were processed for immunohistochemistry using standard protocols. Briefly, 4-dpf larvae were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; w/v, pH 7.4) overnight at 4°C, equilibrated in 30% sucrose (w/v) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) overnight at 4°C, and embedded in Tissue-Tek O.C.T. Compound (Sakura Finetek). Blocks were then frozen at -80°C on dry ice. Embedded larvae were sectioned horizontally on a cryostat (Leica Instruments). The 12- μ m sections were collected on Superfrost Plus slides (Fisher Scientific), air dried for 30 min–2 h, and rehydrated in PBS. Sections were incubated with blocking reagent containing 10% (v/v) normal goat serum (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) and 0.1% Tween-20 (v/v; Sigma) in PBS (pH 7.4) for 1 h at room temperature. Slides were left overnight in primary antibody diluted in blocking solution at 4°C in a humidified chamber. The following day, sections were washed three times in PBS/0.1% Tween-20 and then incubated for 2 h in a blocking solution containing Alexa fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibody diluted 1:500 (Invitrogen Molecular Probes) with DAPI nuclear marker (Sigma), washed three times in PBS/0.1% Tween-20, and mounted in Fluoromount (Sigma). Slides were air-dried in the dark from 4 h to overnight. Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope (Zeiss). Primary antibody used and concentrations: anti-GFP antibody (GeneTex), 1:1000; anti-RFP antibody (Evrogen), 1:400.

In situ hybridization

In situ hybridization was performed on 24-hpf- and 3-dpf-old embryos (TL) as described (Di Donato et al. 2013). For generation of a *kif5aa* specific antisense-probe, the following primers were used (5' to 3'): Kif5aa-is-fwd: AGCATCGTCTACTCGACGGGGT TTT, Kif5aa-is-rev: GCTGCTCCCGTCTTACTGACCTTCT.

Microscopy

For low magnification imaging, a Leica MZ FLIII stereomicroscope (Leica) equipped with a Leica DFC310FX digital camera (Leica) was used. Confocal microscopy was performed using a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope (Zeiss) and a 40× or 25× water immersion or 10× objective. Z volumes were acquired with a 1- to 3-μm resolution and images processed using Adobe Photoshop and Adobe Illustrator software. Three-dimensional reconstructions of Z-volumes were done using Imaris.

Genomic DNA extraction for Southern blot analysis

Genomic DNA was isolated from pools of 20–50 out-crossed embryos harvested 5 dpf. Samples were digested for 1 h at 55°C in 0.5 mL lysis buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 10 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, and 2% SDS) with proteinase K (0.17 mg/mL, Roche Diagnostics) and centrifuged for 10 min at 14,000 rpm. The supernatant was transferred to a phase lock gel tube (Dutscher), 0.5 mL of phenol/chloroform (Life Technologies) added, briefly mixed and centrifuged for 10 min at 14,000 rpm. One milliliter of 100% ethanol and 10% of 3 M sodium acetate, pH 6.0 were added to the supernatant and centrifuged for 30 min at 14,000 rpm at 4°C. The pellet was washed with 70% ethanol, dried, and resuspended in 100 μL H₂O.

Southern blot analysis

Genomic DNA (3–5 μg) was digested overnight with 50 units of HindIII (New England Biolabs, High Fidelity) restriction enzyme. The digested genomic DNA was separated by standard gel electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel in 1× TAE buffer. Transfer of DNA was done overnight by upward capillarity transfer in 10× SSC to a Hybond N+ membrane (Amersham Biosciences). The membrane was UV cross-linked using a UV cross-linker (Fisher Biotech). A *neurod* locus-specific probe (565 bp, probe 1) and an E2A-KalTA4-specific probe (491 bp, probe 2) were amplified using the PCR DIG Probe Synthesis Kit (Roche), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Probes were amplified starting from genomic wild-type DNA (AB) or the *eGFP*bait-E2A-KalTA4 plasmid as templates, respectively. Fwd primer probe 1 (5' to 3'): CAACACACCCTAGGTATG TGATCTG, Rev primer probe 1 (5' to 3'): GTGATAAGTACGTTCT CACAAGTTC. Fwd primer probe 2 (5' to 3'): CAGTGTAATAAT TATGCTCTC, Rev primer probe 2 (5' to 3'): CTCTGTCCCTTGT TAGAAGACTC. Hybridization was done overnight at 68°C, and for detection, the CDP-Star Kit (Roche) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Insertion mapping and concatemer detection

For insertion mapping and concatemer detection, the primers used are listed in Supplemental Table 5. PCR was performed using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Thermo Scientific). For sequence analysis of PCR products, PCR amplicons were tailed using Taq Polymerase (Life Technologies), cloned into the pCRII-TOPO (TOPO TA Cloning Kit Dual Promoter, Life Technologies) vector, and sequenced. Mutant alleles were identified by comparison to the wild-type unmodified sequence. Mapping products were compared to the theoretical fusion products of cutting sites.

Identification of off-target sites and T7EI assay

Potential off-targets of sgRNA *eGFP* 1 (GGCGAGGGCGATGCCA CCTACGG) in the *Danio rerio* Zv9 assembly were identified using fuzznuc from the EMBOSS suite, and no off-targets bearing up to three mismatches were detected. Out of 21 sequences with up to five mismatches, 14 were annotated as part of genes in the UCSC database (Supplemental Table 3). For amplification of these loci and the *neurod:eGFP* locus, primers listed in Supplemental Table 6 were used.

Genomic DNA was isolated from pools of 25 5-dpf embryos of the *Tg(Tg(neurod:eGFP)) × Tg(UAS:RFP, cry1:eGFP)* cross with and without injection of the *eGFP*bait-E2A-KalTA4 donor plasmid together with sgRNA *eGFP* 1 and Cas9. PCR was performed using Phusion Polymerase (New England Biolabs) following the manufacturer's protocol. Five microliters of unpurified PCR product + 5 μL of NEBuffer 2 (2×) (New England Biolabs) were melted and annealed (95°C for 5 min, 95°C to 25°C at −0.5°C/30 sec, and 4°C for 15 min) to form heteroduplex DNA. The annealed DNA was treated (or untreated) with 0.75 units of T7 endonuclease 1 (New England Biolabs) for 20 min at 37°C and run on a 2.4% agarose gel after stopping the reaction by adding 10 μL of Proteinase K (0.4 mg/μL) in 50% sucrose. To check for frequency of indel mutations at the off-target sites off#1 and off#8, PCR amplicons were tailed using Taq Polymerase (Life Technologies), cloned into the pCRII-TOPO (TOPO TA Cloning Kit Dual Promoter, Life Technologies) vector and sent for sequencing. Mutant alleles were identified by comparison to the wild-type unmodified sequence. For detection of the polymorphism at off#7, multiple PCR clones were sent for sequencing and alleles compared. For insertion mapping at the two off-target sites, the primers listed in Supplemental Table 6 were used.

Data access

Sequences of the primers are listed in the Methods and Supplemental Tables 5 and 6. The target sites of the sgRNAs and TALENs are listed in Supplemental Table 4. The TALEN RVD sequences are provided in Supplemental Table 4.

Acknowledgments

We thank A. Shkumatava, H. Baier, and L. Poggi for the *Tg(neurod:eGFP)*, *Tg(pou4f3:mGFP)*, and *Tg(vsx2:eGFP)* lines, respectively. We thank I. Rentero Rebollo and A. Shkumatava for critical reading of the manuscript. We also thank D. Balciunas for the UAS:RFP plasmid, C. Giovannangeli and members of the Del Bene laboratory for general discussion and comments, and M. Charpentier for the generation of the *kif5aa* TALEN plasmids and excellent technical help. We thank the members of the Developmental Biology Curie imaging facility (PICT-IBiSA@BDD, UMR3215/U934) for their help and advice with confocal microscopy. The Del Bene laboratory "Neural Circuits Development" is part of the Laboratoire d'Excellence (LABEX) entitled DEEP (ANR -11-LABX-0044), and of the École des Neurosciences de Paris Ile-de-France network. T.O.A. was supported by a Boehringer Ingelheim Fonds Ph.D. fellowship. This work has been supported by an ATIP/AVENIR program starting grant (F.D.B.), ERC-StG #311159 (F.D.B.), ANR TEFOR (J.P.C.), CNRS, INSERM, Institut Curie and Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle.

Author contributions: T.O.A., J.P.C., and F.D.B. conceived and designed experiments; J.P.C. prepared sgRNA constructs. A.D.C. performed the T7EI assays. T.O.A. and K.D. built donor constructs, carried out the PCR diagnosis, Southern blotting, and in situ hybridization. T.O.A. performed microinjections and microscopy and

F.D.B. prepared the cryosections. T.O.A., J.P.C., and F.D.B. wrote the manuscript.

References

- Akitake CM, Macurak M, Halpern ME, Goll MG. 2011. Transgenerational analysis of transcriptional silencing in zebrafish. *Dev Biol* **352**: 191–201.
- Balciunas D, Davidson AE, Sivasubbu S, Hermanson SB, Welle Z, Ekker SC. 2004. Enhancer trapping in zebrafish using the Sleeping Beauty transposon. *BMC Genomics* **5**: 62.
- Bedell VM, Wang Y, Campbell JM, Poshusta TL, Starker CG, Krug RG, Tan W, Penheiter SG, Ma AC, Leung AYH, et al. 2012. In vivo genome editing using a high-efficiency TALEN system. *Nature* **491**: 114–118.
- Campbell PD, Marlow FL. 2013. Temporal and tissue specific gene expression patterns of the zebrafish kinesin-1 heavy chain family, kif5s, during development. *Gene Expr Patterns* **13**: 271–279.
- Carlson DF, Tan W, Lillico SG, Stverakova D, Proudfoot C, Christian M, Voytas DF, Long CR, Whitelaw CB, Fahrenkrug SC. 2012. Efficient TALEN-mediated gene knockout in livestock. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **109**: 17382–17387.
- Cermak T, Doyle EL, Christian M, Wang L, Zhang Y, Schmidt C, Baller JA, Somia NV, Bogdanove AJ, Voytas DF. 2011. Efficient design and assembly of custom TALEN and other TAL effector-based constructs for DNA targeting. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**: e82.
- Chang N, Sun C, Gao L, Zhu D, Xu X, Zhu X, Xiong JW, Xi JJ. 2013. Genome editing with RNA-guided Cas9 nuclease in zebrafish embryos. *Cell Res* **23**: 465–472.
- Cho SW, Kim S, Kim JM, Kim JS. 2013. Targeted genome engineering in human cells with the Cas9 RNA-guided endonuclease. *Nat Biotechnol* **31**: 230–232.
- Cong L, Ran FA, Cox D, Lin S, Barretto R, Habib N, Hsu PD, Wu X, Jiang W, Marraffini LA, et al. 2013. Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. *Science* **339**: 819–823.
- Cristea S, Freyvert Y, Santiago Y, Holmes MC, Urnov FD, Gregory PD, Cost GJ. 2013. In vivo cleavage of transgene donors promotes nuclease-mediated targeted integration. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **110**: 871–880.
- Dai J, Cui X, Zhu Z, Hu W. 2010. Non-homologous end joining plays a key role in transgene concatemer formation in transgenic zebrafish embryos. *Int J Biol Sci* **6**: 756–768.
- Di Donato V, Auer TO, Duroure K, Del Bene F. 2013. Characterization of the calcium binding protein family in zebrafish. *PLoS ONE* **8**: e53299.
- Distel M, Wullmann ME, Köster RW. 2009. Optimized Gal4 genetics for permanent gene expression mapping in zebrafish. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **106**: 13365–13370.
- Ellingsen S, Laplante MA, König M, Kikuta H, Furmanek T, Hoivik EA, Becker TS. 2005. Large-scale enhancer detection in the zebrafish genome. *Development* **132**: 3799–3811.
- Fu Y, Foden JA, Khayter C, Maeder ML, Reyon D, Joung JK, Sander JD. 2013. High-frequency off-target mutagenesis induced by CRISPR-Cas nucleases in human cells. *Nat Biotechnol* **31**: 822–826.
- Gaj T, Gersbach CA, Barbas CF 3rd. 2013. ZFN, TALEN, and CRISPR/Cas-based methods for genome engineering. *Trends Biotechnol* **7**: 397–405.
- Gratz SJ, Cummings AM, Nguyen JN, Hamm DC, Donohue LK, Harrison MM, Wildonger J, O'Connor-Giles KM. 2013. Genome engineering of *Drosophila* with the CRISPR RNA-guided Cas9 nuclease. *Genetics* **194**: 1029–1035.
- Gupta A, Hall VL, Kok FO, Shin M, McNulty JC, Lawson ND, Wolfe SA. 2013. Targeted chromosomal deletions and inversions in zebrafish. *Genome Res* **23**: 1008–1017.
- Hagmann M, Bruggmann R, Xue L, Georgiev O, Schaffner W, Rungger D, Spaniol P, Gerster T. 1998. Homologous recombination and DNA-end joining reactions in zygotes and early embryos of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) and *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Biol Chem* **379**: 673–681.
- Huang P, Xiao A, Zhou M, Zhu Z, Lin S, Zhang B. 2011. Heritable gene targeting in zebrafish using customized TALENs. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**: 699–700.
- Hwang WY, Fu Y, Reyon D, Maeder ML, Kaini P, Sander JD, Joung JK, Peterson RT, Yeh JR. 2013a. Heritable and precise zebrafish genome editing using a CRISPR-Cas system. *PLoS ONE* **8**: e68708.
- Hwang WY, Fu Y, Reyon D, Maeder ML, Tsai SQ, Sander JD, Peterson RT, Yeh JR, Joung JK. 2013b. Efficient genome editing in zebrafish using a CRISPR-Cas system. *Nat Biotechnol* **31**: 227–229.
- Jaśin M. 1996. Genetic manipulation of genomes with rare-cutting endonucleases. *Trends Genet* **12**: 224–228.
- Jinek M, Chylinski K, Fonfara I, Hauer M, Doudna JA, Charpentier E. 2012. A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. *Science* **337**: 816–821.
- Kawakami K, Shima A, Kawakami N. 2000. Identification of a functional transposase of the Tol2 element, an Ac-like element from the Japanese medaka fish, and its transposition in the zebrafish germ lineage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **97**: 11403–11408.
- Kawakami K, Takeda H, Kawakami N, Kobayashi M, Matsuda N, Mishina M. 2004. A transposon-mediated gene trap approach identifies developmentally regulated genes in zebrafish. *Dev Cell* **7**: 133–144.
- Kawasaki T, Kurauchi K, Higashihata A, Deguchi T, Ishikawa Y, Yamauchi M, Sasanuma M, Hori H, Tsutsumi M, Wakamatsu Y, et al. 2012. Transgenic medaka fish which mimic the endogenous expression of neuronal kinesin, KIF5A. *Brain Res* **1480**: 12–21.
- Kimura Y, Okamura Y, Higashijima S. 2006. *alx*, a zebrafish homolog of *Chx10*, marks ipsilateral descending excitatory interneurons that participate in the regulation of spinal locomotor circuits. *J Neurosci* **26**: 5684–5697.
- Koster RW, Fraser SE. 2001. Tracing transgene expression in living zebrafish embryos. *Dev Biol* **233**: 329–346.
- Lim S, Wang Y, Yu X, Huang Y, Featherstone MS, Sampath K. 2013. A simple strategy for heritable chromosomal deletions in zebrafish via the combinatorial action of targeting nucleases. *Genome Biol* **14**: R69.
- Liu J, Gong L, Chang C, Liu C, Peng J, Chen J. 2012. Development of novel visual-plus quantitative analysis systems for studying DNA double-strand break repairs in zebrafish. *J Genet Genomics* **39**: 489–502.
- Mali P, Yang L, Esvelt KM, Aach J, Guell M, DiCarlo JE, Norville JE, Church GM. 2013. RNA-guided human genome engineering via Cas9. *Science* **339**: 823–826.
- Maresca M, Lin VG, Guo N, Yang Y. 2013. Obligate ligation-gated recombination (OblLiGaRe): Custom-designed nuclease-mediated targeted integration through nonhomologous end joining. *Genome Res* **23**: 539–546.
- Miller JC, Tan S, Qiao G, Barlow KA, Wang J, Xia DF, Meng X, Paschon DE, Leung E, Hinkley SJ, et al. 2011. A TALE nuclease architecture for efficient genome editing. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**: 143–148.
- Obholzer N, Wolfson S, Trapani JG, Mo W, Nechiporuk A, Busch-Nentwich E, Seiler C, Sidi S, Sollner C, Duncan RN, et al. 2008. Vesicular glutamate transporter 3 is required for synaptic transmission in zebrafish hair cells. *J Neurosci* **28**: 2110–2118.
- Parinov S, Kondrichin I, Korzh V, Emelyanov A. 2004. Tol2 transposon-mediated enhancer trap to identify developmentally regulated zebrafish genes in vivo. *Dev Dyn* **231**: 449–459.
- Reyon D, Tsai SQ, Khayter C, Foden JA, Sander JD, Joung JK. 2012. FLASH assembly of TALENs for high-throughput genome editing. *Nat Biotechnol* **30**: 460–465.
- Sander JD, Cade L, Khayter C, Reyon D, Peterson RT, Joung JK, Yeh JR. 2011. Targeted gene disruption in somatic zebrafish cells using engineered TALENs. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**: 697–698.
- Scott EK, Mason L, Arrenberg AB, Ziv L, Gosse NJ, Xiao T, Chi NC, Asakawa K, Kawakami K, Baier H. 2007. Targeting neural circuitry in zebrafish using GAL4 enhancer trapping. *Nat Methods* **4**: 323–326.
- Szymczak AL, Workman CJ, Wang Y, Vignali KM, Dilioglou S, Vanin EF, Vignali DAA. 2004. Correction of multi-gene deficiency in vivo using a single 'self-cleaving' 2A peptide-based retroviral vector. *Nat Biotechnol* **22**: 589–594.
- Tesson L, Usal C, Menoret S, Leung E, Niles BJ, Remy S, Santiago Y, Vincent AI, Meng X, Zhang L, et al. 2011. Knockout rats generated by embryo microinjection of TALENs. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**: 695–696.
- Thermes V, Grabher C, Ristoratore F, Bourrat F, Choulika A, Wittbrodt J, Joly JS. 2002. I-SceI meganuclease mediates highly efficient transgenesis in fish. *Mech Dev* **118**: 91–98.
- Wang H, Yang H, Shivalila CS, Dawlaty MM, Cheng AW, Zhang F, Jaenisch R. 2013. One-step generation of mice carrying mutations in multiple genes by CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering. *Cell* **153**: 910–918.
- Wefers B, Meyer M, Ortiz O, Hrabe de Angelis M, Hansen J, Wurst W, Kuhn R. 2013. Direct production of mouse disease models by embryo microinjection of TALENs and oligodeoxynucleotides. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **110**: 3782–3787.
- Xiao T, Roeser T, Staub W, Baier H. 2005. A GFP-based genetic screen reveals mutations that disrupt the architecture of the zebrafish retinotectal projection. *Development* **132**: 2955–2967.
- Xiao A, Wang Z, Hu Y, Wu Y, Luo Z, Yang Z, Zu Y, Li W, Huang P, Tong X, et al. 2013. Chromosomal deletions and inversions mediated by TALENs and CRISPR/Cas in zebrafish. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**: e141.
- Zu Y, Tong X, Wang Z, Liu D, Pan R, Li Z, Hu Y, Luo Z, Huang P, Wu Q, et al. 2013. TALEN-mediated precise genome modification by homologous recombination in zebrafish. *Nat Methods* **10**: 329–331.

Received June 5, 2013; accepted in revised form October 28, 2013.

Highly efficient CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knock-in in zebrafish by homology-independent DNA repair

Thomas O. Auer, Karine Durore, Anne De Cian, et al.

Genome Res. 2014 24: 142-153 originally published online October 31, 2013

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gr.161638.113](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.161638.113)

Supplemental Material <http://genome.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2013/11/04/gr.161638.113.DC1>

References This article cites 47 articles, 14 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genome.cshlp.org/content/24/1/142.full.html#ref-list-1>

Creative Commons License This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see <http://genome.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml>). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported), as described at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>.

Email Alerting Service Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

Affordable, Accurate
Sequencing.

To subscribe to *Genome Research* go to:
<https://genome.cshlp.org/subscriptions>