Sequence-based physical mapping of complex genomes by whole genome profiling
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We present whole genome profiling (WGP), a novel next-generation sequencing-based physical mapping technology for construction of bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) contigs of complex genomes, using Arabidopsis thaliana as an example. WGP leverages short read sequences derived from restriction fragments of two-dimensionally pooled BAC clones to generate sequence tags. These sequence tags are assigned to individual BAC clones, followed by assembly of BAC contigs based on shared regions containing identical sequence tags. Following in silico analysis of WGP sequence tags and simulation of a map of Arabidopsis chromosome 4 and maize, a WGP map of Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Columbia was constructed de novo using a six-genome equivalent BAC library. Validation of the WGP map using the Columbia reference sequence confirmed that 350 BAC contigs (98%) were assembled correctly, spanning 97% of the 102-Mb calculated genome coverage. We demonstrate that WGP maps can also be generated for more complex plant genomes and will serve as excellent scaffolds to anchor genetic linkage maps and integrate whole genome sequence data.

[Supplemental material is available for this article. The sequence data from this study have been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/sra/sra.cgi) under accession no. SRA026464.]

Physical clone maps are indispensable tools that form the intermediate layer between local (gene) sequences, genetic maps, and whole genome sequences. Physical maps are widely used for a range of purposes including positional (map-based) cloning (Bakker et al. 2003), anchoring chromosomes using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) (Islam-Faridi et al. 2002), repeat classification (Cardle et al. 2000), draft genome sequence assembly (Sasaki et al. 2005), local marker development (van der Vossen et al. 2000), and analysis of structural variation in the genome (Kidd et al. 2008). Despite advances in next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies which have accelerated (re)sequencing complete genomes (Hillier et al. 2008; Wheeler et al. 2008), the need for high-quality physical maps remains (Lewin et al. 2009). For example, de novo sequencing and assembly of complex genomes containing large regions of repeated sequences will not be easily addressed by NGS alone and require additional procedures to provide anchor points to link sequence contigs and bridge large repeat regions. An efficient way to provide these anchor points is by construction of a whole genome physical map from bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clones (Shizuya et al. 1992; Rounsley et al. 2009), in combination with BAC-end sequencing (Nelson and Soderlund 2009). BAC insert clones are relatively easy to generate and store and have proven to be effective for genome-wide physical map construction (Gregory et al. 1997, 2000; Marra et al. 1997; Klein et al. 2000; Wu et al. 2004). Hence, BAC-based physical maps combined with BAC-end sequencing have formed the basis of several whole genome sequencing projects (Sasaki et al. 2005; Wei et al. 2007).

In the current gold standard for physical mapping, SNaPshot (Luo et al. 2003) and alternative methods such as amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) (Vos et al. 1995), BACs are characterized by means of DNA fingerprinting (Srinivasan et al. 2003; Born 2008). The general principle behind these approaches is the characterization of individual BACs by means of specific tags, such as restriction fragments of specific lengths. These fragments are visualized by gel- or capillary-electrophoresis, and fingerprint patterns are scored based on the size of unique bands, with an assumption that bands of identical length represent identical fragments. To provide sufficient power for distinction and correct assembly, around 100 fragments are typically scored per BAC, and BACs originating from the same region of the genome will be linked into a contig based on shared fragments. One of the assumptions for contig building is that the majority of these fragments are uniquely identifiable, i.e., that they represent a single location in the genome. However, in practice, this is not always the case because the assessment of fragment length is known to suffer from both scoring inaccuracy as well as occasional comigration of nonidentical or duplicated fragments (Koopman and Gort 2004). The latter may lead to false linkage between BACs. For example, in the maize high information content fingerprinting (HICF) map (Nelson et al. 2005), the average number of erroneously shared bands was reported to be 10.8 from an average of 98 bands per clone, i.e., a random overlap of 11% of bands (Nelson and Soderlund 2009). This observation underscores the need to apply stringent assembly criteria to prevent formation of contigs comprising noncontiguous BAC clones when using DNA fingerprint data for physical map building. As an alternative, optical mapping (Schwartz et al. 1993) has been described for constructing ordered restriction maps and has been used in sequencing projects of several whole genomes (e.g., Church et al. 2009; Zhou et al. 2009). In contrast to SNaPshot, it preserves the order of the restriction fragments in a given DNA fragment. However both methods share the inaccuracy of restriction fragment (length) calling and are not sequence-based. Other known methods for
BAC clone mapping which are sequence-based, such as short tagged pooled genomic indexing using Sanger reads (ST-PGI [Milošević et al. 2005]) and end-sequence profiling using Sanger BAC end sequencing (ESP [Volik et al. 2003]), are specifically designed for comparative genomics and require reference genome sequence data and cannot be used for de novo physical mapping of BAC clones. Finally, the Clone-Array Pooled Shotgun Sequencing (CAPPS) (Cai et al. 2001) method provides a large-scale genome sequencing strategy based on BAC pools requiring only minimal computational power for genome assembly, but does not provide genome-wide physical mapping of BAC clones.

AFLP is a robust complexity-reduction technology which uses restriction enzyme digestion and consecutive adapter-ligated restriction fragment amplification, without the need for prior sequence information. These features are shared with the restriction- site associated DNA (RAD) technique which has been described as an integrated platform for SNP discovery and genotyping (Baird et al. 2008). Sample preparation for short read NGS platforms such as the Illumina Genome Analyzer (GA) uses similar steps. Expanding on AFLP, we envisaged the use of NGS to uniquely characterize restriction enzyme fragments not by their size but by their unique sequence content. Hence, we developed WGP as a high resolution sequence-based physical mapping technology based on short read NGS with sequence tags placed along the entire BAC clone. WGP incorporates the use of sample identification tags (also known as barcodes) and a two-dimensional (2D) BAC clone pooling strategy to make optimal use of sequencing capacity and reduce costs for BAC DNA preparation. WGP tags uniquely characterize individual BACs. Shared WGP tags are consecutively used for BAC contiging (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S1).

To provide proof of concept of the WGP technology, a wet-lab experiment was conducted involving genome-wide assembly of an Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Columbia BAC library. The WGP results were validated using the publicly available reference genome sequence of this ecotype. Subsequent application of WGP in other plant genomes and a simulation study performed in maize demonstrated the scalability of WGP to complex plant genomes.

Results

In silico analysis

To investigate the feasibility of the WGP approach and the requirements for the WGP tags, the complete Arabidopsis thaliana Columbia sequence was EcoRI/MseI-digested in silico. This produced 69,275 fragments representing 64,059 unique sequences flanking the EcoRI sites (Table 1). The length of the sequence tag influenced its uniqueness and showed a saturation point around 20 nt, where over 87% of all restriction fragments were represented by a single unique tag (Supplemental Fig. S2). Such tags can be easily generated with short read NGS platforms such as Illumina’s Genome Analyzer (GA) or Life Technologies’ SOLID.

In silico WGP simulation analysis on Arabidopsis chromosome 4 and the complete genome of maize

Two WGP simulations—one on Arabidopsis chromosome 4 and another on the entire genome of maize—were carried out to substantiate the hypothesis that short sequence tags adjacent to restriction enzyme sites can be used for unique identification of BAC clones and subsequent BAC-based whole genome physical mapping. Both analyses demonstrated that pooling of BACs in a 2D format allowed satisfactory deconvolution of tags to individual BACs and the ability to use such information to generate high quality physical maps ranging from relatively simple single chromosomes up to complex large genomes such as maize. For details, see supplemental information.

WGP tag generation and deconvolution

An existing six-genome equivalent library of Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Columbia was subjected to WGP. The BAC library of 6144 clones was divided over sixteen 384-well plates which were each pooled by row (24 clones) and column (16 BACs) to result in 40 pools per plate. DNA isolated from these pools was digested with EcoRI and MseI, barcoded adapters were ligated, and restriction fragments were amplified. Amplified fragments were subsequently sequenced from the EcoRI restriction site end using the Illumina GA. In total this yielded 30.3 million passed filter reads with 31-nt read length (NCBI Sequence Read Archive: SRA026464). Of these reads, 93% (28.2 M) contained a valid (100% matching) sample identification tag and EcoRI restriction site sequence. In Table 2 the data are specified for each of the eight lanes of the experiment, which demonstrate the high consistency of the data quality and percentages of reads that could be used for assignment to individual BACs.

Next, the reads were analyzed with custom-made Perl scripts. Using these scripts, the sample (pool) identification tag and the
Verification of WGP tag quality and confirms the practical use of short read lengths as provided by the in silico data (Supplemental Fig. S2). This suggests that deconvolutable tags showed a saturation point around 20 nt, similar to lengths ranging from 11 to 26 nt. The number of resulting deconvoluted tags equaled 2100 bp. As each EcoRI site was mapped to the genome sequence using a perfect string match algorithm. Ninety-one percent of the 270 contigs containing two or more unique WGP tag hits mapped to contiguous regions of the genome and covered 82 Mb, representing 81% of the contig coverage (Table 3). However, 23 contigs mapped to two distinct regions and one contig mapped to three regions. These 24 contigs (9%) comprised 19% of the contig coverage. To address this issue, a generic identification and purging tool was applied to remove 230 potentially problematic BACs from the data set, including 177 BACs that contained more than 80 WGP tags. With this filtered BAC data set, another FPC run was performed using the same settings. This resulted in 3813 BACs assembled into 362 contigs and 556 singleton BACs (Table 3). The 362 contigs represented a calculated genome coverage of 102.4 Mb, which, in spite of the removal of 230 BACs, is nearly the same as the unfiltered data set (100.8 Mb), albeit split over more contigs. Of these 362 contigs, 357 contained two or more WGP tags with unique hits to the genome, of which 350 (98%) mapped to contiguous genome regions and covered 99 Mb (97%). The remaining seven contigs (3%) mapped to multiple regions and comprised 3% contig coverage (Table 3). Figure 3 shows the alignment of a representative contig mapping to chromosome 3.

Table 1. Overview of the number of sequence tags derived from the Arabidopsis WGP experiment and the in silico simulation analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WGP tags</th>
<th>Experimental</th>
<th>in silico</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of fragments</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>69,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of different fragments</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>64,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of different WGP tags (26 nt)*</td>
<td>65,734</td>
<td>60,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% hit with genome</td>
<td>56,513</td>
<td>60,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique genome position</td>
<td>54,271</td>
<td>57,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlap (found both in silico and real)</td>
<td>51,935</td>
<td>51,935</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*6 nt EcoRI + 20 nt fragment sequence.

Experimental data confirms the uniqueness of 20-nt tag length

To substantiate the in silico analysis of tag length required for uniqueness, an analysis of required read length was performed on the data derived from the Illumina GA reads. Reads were trimmed to lengths ranging from 11 to 26 nt. The number of resulting deconvolutable tags showed a saturation point around 20 nt, similar to the in silico data (Supplemental Fig. S2). This suggests that generating sequence tag reads longer than 20 nt will not improve the WGP map and confirms the practical use of short read lengths as provided by the Illumina GA sequencer for WGP in Arabidopsis thaliana.

Verification of WGP tag quality

The total of 183,366 tags that were assigned to single BACs represented 65,734 different sequences (Tables 1 and 2). These sequences were compared to the Arabidopsis genome sequence and only tags with sequences that were 100% identical were retained for further comparison, yielding 61,638 hits in the genome from 56,513 different tags (82%; Table 1). The majority of these tags—54,271—showed a single hit to the genome (96%; Table 1). The remaining 2242 tags matched two or more genomic regions, likely indicating genuine low-copy repeats in the genome that were nevertheless deconvoluted. The average distance between the uniquely mapped tags equaled 2100 bp. As each EcoRI site can theoretically generate a forward and a reverse WGP tag, this is an accurate reflection of the ~4.5-kb average distance between the EcoRI sites in the genome, taking into account that not all possible tags are indeed recovered. The in silico EcoRI/MseI digest of the Columbia genome that was generated for validation of the experimental data yielded 69,275 fragments.

When trimmed to 26 nt to match the experimental WGP analysis, these fragments represented 60,676 different tags, 57,992 (96%) of which represented a unique position in the genome. Eighty-six percent (51,935 tags) of the theoretically possible 60,676 unique in silico tags were also retrieved in the wet-lab WGP experiment (Table 1).

Contig building using FPC and map quality assessment

A cut-off value of 1.0 × 10⁻⁶ and a consecutive DQ step was used in the FingerPrinted Contig (FPC) software (Soderlund et al. 1997) to generate the WGP map, as it produced a high level of BACs in contigs with a small number of questionable clones that may cause false overlaps. This resulted in 273 contigs representing 4048 BACs and 61,514 WGP tags. The distribution of contig sizes and number of BACs is shown in Supplemental Fig. S3. These contigs covered ~101 Mb (78%) of the genome, using a 2100-bp average distance between WGP tags as a basis for the coverage calculation.

Next to the contigs, 551 singleton BACs remained, including the majority of the clones containing less than five tags (Fig. 2). At the FPC settings used in this experiment, the minimum number of WGP tags on a contiged BAC was two, even though only a limited number of such BACs were incorporated.

To validate correctness of the BAC contigs that altogether form the whole genome physical map, the 65,734 WGP tag sequences were mapped to the Arabidopsis genome sequence using a perfect string match algorithm. Ninety-one percent of the 270 contigs containing two or more unique WGP tag hits mapped to contiguous regions of the genome and covered 82 Mb, representing 81% of the contig coverage (Table 3). However, 23 contigs mapped to two distinct regions and one contig mapped to three regions. These 24 contigs (9%) comprised 19% of the contig coverage. To address this issue, a generic identification and purging tool was applied to remove 230 potentially problematic BACs from the data set, including 177 BACs that contained more than 80 WGP tags. With this filtered BAC data set, another FPC run was performed using the same settings. This resulted in 3813 BACs assembled into 362 contigs and 556 singleton BACs (Table 3). The 362 contigs represented a calculated genome coverage of 102.4 Mb, which, in spite of the removal of 230 BACs, is nearly the same as the unfiltered data set (100.8 Mb), albeit split over more contigs. Of these 362 contigs, 357 contained two or more WGP tags with unique hits to the genome, of which 350 (98%) mapped to contiguous genome regions and covered 99 Mb (97%). The remaining seven contigs (3%) mapped to multiple regions and comprised 3% contig coverage (Table 3). Figure 3 shows the alignment of a representative contig mapping to chromosome 3.

Table 2. Number of reads, deconvolutable reads, tags, and BACs for the eight Illumina lanes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pooling set</th>
<th># OK reads</th>
<th># tags</th>
<th># reads</th>
<th>% reads</th>
<th># BACs with tags</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plates 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>2.6 M</td>
<td>25,598</td>
<td>1.1 M</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plates 3 &amp; 4</td>
<td>4.3 M</td>
<td>22,751</td>
<td>1.7 M</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plates 5 &amp; 6</td>
<td>3.5 M</td>
<td>21,179</td>
<td>1.5 M</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plates 7 &amp; 8</td>
<td>3.8 M</td>
<td>29,027</td>
<td>1.8 M</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plates 9 &amp; 10</td>
<td>3.1 M</td>
<td>21,626</td>
<td>1.4 M</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plates 11 &amp; 12</td>
<td>3.6 M</td>
<td>20,060</td>
<td>1.4 M</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plates 13 &amp; 14</td>
<td>3.3 M</td>
<td>22,019</td>
<td>1.5 M</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plates 15 &amp; 16</td>
<td>3.9 M</td>
<td>21,106</td>
<td>1.6 M</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>28.2 M</strong></td>
<td><strong>183,366</strong></td>
<td><strong>12.1 M</strong></td>
<td><strong>43%</strong></td>
<td><strong>4599</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deconvolutable

40 tags/BAC 66 reads/tag
Scalability of WGP to larger and more complex genomes

To further substantiate the robustness of the WGP method, four additional plant genomes were subjected to WGP, comprised of melon (Cucumis melo, 450 Mb genome size), tomato (Solanum lycopersicum, 950 Mb), the allotetraploid rape seed (Brassica napus, 1200 Mb), and lettuce (Lactuca sativa, 2600 Mb). Data presented in Supplemental Table S1 demonstrate that, as in Arabidopsis, these genomes were amenable to WGP and thus generated high-quality, high-coverage whole genome physical maps.

Discussion

In this manuscript, whole genome profiling is demonstrated as a novel approach to construct sequence-based genome-wide physical maps of complex genomes. Restriction fragment-based sequence data from pooled BAC clones were generated using the Illumina GA NGS platform and resulted in the assignment of an average of 40 unique WGP tags per BAC clone. Using these tags, a whole genome physical map of Arabidopsis thaliana was created using a 6× BAC library. Validation of the WGP map using the Columbia reference genome sequence confirmed that 350 BAC contigs (98%) were assembled correctly, which comprised 97% of 102 Mb calculated genome coverage, equaling ~80% of the genome of Arabidopsis.

A first version of the Arabidopsis WGP map was produced using stringent cut-off settings in the FPC software. As the actual position of the WGP tags in the released genome sequence of Arabidopsis could easily be established, the quality of the contigs produced by WGP was investigated in detail. This demonstrated that tags belonging to the vast majority of the contigs originated from a single region in the genome (see e.g., Fig. 3). However, tags present in 24 of the 273 contigs mapped to more than one region in the genome. Careful inspection of these contigs showed that, in all these cases, single BACs were responsible for the merger of two distinct genomic regions. The Arabidopsis BAC library that was used in this study contained either a chimeric BAC or multiple BACs per well in less than 0.6% of the plate wells. However, due to the integrating power of the FPC assembly, this had a profound effect on the WGP map, as nearly 9% of the contigs obtained from the first round of FPC using unfiltered BACs was not contiguous and represented 19% of the contig coverage. In order to minimize chimeric contig formation, a generic method was developed that does not rely on a reference genome sequence to recognize and eliminate problematic BACs. The selection of these BACs was based on two observations: first, that many of the disturbing BACs produced a significantly higher number of tags compared to the genome fraction they contained, suggesting the presence of two separate genome regions; and second, chimeric contigs showed a typical tag distribution, which allowed pinpointing of potentially chimeric contigs, identification of BACs causing the chimerism, and a purge of these BACs from the data set.

As a result, the final physical map based on the filtered BAC data set retained its coverage but was split into more contigs. Seven contigs, containing 130 BACs, were still identified as split over two genome regions. However, this covered no more than 3% of the genome, a marked improvement over the 19% coverage of chimeric contigs prior to filtering (Table 3). It should be noted that the genome coverage of this experiment (6×) is relatively low and that contig building with FPC of deeper coverage BAC libraries under high stringency settings will prevent formation of chimeric contigs more easily, particularly in combination with the purge tool.

A number of general features of the WGP technology are worth addressing in more detail, such as the influence of sequence read length, pooling schemes, restriction enzyme choice, and genome size on map resolution and cost effectiveness.

In silico data, confirmed by experimental data, demonstrated that a tag length of 20 nt was sufficient to define more than 90% of

| Table 3. FPC results for contig building of WGP Arabidopsis for the initial physical BAC map (all BACs) and after filtering problematic BACs (filtered BACs). Indicated is whether contigs map to a single region or have multiple hits |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Number of input BACs | 4599 | 4369 |
| Number of input WGP tags | 65,734 | 62,829 |
| Number of BACs placed in contigs | 4048 | 3813 |
| Number of contigs | 273 | 362 |
| Number of Q-contigs (Q>5) | 16 | 1 |
| Number of singleton BACs | 551 | 556 |
| Coverage (Mb) | 100.8 | 102.4 |
| WGP tags with hits | 56,513 | 54,877 |
| Number of contigs>1 unique WGP tag hit | 270 | 357 |
| Number of contigs mapping to 1 region (=single region contigs) | 246 | 350 |
| Number of BACs in single region contigs | 3071 | 3649 |
| Coverage (Mb) single region contigs | 82 | 99 |
| Number of contigs mapping to multiple regions | 24 | 7 |

Parameter settings: tolerance = 0; cut-off = 1.0 × 10⁻⁶; DQ step 1.
the unique sequence tags in the *Arabidopsis* genome. This is in agreement with results from previous studies on the effect of read length on determining unique positions in the genome (Whiteford et al. 2005; Chaisson et al. 2009). A 20-nt tag length, even when adding 6 nt for a pool identifier, is well within the sequence length output of contemporary high-throughput sequencing machines. Additional analyses on other (partially) sequenced genomes and our simulation of the maize genome demonstrated that, even for significantly larger genomes, tag lengths between 26 and 31 nt are sufficient for WGP.

The 2D pooling strategy that was chosen to process the limited number of 6144 *Arabidopsis* BACs was a trade-off between costs of sample preparation and sequencing using the Illumina GA and genome size. Since sample preparation of single BACs was deemed too costly, it was decided to pool BACs prior to DNA isolation and to use an ultra-high-density physical map on a de novo genome, which is generated between 30 and 50 fragments per BAC clone. Depending on relative costs of sequencing compared to the costs of sample preparation, an optimal pooling scheme can be designed. As the cost of sequencing per nucleotide is rapidly dropping in the NGS systems used to date, it is likely that higher-level pooling schemes will become cost effective in the near future.

Earlier studies have reported on building BAC-based physical maps from pooled BAC libraries by using Sanger sequence tags called ST-PGI (Milosavljevic et al. 2005) or mapping Sanger end sequences of BAC libraries to whole-genome sequence reads. Depending on relative costs of sequencing compared to the costs of sample preparation, an optimal pooling scheme can be designed. As the cost of sequencing per nucleotide is rapidly dropping in the NGS systems used to date, it is likely that higher-level pooling schemes will become cost effective in the near future.

A particular advantage of using BAC DNA pooling and the consecutive deconvolution procedure in WGP is the selection against tags derived from repetitive regions, which often hamper building accurate contigs in fingerprint-based physical mapping methods. As repeat tags are excluded from the input file for contig building. As a result, only unique or low-copy regions of BACs are used for contig building, and this is beneficial for utility of the map for integrating sequence scaffolds or marker development. On the other hand, this also implies that BAC clones containing predominantly repeated sequences may end up with too few unique WGP tags for contiging and will not be represented in the WGP map. Indeed, it was our observation that singleton BACs were characterized by far fewer WGP tags per BAC (Fig. 2).

EcoRI/MseI was used for the *Arabidopsis* WGP, but the use of amplified restriction fragments as a starting point in the WGP concept is flexible in the choice of restriction enzyme(s). Commonly used restriction enzymes such as EcoRI or HindIII typically generate between 30 and 50 fragments per BAC clone. Depending on the abundance of restriction sites in a given species, the use of alternative enzymes will allow additional fine tuning in the number or distribution of WGP tags per BAC. Depending on the complexity of a target genome, the use of specific restriction enzymes in combination with pooling complexity assures an optimal
use of sequencing capacity to further improve cost effectiveness. In silico analysis of available sequence data can aid in providing the required information for novel WGP projects.

Additional results of WGP applied to melon, tomato, rape seed, and lettuce substantiate the robustness of this new technology (Supplemental Table S1). These data are complemented with the results from the in silico maize analysis (Supplemental data). Results show that, even for large and complex genomes that are known to contain a high proportion of repeated regions, WGP is efficient in tagging 70%–90% of the BAC clones and yields high coverage physical maps.

In conclusion, whole genome profiling was demonstrated to be an efficient novel NGS-based technique for whole genome physical map construction. In addition, WGP maps are an excellent scaffold to complement de novo whole genome sequencing efforts, as they consist of densely spaced unique sequence tags. To date, random shotgun whole genome sequencing (WGS) efforts in particular rely on high sequencing redundancies in combination with paired-end sequencing strategies to achieve sufficiently large sequence contigs and scaffolds. WGP maps, as they consist of sequence-tagged contiged BACs, provide multiple anchor points over distances that by far exceed those that can be bridged by current paired-end sequencing strategies. It can thus be expected that WGP will contribute to significantly improved genome sequence assembly metrics and cost reduction for WGS efforts, because very high sequencing redundancy levels are no longer needed to obtain large scaffolds. In addition, like fingerprint-based physical methods, WGP maps provide a “minimum tiling path” of BAC clones for targeted sequencing of genome regions of particular interest and direct access to individual BAC clones. These features of WGP and the widespread availability of BAC libraries underscore the power of WGP to advance genome analysis in a broad range of species.

Methods

In silico analysis

The Col-1 ecotype Columbia sequence (TAIR8; http://www.arabidopsis.org) was digested in silico with EcoRI/MseI to obtain all possible restriction fragments. An analysis (TAIR8; http://www.arabidopsis.org) was digested in silico with EcoRI/MseI to obtain all possible restriction fragments. An analysis

BAC library and pooling strategy

A 6144 clone BAC library of the Arabidopsis thaliana Col-1 ecotype (available at the ABRC stock center, stock # CS6000) and two-dimensionally (2D) pooled BAC DNAs were made available for this project by Amplicon Express, Inc. (Pullman, WA, USA). The 2D pooling strategy was based on a simple 384-well plate by rows and by columns pooling strategy implemented with a liquid handling robot (Beckman Coulter, Biomek 2000, Brea, CA, USA). The robot pooled 75 μl of freshly grown bacterial culture in 2× YT media from all of the clones from each row (24 BACs) on each plate and 112 μl from all of the clones from each column (16 BACs). The set of 16-row pools and 24-column pools is termed a SuperPool (SP). The DNA tray format allows culture liquid to be collected from two individual 384-well library plates (two SPs) and have all 80 wells processed independently for high quality DNA extraction in a 96-well deep block format. The collected culture fluid is centrifuged to pellet the cells and discard the supernatant. The blocks of cell pellets are then frozen at -20°C until they are processed with a modified alkaline lysis DNA extraction protocol (Maniatis et al. 1982). The average yield of plasmid DNA is ~200 ng per well of high-quality, Illumina sequencing-grade DNA.

Sequencing sample preparation

AFLP templates were prepared from the pooled BAC clone DNA as described by Vos and co-workers (Vos et al. 1995). Twenty ng of pooled BAC DNA was digested using 5 units EcoRI and 2 units MseI for at least 1 h at 37°C. Next, adapter-ligation using a universal P7 MseI adapter and a sample-specific tagged EcoRI P5 adapter was carried out for 3 h at 37°C. PCR was performed in 20 μl and contained 5 μl 10-fold diluted restriction ligation mixture, 30 ng Illumina P5 primer (5′-AATGATACGGCGACCACCG-3′), 30 ng Illumina P7 primer (5′-CAAGCAGAAGACCGCAGTCACTTAG-3′), 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.4 U AmpliTaq (Applied Biosystems) and 1X AmpliTaq PCR buffer. PCR was performed with the following profile: 2 min at 94°C, followed by 22 cycles of 30 sec each at 94°C, 60 sec at 56°C, 60 sec at 72°C, and finally held at 4°C. Next, equal amounts of SP samples were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). All 80 sample-specific EcoRI P5 adapters included a unique 5-nt sample identification tag adjacent to the EcoRI restriction site overhang for identification of individual BACs by deconvolution.

Sequencing

Two Illumina Genome Analyzer runs were performed, a titration run and a full scale run. Each run was done on a flow cell divided into eight lanes for physical separation of samples, such that the same set of sample tags was used for each lane. The first run contained only four SPs, with two sets of two SPs replicated on three lanes, each in a range of increasing concentration (1.5, 2.0, and 2.5 pM). The 2.5 pM lanes were selected as the optimal DNA concentration, and a second GA run was performed comprising six lanes with Arabidopsis WGP samples each covering two SPs represented in 80 row- or column pools. A total of 16 SPs were sequenced equaling 16 × 384 = 6144 BACs.

The Illumina pipeline software (GA pipeline, v0.3) was used to analyze images into sequence reads of 31-nt length. An additional quality filter was applied to select only those reads with all base calls being at least zero on the Illumina GA scale. All sequence data have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) SRA026464.

Deconvolution

Sequence reads were split into three parts to enable assignment of unique tags to pools and to allow for consecutive deconvolution into individual BACs: The first 5 nt represent the sample (i.e., BAC pool) identification tag or barcode; the next 6 nt match the EcoRI restriction site of the adapter, and the remaining 20 nt define the WGP tag of Arabidopsis genomic DNA sequence from BAC clone inserts. The assignment of unique WGP tags to individual BACs was based on the following criteria: (1) A specific WGP tag must occur in two pools to indicate its unique position on the plate; one column and one row pool with both being represented by at least two reads; and (2) if WGP tags were inadvertently observed in a third or fourth pool, the number of reads in these other pools must be less than a tenth of those in the smallest (correct) pool. All WGP tags not matching these criteria were discarded. Perl scripts were used to recognize and trim the sample identification tags and the restriction site part of the sequence reads and to perform the deconvolution.
Unique WGP tags were defined by grouping them in 100% identical read sets. The output of this procedure consisted of a list of all WGP tags, the corresponding number of reads, and the identification number of the unique BAC to which they were assigned.

Contig building

Contiging was performed using the FingerPrinted Contig (FPC) program (v9.4; Soderlund et al. 1997; http://www.agcol.arizona.edu/software/fpc/). This software tool was originally developed for analyzing BAC fingerprint data—restriction fragments determined by their length. The WGP tags were adapted for use in FPC by converting each unique sequence tag into a number, yielding so-called Q-clones, i.e., clones which cause potential false overlap. The output of FPC consisted of a list of contigs and the corresponding order of BACs within each contig.

Map validation

WGP tag data, including the adjacent EcoRI restriction site, were mapped to the Arabidopsis genome sequence. All hits with a 100% identity and full-length alignment were recorded, and the corresponding WGP tags were subsequently characterized by their chromosome number and base pair position. Visual alignments were made from the sorted position of the WGP tags, based on their location in the genome compared to their orientation on the BAC contigs. To check the quality of the resulting FPC contigs, all tags were included which showed a single hit to the genome reference. For each contig with two or more of such unique tags, the tag positions were verified in the following way: The median genome position was calculated for the set of tags, and tags were classified as outliers if their position was more distant from the median than a given threshold (the number of unique tags in this contig multiplied by 5000 bp, approximately twice the mean distance between tags). Contigs with more than five outliers were identified as hybrid contigs, mapping to multiple regions on the genome and checked as such. All other contigs with two or more unique tags were defined as good-quality contigs.

Problematic BAC identification and purging

To overcome false (chimeric) contig formation caused by problematic BACs, e.g., BACs with chimeric inserts or two BACs which were accidentally deposited in the same well of a 384-well plate, a three-step procedure was developed. First, BACs containing more than twice the mean number of tags were excluded from the analysis, as they represented a large fraction of problematic clones relative to their total number. Second, FPC contig building was performed, and the resulting contigs were scored on two metrics which correlated with chimeric contig features. These metrics are the fraction of BAC pairs within a contig sharing at least one WGP tag (C1) and the average tag density in a contig as defined by the number of unique WGP tags in the contig divided by the number of BACs in the contig (C2). Empirically, the square of C1 divided by C2 provided a value that effectively discriminated chimeric contigs from contiguous contigs at a threshold of 0.003 and lower. The rationale for C1 was that chimeric contigs were expected to represent two proper contigs that were incorrectly linked by a single problematic BAC, causing the fraction of BAC pairs that share at least one WGP tag (C1) to drop. At the same time, the total number of WGP tags represented in the contig increased compared to the genome coverage it represents (C2). Third, to identify the problematic BACs within putatively chimeric contigs, an iterative approach of removing individual BACs from these contigs based on transitivity clustering was performed for all BACs. BACs were eliminated from the data set if their removal led to breaking up the contig. The filtered BAC data set was used for a final FPC analysis using the same stringency settings.

All scripts used to perform the WGP experiments as described are freely available after registering at http://www.keygene.com/research/WGPpublication.php.
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