Evolutionary History of *Cer* Elements and Their Impact on the *C. elegans* Genome

Eric W. Ganko, Kevin T. Fielman, and John F. McDonald¹

Department of Genetics, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, USA

We report the results of sequence analysis and chromosomal distribution of all distinguishable long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons (*Cer* elements) in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome. Included in this analysis are all readily recognizable full-length and fragmented elements, as well as solo LTRs. Our results indicate that there are 19 families of *Cer* elements, some of which display significant subfamily structure. *Cer* elements can be clustered based on their tRNA primer binding sites (PBSs). These clusters are in concordance with our reverse transcriptase- and LTR-based phylogenies. Although we find that most *Cer* elements are located in the gene depauperate chromosome ends, some elements are located in or near putative genes and may contribute to gene structure and function. The results of RT-PCR analyses are consistent with this prediction.

Retrotransposons are an abundant and widely distributed class of mobile repetitive elements that transpose through an RNA intermediate (Berg and Howe 1989). A significant portion of eukaryotic genomes examined to date is comprised of retrotransposons. For example, more than half of the maize (>50%, SanMiguel et al. 1996) and wheat (>90%, Flavell 1986) genomes as well as ~40% of the human genome (Yoder et al. 1997) is made up of retrotransposons. Long recognized as a major source of mutation (Green 1988) and disease (Miki 1998), retrotransposons have been implicated in the evolution of genome structure and function as well (e.g., McDonald 1995a,b; Britten 1997; Brosius 1999).

Genome sequencing of a variety of organisms is providing an unprecedented opportunity to study the evolutionary history of retrotransposons and their contribution to genome structure and function. For example, recent surveys of retrotransposons within the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome have revealed the presence of no fewer than 19 families of long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons (Bowen and McDonald 1999; Malik et al. 2000; Frame et al. 2001), including two families (*Cer7* and *Cer13*) which display features characteristic of infectious retroviruses (Bowen and McDonald 1999).

We extend these findings by analyzing the sequence and identifying the chromosomal distribution of all distinguishable *Cer* LTR retrotransposon sequences present in the *C. elegans* genome. In our analysis we group all distinguishable *Cer* elements into three distinct types: (1) full-length elements containing all of the characteristic features of LTR retrotransposons including putative *gag*, *pol* and, in some cases, *env* genes flanked by LTRs; (2) partially deleted or fragmented elements which are missing one or more of the characteristic features of full-length elements; and (3) solo LTRs which are believed to be the products of recombination events between the flanking LTRs of full-length elements (Berg and Howe 1989). Our results indicate that there are 19 *Cer* families represented within the sequenced (N2) *C. elegans* genome. All 19 families are either the *gypsy*/*Ty3* or *Bel* class of retrotransposons. No *copia*/*Ty1* type elements are present in the *C. elegans* genome.

¹ Corresponding author.

E-MAIL mccgene@arches.uga.edu; FAX (706) 542-3910.

Article and publication are at <http://www.genome.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gr.196201>.

Although some full-length *Cer* elements were found to be members of extended families with well defined evolutionary histories, others appear to be single-element families with no detectable lineage within the (N2) genome. In contrast, several families of *Cer* elements were identified that are comprised of fragmented elements or solo LTRs exclusively. *Cer* elements can be grouped according to their tRNA binding sites into multiple clusters which are consistent with our reverse transcriptase (RT)- and LTR sequence-based phylogenies. We have also analyzed the inter- and intrachromosomal distribution of *Cer* elements in the N2 genome. Although most *Cer* elements are located in the gene depauperate chromosome ends, some elements are located in or near putative genes and may have contributed to gene structure and function. The results of RT-PCR analyses are consistent with this prediction. Products consistent with processing of these transcripts and removal of predicted introns were observed. *Cer* LTR sequence could account for at least 12% to as much as 54% of the coding region within mRNAs transcribed from these loci.

RESULTS

The *C. elegans* Genome Consists of at Least 19 Families of LTR Retrotransposons

Closely related groups of full-length *Cer* LTR retrotransposons display >90% amino acid similarity among their respective reverse transcriptases (RTs) and have been designated as families (Bowen and McDonald 1999). Using this criterion, full-length LTR retrotransposons representing 12 distinct families have been described previously in *C. elegans*, *Cer1*–*Cer12* (Bowen and McDonald 1999). By searching for homology to envelop (ENV) proteins, Malik et al. (2000) discovered two additional families (*Cer13* and *Cer14*). More recently, Frame et al. (2001) identified six additional putative families. In the present study, we include fragmented elements and solo LTRs in our analysis to add substructure to the *Cer* phylogenetic tree. Using this approach, we independently identified a total of 19 families (*Cer1*–*Cer19*) of *Cer* elements within the essentially complete (>99%) N2 *C. elegans* genome (*C. elegans* Sequencing Consortium 1998).

The number of *Cer* elements within families varies considerably (Table 1). In general, full-length *Cer* elements are in

Table 1. Number of Full-Length, Fragmented, and Solo LTRs in the Sequenced *C. elegans* (N2) Genome

Cer Element	Full-length	Fragment	Solo LTR	Subtotals
Cer1	1	0	3	4
Cer2	1	0	1	2
Cer2-1	0	2	1	3
Cer3	1	0	0	1
Cer3-1	0	1	10	11
Cer4	1	0	0	1
Cer5	1	4	9	14
Cer6	1	1	4	6
Cer7	1	1	0	2
Cer8	2	0	1	3
Cer9	3	3	5	11
Cer10	1	1	3	5
Cer11	0	1	0	1
Cer12	1	0	10	11
Cer12-1	0	2	2	4
Cer13	1	1	0	2
Cer14	0	1	0	1
Cer15	1	1	1	3
Cer15-1	1	2	1	4
Cer16	1	0	3	4
Cer16-1	0	0	6	6
Cer16-2	1	3	1	5
Cer17	1	0	0	1
Cer19	1	4	6	11
Cer20	3	1	4	8
Totals	20	24	61	124

relatively low abundance within the *C. elegans* genome. Only two of the 19 families (*Cer9* and *Cer20*) contain three full-length elements, whereas three families (*Cer8*, 15, and 16) contain two, and 12 families (*Cer1*–*Cer7*, and *Cer10*, 12, 13, 17, and 19) contain only one. Two families (*Cer11* and *Cer14*) contain no full-length elements, and another two families are comprised of only a single full-length element (*Cer4* and *Cer17*). Fragmented elements are also in relatively low abundance (<4 per family) in 15 of the 19 families (*Cer2*, 3, 5–7, 9–16, 19, and 20). Solo LTRs were detected in 13 of the 19 families (solo LTRs lacking in *Cer4*, 7, 11, 13, 14, and 17) ranging in number from 12 to 1 per family. Five of the families displayed subfamily structure. While members of *Cer* element families share >90% RT sequence identity, within family sequence identity values among the more rapidly evolving LTRs are more variable, ranging from 60 to 100% (Fig. 1, Tables 1 and 2).

Six of the eight solo LTRs or LTR-containing fragments within the *Cer9* family were found to contain an ~100-bp sequence inserted into the center of their 3' LTRs (c56g3/c07d8, y57a10a, and k09e3 contain a 108 bp insert; f15a2, y59a8b, and c13b9 contain a 106 bp insert). Interestingly, none of the three *Cer9* full-length elements contain either insert within their LTRs. The ~100-bp inserts in these LTRs share 85% identity among themselves but display no significant homology to other sequences within the *C. elegans* (N2) genome. Aside from this size polymorphism, all of the *Cer9* family members share a remarkable 95% LTR nucleotide sequence identity with one another.

Whereas the slowly evolving RT encoding region of LTR retrotransposons is ideal for quantitating evolutionary distances among even distantly related families of retroelements

(Flavell 1986; Xiong and Eickbush 1990), analysis of differences among the more rapidly evolving LTRs is better suited for the identification of phylogenetic substructure within families of LTR retrotransposons. Phylogenetic trees based on *Cer* element LTR sequences reveal the presence of significant substructure within several *Cer* element families. Both neighbor-joining and parsimony criteria support the existence of distinct subgroups in the *Cer2*, 3, 12, 15, and 16 families of elements. For example, the *Cer12* family is comprised of 15 elements (primarily solo LTRs) falling into two distinct subfamilies, whereas the 14 elements comprising the *Cer16* family of elements fall into three distinct subfamilies (Fig. 2B).

Cer Element Families Share tRNA Primers

RT requires a primer strand to initiate minus-strand DNA synthesis. Host-encoded tRNA is the primer used by most retroviruses and LTR retrotransposons analyzed to date (Telsnitsky and Goff 1997). In the process of priming, the native tRNA molecule is partially unfolded such that 18 bp at its 3' terminus is free to base pair with a complementary sequence, termed the primer binding site (PBS), on the retroviral or LTR retrotransposon RNA. Different tRNA primers are known to be used by different families of retroviruses and LTR retrotransposons and have been used as an indicator of evolutionary relationships (Vogt 1997).

Primer binding sequences are located just 3' of the proviral 5' LTR. Utilizing the *C. elegans* tRNA gene database (<http://rna.wustl.edu/GtRDB/Ce/>), we identified putative *Cer* primer binding sites by FASTA searches of 100 nucleotides downstream of the 5' LTR of full-length *Cer* elements. Consistent with the recent observations of Frame et al. (2001), we found that full-length elements representing *Cer* families in the *Cer7*/BEL clade share a binding site for the Gly-GCC-type (*Cer7*–10, 12, 15, 16, and 19) or for Arg-type tRNAs (*Cer13*, 17, and 20). We also confirm the observation of Frame et al. (2001) that *Cer7* encodes its own 71 bp Gly-GCC-type tRNA (CE-CHRV-1298_TRNA5-GLYGCC; Fig. 1).

Extending our alignment of putative PBSs and FASTA searches of the tRNA database to the *Ty3/gypsy* clade revealed that *Cer2* and *Cer3* share a PBS for Gly-ACC tRNA. In contrast, we found that *Cer4*, *Cer5*, and *Cer6* share a Ser-GCT tRNA. *Cer1* displays weak homology to the PBS for Thr-GGT-type tRNA.

Most *Cer* Elements are Located at Chromosome Ends

The chromosomal position of each *Cer* element was used to analyze the distribution of *Cer* elements throughout the genome. To test for interchromosomal clustering of *Cer* elements, we employed the Kolmogorov-Smirnov goodness-of-fit test (Zarr 1999) to look for a deviation from a random distribution of elements among chromosomes. The results indicate no significant deviation from the null hypothesis ($P = 0.91$). The distribution of individual families of *Cer* elements (*Cer3*, 5, 9, and 12) and family groups (*Cer8* and *Cer9*, $P = 0.046$; *Cer12* and *Cer16*, $P = 0.51$; *Cer2* and *Cer3*, $P = 0.13$) were tested separately and also found to be distributed randomly among chromosomes.

Tests were carried out to determine whether the distribution of *Cer* elements on individual chromosomes was also random. Our analysis rejected the random distribution hypothesis for all chromosomes except chromosome III (Fig. 3). Chromosomes I, II, IV, V, and X were found to display non-random clustering of *Cer* elements on their chromosomal

overlaid on the existing annotation of each region. Our results indicate that these *Cer* elements are part of putative genes (Fig. 4). Although all four gene regions are putative in nature, they retain strong predictive computational support. In addition, multiple ESTs were found to map to the exon regions of these putative genes, adding further support. The results of TBLASTN searches indicate that two of the sites (F20B4.6 and C56G3.2) displayed significant homology (outside the *Cer* element sequence) to previously characterized genes. F20B4.6 exhibits homology with genes encoding ceramide glucosyl transferases; C56G3.2 displays homology with genes encoding aldo/keto reductases. The putative genes contained in regions 6R55.2 and F53E10.5 show no homology with genes thus far characterized (Fig. 4).

A series of reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reactions (RT-PCR) were performed to test the hypothesis that *Cer* elements contribute to the structure and function of some *C. elegans* genes. Sets of primers were designed to amplify pre-

dicted gene transcripts containing *Cer* element sequences. Because nascent RNA transcripts are typically in low abundance in standard RNA preparations, they are often underrepresented or undetectable in the products of RT-PCR reactions. For this reason, PCR of genomic DNA was also carried out for each set of primers as a positive control.

Primers designed for the 6R55.2 gene yielded RT-PCR products consistent with the expected sizes of the nascent (1514 bp) and processed (429 bp) transcripts (Fig. 5). A 6R55.2 transcript fully processed according to its predicted gene structure (Fig. 4A) would contain 16% LTR sequence from a *Cer16-2* element in its coding region. If all exons represented by EST alignments (Fig. 4A) were present in the final processed transcript, 54% of its coding region would be LTR sequence.

Primers designed for the C56G3.2 gene yielded RT-PCR products consistent with the expected size of the nascent (634 bp) and processed (569 bp) transcripts (Fig. 5). The smaller RT-PCR product is consistent with excision of the intron predicted within the *Cer9* LTR. It is intriguing to note that the position of the predicted intron within the *Cer9* LTR overlaps with an approximate 100bp sequence missing in some of the solo LTRs identified in this study. A 6R55.2 transcript fully processed according to its predicted gene structure (Fig. 4B) would have first and second exons comprised of 100% and 40% of *Cer9* LTR sequence, respectively. Thus, within its coding region the mRNA would be 36% LTR sequence. Alternate

2068 **Genome Research**
www.genome.org

Table 2. List of All Known *Cer* LTR Retrotransposons in the Sequenced *C. elegans*(N2) Genome

Element family	Genomic clone	Element type	Chromosome
Cer1	f44e2/par3	full	III
Cer1	c25a11	ltr	X
Cer1	c24h10	ltr	X
Cer1	y39e4b	ltr	III
Cer2	r03d7	full	II
Cer2	f53e10	ltr	V
Cer2-1	k08d10	frag	IV
Cer2-1	f49f1	frag	IV
Cer2-1	w04a8	ltr	I
Cer3	f58h7	full	IV
Cer3-1	y37h2a	frag	V
Cer3-1	y76b12c	ltr	IV
Cer3-1	y39e4a	ltr	III
Cer3-1	k09h9	ltr	I
Cer3-1	y39b6a	ltr	V
Cer3-1	e02h9	ltr	III
Cer3-1	y105e8e	ltr	I
Cer3-1	y23h5b	ltr	I
Cer3-1	y77e11a	ltr	IV
Cer3-1	y75b8a	ltr	III
Cer3-1	t09a5	ltr	II
Cer4	f15g10/t23e7	full	X
Cer5	t03f1	full	I
Cer5	f39b3	frag	X
Cer5	k02a2	frag	II
Cer5	c31e10	frag	X
Cer5	f22g12	frag	I
Cer5	r01h5	ltr	X
Cer5	y27f2a	ltr	II
Cer5	t27f6	ltr	I
Cer5	c25b8	ltr	X
Cer5	f49c8	ltr	IV
Cer5	f22e5	ltr	II
Cer5	w04g5	ltr	I
Cer5	y111b2g	ltr	III
Cer5	f56h6	ltr	I
Cer6	e03a3	full	III
Cer6	y102a5c	frag	V
Cer6	y53f4a	ltr	II
Cer6	y73f8a	ltr	IV
Cer6	zc487	ltr	V
Cer6	c55a1	ltr	V
Cer7	zc132	full	V
Cer7	h08m01	ltr	IV
Cer8	zk262/zk228	full	V
Cer8	c03a7	full	V
Cer8	c33e10	ltr	X
Cer9	y43f4a	full	III
Cer9	w09b7/f07b7	full	V
Cer9	f07b7/k06c4	full	V
Cer9	k09e3	frag	X
Cer9	c33c12/c40a11	frag	II
Cer9	c07d8/c56g3	frag	X
Cer9	b0047	ltr	II
Cer9	c13b9	ltr	III
Cer9	y59a8b	ltr	V
Cer9	y57a10a	ltr	II
Cer9	f15a2	ltr	X
Cer10	y81b9a/c35b8	full	X
Cer10	t23b12/zk994	frag	V
Cer10	t12b5	ltr	III
Cer10	y73f8a	ltr	IV
Cer10	t22b2	ltr	X
Cer11	t14g12	frag	X
Cer12	f21d9/f55c9	full	V
Cer12	k07c6	ltr	V
Cer12	w03g1	ltr	IV

Table 2. Continued

Element family	Genomic clone	Element type	Chromosome
Cer12	y51h4a	ltr	IV
Cer12	c01b9	ltr	II
Cer12	c09g1	ltr	X
Cer12	k04c1	ltr	X
Cer12	c44b12	ltr	IV
Cer12	y94h6a	ltr	IV
Cer12	c04g6	ltr	II
Cer12	y60a3a	ltr	V
Cer12-1	zc15	frag	V
Cer12-1	f41g4	frag	X
Cer12-1	k08d12	ltr	IV
Cer12-1	f58f6	ltr	IV
Cer13	y75d11a/w03h1	full	X
Cer13	c09b9	frag	IV
Cer14	y105c5b	frag	IV
Cer15	y102a5d/f40d4	full	V
Cer15	t11f9	frag	V
Cer15	y105e8a	ltr	I
Cer15-1	c52e2/c16c4	full	II
Cer15-1	f19b2	frag	V
Cer15-1	y40h7a	frag	IV
Cer15-1	y45f10c	ltr	IV
Cer16	r13d11	full	V
Cer16	f47d2	ltr	V
Cer16	f28d9	ltr	I
Cer16	f36a4	ltr	IV
Cer16-1	y71h2am	ltr	III
Cer16-1	f38c2	ltr	IV
Cer16-1	f11a6	ltr	I
Cer16-1	y32h12a	ltr	III
Cer16-1	f47b7	ltr	X
Cer16-1	f20b4	ltr	X
Cer16-2	f20b4/6r55	full	X
Cer16-2	zk1025/c27c7	frag	I
Cer16-2	t16g12	frag	III
Cer16-2	t05a1	frag	IV
Cer16-2	zc247	ltr	I
Cer17	r52	full	II
Cer19	r09h3/c36c9	full	X
Cer19	c38d9	frag	V
Cer19	y7a5a	ltr	X
Cer19	f15d4	frag	II
Cer19	t06a10	frag	IV
Cer19	d1022	ltr	II
Cer19	f35h10	ltr	IV
Cer19	zk1055	ltr	V
Cer19	c35d6	ltr	IV
Cer19	t08g3	ltr	V
Cer19	zk643	ltr	III
Cer20	y87g2a	full	I
Cer20	k01d12	full	V
Cer20	f41b5	full	V
Cer20	y50e8a	frag	V
Cer20	t10g3	ltr	V
Cer20	t28d6	ltr	III
Cer20	c32b5	ltr	II
Cer20	y94h6a	ltr	IV

Genomic clone ID and chromosome locations were obtained from Wormbase (<http://www.wormbase.org>).

intron/exon structures (Fig. 4B) could generate transcripts ranging from 20% to 48% *Cer9* LTR as mRNA coding sequence.

Primers designed for the F20B4.6 gene yielded a preferentially amplified RT-PCR product of ~213 bp. This product is consistent with excision of the *Cer16-1* LTR from intron 1 (Fig. 4C, Fig. 5), although potential enhancer activity of the

Figure 2 Phylogenetic trees of subfamily structure based on LTR nucleotide sequence data. LTRs from full, fragmented, and solo LTR elements were aligned via ClustalX 1.8 (Thompson et al. 1997), and the NJ method was used to construct trees. Insertions/deletions were ignored. Values on individual branches are bootstrap percentages based on 1000 bootstrap repetitions. Each LTR in the tree is named by the genomic clone in which it was found. For elements with two LTRs, the 3' LTR is labeled by a lower case "b" following the clone number. Each tree is shown with a scale bar determined by the number of nucleotide substitutions per site between two sequences. (A) Phylogenetic tree displaying substructure within *Cer8* and *Cer9* families, with *Cer7* as the outgroup. The tight branching of the tree demonstrates the high sequence identity shared among *Cer9* family members. * indicates the presence of a ~108 bp insert in the center of *Cer9* LTR; ** indicates the presence of a ~106 bp insert in the center of the *Cer9* LTR. Both inserts are >85% identical. (B) Phylogenetic tree displaying substructure within *Cer12* and *Cer16* families, with *Cer7* as the outgroup. *Cer12* consists of two subfamilies (*Cer12* and 12-1); *Cer16* has three subfamilies (*Cer16*, 16-1, and 16-2). The tight clustering seen in both families represents a high degree of nucleotide identity between elements within a subfamily.

LTR cannot be excluded by this analysis. Two bands at ~380 and ~430 bp may represent unpredicted processing products or nonspecific priming, although they were also apparent in reactions performed at temperatures 10°C higher than the predicted optimum for the pair (data not shown).

Primers designed for the F53E10.5 yielded two RT-PCR products consistent with predicted processing of the nascent transcript (Fig. 5). A weakly amplified product at ~520 bp is consistent with mRNA processing and removal of intron 9 (Fig. 4D). The preferentially amplified product at ~449 bp is consistent with removal of introns 8 and 9. Exon 10, derived entirely from *Cer2* LTR DNA, would contribute 12% coding sequence if the mRNA was fully processed as predicted.

In summary, RT-PCR analyses demonstrated that the in-

serted *Cer* elements were part of each gene transcript, thus providing molecular confirmation of our computational results (Fig. 5). Polyadenylated transcripts composed of retroelement sequence were produced from the three genes in which elements were part of the coding region. Furthermore, products consistent with processing of these transcripts and removal of predicted introns were observed.

DISCUSSION

The *C. elegans* Genome Contains Relatively Few Families of LTR Retrotransposons with Unusual Subfamily Structure
Nucleotide sequence divergence among LTR retrotransposons

Figure 3 Distribution of *Cer* full-length, fragmented and solo LTR element sequences in the *C. elegans* genome. A genomic coordinate value for all *Cer* elements was calculated (see Methods) and elements plotted to their respective chromosome location. Chromosomes were divided into three regions (left, center, right). All chromosomes except chromosome III display significant clustering outside of the centric genic region. *Cer* elements are randomly distributed across chromosomes.

can be used to establish phylogenetic relationships and other relevant information related to retrotransposon evolution. Our approach has been to utilize RT sequence to establish families (defined as groups of LTR retrotransposons sharing at least 90% RT sequence homology) and to subsequently utilize the divergence among the more rapidly evolving LTRs to establish subfamily structure. An alternative approach recently employed by Frame et al. (2001) to characterize the BEL-like class of *C. elegans* LTR retrotransposons, is to base phylogenetic relationships primarily on LTR sequences. A priori, both approaches might be expected to give similar results. However, because the *C. elegans* genome contains relatively few full-length elements and relatively more fragmented elements and solo LTRs lacking RT sequences, the former approach will tend to identify fewer families of elements with more substructure than the latter approach. For example, the *Cer16* and *Cer18* families described by Frame et al. (2001) are collapsed in our analysis to a single family (*Cer16*) with detailed subfamily structure. As more data become available on the diversity of LTR retrotransposons present in other strains of *C. elegans*, the results should converge on a single picture of the evolutionary history of *Cer* elements.

Although our view of the phylogenetic structure of *Cer* elements differs somewhat from that described by Frame et al. (2001), we find that many of the general features of the *Cer7*/BEL class of *C. elegans* LTR retrotransposons described by those authors hold true for the *Ty3/gypsy* class as well. In general, the *C. elegans* genome appears to have a relatively low tolerance for LTR retrotransposons (<1%). Whereas we have identified 124 full-length, fragmented, or solo LTR *Cer* elements in the sequenced (N2) *C. elegans* genome, >350 LTR retrotransposon elements have been described in the yeast *Candida albicans* (Goodwin and Poulter 2000) and >300 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Kim et al. 1998), both species with genomes nearly an order of magnitude smaller than *C. elegans* (*C. elegans* Sequencing Consortium 1998).

Single-element groups add to the puzzle. Families represented by only one element (*Cer4*, *Cer11*, and *Cer17*) have no detectable history in the *C. elegans* (N2) genome, suggesting that they may have been introduced by horizontal transfer. The fact that the *Cer7* and *Cer14* elements encode a putative *env* gene is consistent with the hypothesis that at least some *Cer* elements may have entered the N2 genome via horizontal

transfer. However, additional information on the diversity of elements in other *C. elegans* strains and related *Caenorhabditis* species will be necessary to definitively test the horizontal transfer hypothesis.

A number of solo LTRs and LTR-containing fragments are nearly identical in sequence despite the fact that related full-length putative progenitor elements are not present in the genome. For example, the *Cer3-1* subfamily consists of 10 solo LTRs and one LTR-containing fragment with >94% identity. Similarly, the *Cer16-1* subfamily consists of six solo LTRs with >94% identity. Despite the sequence similarity among these and other subfamily LTRs, the sequences of *Cer16-1* LTRs are distinctly different from their most closely related full-length elements. One possible explanation of this apparent paradox is that some mechanism exists in *C. elegans* to rapidly remove full-length transposable elements, as has been postulated in *Drosophila* (Petrov et al. 1996). Under this scenario, solo LTRs and LTR-containing fragments are remnants of degraded full-length elements. Alternatively, the high sequence similarity existing among families of solo LTRs and LTR-containing fragments may be the product of gene conversion.

A third possible explanation is that at least some of the families of solo LTRs and LTR-containing fragments represent footprints of double-strand break (DSB) repair events (Garfinkel 1997; Haber 2000). Teng et al. (1996) and Yu and Gabriel (1999) reported that a variety of *Ty1* LTR transcription intermediates have been used to repair double-stranded breaks in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. If such a mechanism exists in *C. elegans*, it is possible that at least some subfamilies of LTRs displaying high sequence similarity were copied off of the same master element during the process of DSB repair.

A third possible explanation is that at least some of the families of solo LTRs and LTR-containing fragments represent footprints of double-strand break (DSB) repair events (Garfinkel 1997; Haber 2000). Teng et al. (1996) and Yu and Gabriel (1999) reported that a variety of *Ty1* LTR transcription intermediates have been used to repair double-stranded breaks in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. If such a mechanism exists in *C. elegans*, it is possible that at least some subfamilies of LTRs displaying high sequence similarity were copied off of the same master element during the process of DSB repair.

The Presence of tRNA Genes in *Cer* Elements May Be of Adaptive Significance

Putative tRNA PBSs have been identified for most full-length *Cer* elements. Matching tRNAs consist predominantly of glycine (TCC and ACC) types. The distribution of these different types of tRNA binding sites was found to be consistent with our RT-based phylogeny (Fig. 1).

It is interesting to speculate about the significance of the surprising finding that a complete tRNA-Gly gene is located within the untranslated leader region of *Cer7*. The observation that LTR retrotransposons are common in heterochromatic regions of genomes (Dimitri and Junakovic 1999) has led to the speculation that the evolutionary origin of heterochromatin was as a defense mechanism against transposable elements (e.g., McDonald 1999; Henikoff 2000). tRNA genes are known to exclude nucleosomes and limit the spread of heterochromatin (Morse 2000). Thus, the inclusion of a tRNA gene in an LTR retrotransposon may provide a selective advantage to an element located in heterochromatic regions by preventing nucleosome positioning. The consequent exclusion of surrounding chromatin may permit access of transcription factors to promoter sequences within the LTR and adjacent leader regions that would otherwise be inaccessible. Although the *C. elegans* genome does not contain constitutive heterochromatin, transient heterochromatin-like structures

Figure 4 *Cer* element LTRs are part of some *C. elegans* genes. Green arrows represent Wormbase-predicted gene regions with corresponding identification. Blue arrows depict ESTs concordant to the predicted gene region. Orange boxes are predicted exon regions. Red boxes denote LTR position, and internal arrows indicate direction. The black line and numbers represent position along the genomic clone sequence (F20B4, C56G3, 6R55, F53E10). Black arrows indicate direction and location of forward (f) or reverse (r) PCR primers. For visual simplicity, only introns (i#) discussed in the text are displayed above and between exons. (A) An entire LTR from the 5' end of a full-length *Cer*16-2 element is part of the 5' end of a putative *C. elegans* gene (6R55.2) of unknown function. (B) The *Cer*9 LTR overlaps two exons of an aldo/keto reductase homolog in *C. elegans* (C56G3.2). The LTR is the 3' end of a fragmented *Cer*9 element. (C) A *Cer*16-1 solo LTR is part of intron 1 of a *C. elegans* gene (F20B4.6) in the glucosyltransferase family. (D) A *Cer*2 solo LTR constitutes the 3' end of a putative *C. elegans* gene (F53E10.5) of unknown function.

occur during development (e.g., Jedrusik and Schulze 2001). As analyses of LTR retrotransposons are extended to additional plant and animal species, it will be interesting to see if the presence of complete tRNA genes in untranslated leader regions is a general feature of some families of LTR retrotransposons.

Cer Elements May Contribute to *C. elegans* Gene Structure and Function

There is a growing body of evidence that transposable ele-

ments play an important role in genome evolution by contributing to the structure and/or function of genes (e.g., McDonald 1995a,b; Britten 1997; Medstrand et al. 2001). For example, there are >100 reported examples of essential gene structures and functions in mammals that are attributable to retrotransposons or retrotransposon-derived sequences (Brosius 1999; see also <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Makalowski/ScrapYard/>). LTRs are known to possess promoter, polyadenylation, and enhancer functions (e.g., Medstrand et al. 2001; Britten 1997). For this reason, LTR retrotransposon insertions in or near genes have been postulated to be a significant factor in regulatory evolution in both plants and animals (e.g., McDonald 1993, 1995a). The insertion of transposable elements in or near introns can result in alternative splicing patterns. Such events are also believed to have contributed to gene evolution (e.g., Kapitonov and Jurka 1999). The insertion of transposable elements into the coding region of genes is typically associated with loss of gene function (Green 1988). However, occasionally such events are associated with alterations in gene sequence which may contribute to the evolution of new gene functions (e.g., Banki et al. 1994).

In an initial effort to address the possible contribution of *Cer* elements to *C. elegans* gene evolution, we screened *C. elegans* EST databases for the presence of *Cer* element LTRs. We identified four genes in which *Cer* elements may be involved in gene function. In three cases, LTR sequences appear to be incorporated into coding regions (Fig. 4A, B, D). In addition, we found that *Cer* LTRs map to putative gene splice acceptor/donor sequences and termination regions of genes (Fig. 4A, B, C). These results are intriguing and suggest that *Cer*

LTRs may influence gene regulation and expression in the *C. elegans* N2 strain.

RT-PCR analyses confirmed that mRNAs containing *Cer* LTR sequence are actively transcribed from these loci. In three of the four loci, *Cer* element sequences mapped to coding regions of the genes. For each of these cases, polyadenylated transcripts were shown to be produced containing the expected *Cer* LTR (Fig. 5). Furthermore, products consistent with processing of these transcripts and removal of predicted introns were also observed. *Cer* LTR sequences

Figure 5 PCR/RT-PCR analysis of *C. elegans* genes containing Cer LTR sequence showing the production of spliced, polyadenylated transcripts from these loci. A negative image is presented for visual clarity. Within a locus, PCR (control) and RT-PCR were performed using the same primer set. DNA (+) and DNA (-) indicate PCR reactions with and without nematode genomic DNA, respectively. RT (+) and RT (-) indicate RT-PCR reactions with and without reverse transcriptase, respectively. M = 100 bp ladder.

could account for at least 12% to as much as 54% of the coding region within mRNAs transcribed from these loci. Detailed molecular analyses are currently underway in our laboratory to precisely define the contribution of Cer elements to the function of these genes in the N2 strain and to examine the functional significance of Cer element insertional polymorphisms at these and other loci among *C. elegans* strains.

METHODS

Sequence Identification and Retrieval

Sequence retrieval was initiated by performing BLASTN searches (default parameters; Altschul et al. 1997) against the Wormbase (<http://www.wormbase.org>) and GenBank (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>) databases using LTRs representing each previously identified family of Cer elements (Bowen and McDonald 1999; Malik et al. 2000). To insure that all families of Cer LTRs were identified, we employed an iterative approach whereby LTR sequences with relatively low homology (~70%) were used as query sequences in subsequent BLAST searches to identify putative distantly related subfamilies of LTRs. To be considered an LTR in this study, a sequence had to display >60% sequence homology to the LTR query sequence in a pairwise comparison test (Tatusova and Madden 1999) and have a size no smaller than 40% of the LTR query sequence. Each Cer LTR identified by these criteria was given the name of the Cer family to which it was most homologous, followed by the number of the clone in which it was found. For full-length elements with two LTRs, the 3' LTR was labeled by a lowercase "b" following the clone number.

Alignments and Phylogenetic Analysis

Using the clone coordinates from the BLAST search, the Cer LTR sequences were copied and placed into individual files. Alignments were created with ClustalW and edited with MacVector 7.0 (<http://www.gcg.com>). Both ClustalX 1.8 (Thompson et al. 1997) and PAUP 4.03b (Swofford 1999) were used to generate neighbor-joining (NJ) trees with bootstrap values. Trees were viewed with TreeView 1.5.3 (Page 1996).

tRNA Identification

The *C. elegans* tRNA database was downloaded (<http://rna.wustl.edu/tRNAdb/>; Lowe and Eddy 1997) for use as a

local FASTA database in conjunction with the GCG software package (<http://www.gcg.com>) maintained by the Research Computing Resource (RCR) at the University of Georgia. One hundred and one nucleotides downstream of each 5' LTR (including the last nucleotide of the LTR) were used as query sequences in FASTA searches (default parameters) run against the tRNA database to identify matching tRNA 3' ends complementary to putative Cer PBs (Goodwin and Poulter 2000).

Chromosomal Position Analyses

The chromosomal position of the 5' end of each clone found to contain one or more Cer elements was obtained from Wormbase (www.wormbase.org). Endpoints of elements within clones were averaged to obtain a "position value" for each element within a clone. Combining position values of elements within a clone with the position of clones on chromosomes allowed us to assign a chromosomal location to each Cer element. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov goodness-of-fit test was used to test the randomness of the distribution of Cer elements among chromosomes and within individual chromosomes. An exponential distribution was used to represent a random dispersal of elements within each chromosome. The observed distribution was calculated based on the base pair distance between sequential element positions along the chromosome.

Gene Annotation

The *C. elegans* EST database (dbEST-*C. elegans*) was BLASTed for homology to each Cer LTR sequence. ESTs with significant homology ($E = <0.0001$) to Cer LTRs were identified. The complete sequences of each EST were then BLASTed against the NCBI *C. elegans* genome database to identify the corresponding clone containing the LTR and associated gene. TBLASTN searches (default parameters) of these LTR associated genes were run to identify homology to previously characterized genes. GeneFinder (dot.imgen.bcm.tmc.edu) was used to delineate the exon boundaries of the putative genes.

RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted with Tri Reagent (Molecular Research Center) from *C. elegans* cultured under standard conditions on mixed life stage agar plates (Wood 1988). DNA contamination was removed using DNA-free (Ambion). Oligo dT₂₀ primed reverse transcription (RT) was performed on 1 µg of total RNA using the ThermoScript RT-PCR system and protocol from Gibco BRL. RT (-) control reactions to detect DNA contamination contained an equivalent volume of sterile distilled water in lieu of reverse transcriptase.

PCR primers designed with MacVector 7.0 and synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies were: 6R55.2 F 5'-ATG ACGATGAGCGGTGC-3', R 5'-AAAGTGAGATGTGATTGG GG-3'; C56G3.2 F 5'-CAGCAACCTTCCTACACGG-3', R 5'-CGCAACTCAGATGGAGCAG-3'; F20B4.6 F 5'-AAGGG TTGGGTTTGGTTGGAC-3', R 5'-TCAAGAACAGAACGCCTC GTCG-3'; and F53E10.5 F 5'-GCGATAGCGTTCTGCTCTT GTG-3', R 5'-GGCGAATAATGAAATCACGGAGG-3' (Fig. 4). Within a locus, PCRs on genomic DNA and cDNAs were performed using the same primer set. The 25 µL PCRs contained 2 µL RT reaction or *C. elegans* genomic DNA, 30 pmol of each primer, 0.5 U Taq polymerase (Pierce Chemical), 200 µM each dNTP, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, and 10 mM Tris HCl, pH 9.0. DNA (-) PCR controls to detect potential DNA contamination contained an equivalent volume of sterile distilled water in lieu of genomic DNA. Following an initial denaturation at 95°C/5 min, 35 cycles of 95°C/30 sec, 52°C to 56°C (primer

dependent)/30 sec, 72°C/1–2 min (depending on maximum expected product length), and a final cycle at 72°C for 10 min were performed on a Hot Top-equipped RoboCycler Gradient 96 (Stratagene). Reaction products (15 µL) and a 100 bp ladder (0.25 µg)(New England Biolabs) were separated on a 1.3% agarose gel in 0.5 × TBE running buffer containing 0.25 µg mL⁻¹ ethidium bromide. Gel images were visualized by UV transillumination and scanned for image processing.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank King Jordan for statistical advice and Nathan Bowen for reading and commenting on earlier versions of this manuscript. Our laboratory is supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health and the National Science Foundation.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked “advertisement” in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

REFERENCES

- Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schaffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., and Lipman, D.J. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: A new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**: 3389–3402.
- Banki, K., Halladay, D., and Perl, A. 1994. Cloning and expression of the human gene for transaldolase. A novel highly repetitive element constitutes an integral part of the coding sequence. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**: 2847–2851.
- Barnes, T.M., Kohara, Y., Coulson, A., and Hekimi, S. 1995. Meiotic recombination, noncoding DNA and genomic organization in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **141**: 159–179.
- Berg, D.E. and Howe, M.M. 1989. Mobile DNA. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- Bowen, N.J. and McDonald, J.F. 1999. Genomic analysis of *Caenorhabditis elegans* reveals ancient families of retroviral-like elements. *Genome Res.* **9**: 924–935.
- Britten, R.J. 1997. Mobile elements inserted in the distant past have taken on important functions. *Gene* **205**: 177–182.
- Brosius, J. 1999. RNAs from all categories generate retrosequences that may be exapted as novel genes or regulatory elements. *Gene* **238**: 115–134.
- C. elegans Sequencing Consortium. 1998. Genome sequence of the nematode *C. elegans*: A platform for investigating biology. The C. elegans Sequencing Consortium. *Science* **282**: 2012–2018.
- Dimitri, P. and Junakovic, N. 1999. Revising the selfish DNA hypothesis: New evidence on accumulation of transposable elements in heterochromatin. *Trends Genet.* **15**: 123–124.
- Flavell, R.B. 1986. Repetitive DNA and chromosome evolution in plants. *Philos. Trans. R Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **312**: 227–242.
- Frame, L.G., Cutfield, J.F., and Poulter, R.T. 2001. New BEL-like LTR-retrotransposons in *Fugu rubripes*, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, and *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Gene* **263**: 219–230.
- Garfinkel, D.J. 1997. Genetic loose change: How retroelements and reverse transcriptase heal broken chromosomes. *Trends Microbiol.* **5**: 173–175.
- Goodwin, T.J. and Poulter, R.T. 2000. Multiple LTR-retrotransposon families in the asexual yeast *Candida albicans*. *Genome Res.* **10**: 174–191.
- Green, M.M. 1988. Mobile DNA elements and spontaneous gene mutation. In *Eukaryotic transposable elements as mutagenic agents*, pp. 41–50. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Haber, J.E. 2000. Lucky breaks: Analysis of recombination in *Saccharomyces*. *Mutation research fundamental and molecular mechanisms of mutagenesis* **451**: 53–69.
- Henikoff, S. 2000. Heterochromatin function in complex genomes. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1470**: 1–8.
- Jedrusik, M.A. and Schulze, E. 2001. A single histone H1 isoform (H1.1) is essential for chromatin silencing and germline development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Development* **128**: 1069–80.
- Kapitonov, V.V. and Jurka, J. 1999. The long terminal repeat of an endogenous retrovirus induces alternative splicing and encodes an additional carboxy-terminal sequence in the human leptin receptor. *J. Mol. Evol.* **48**: 248–251.
- Kim, J.M., Vanguri, S., Boeke, J.D., Gabriel, A., and Voytas, D.F. 1998. Transposable elements and genome organization: A comprehensive survey of retrotransposons revealed by the complete *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genome sequence. *Genome Res.* **8**: 464–478.
- Lowe, T.M. and Eddy, S.R. 1997. tRNAscan-SE: A program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**: 955–964.
- Malik, H.S., Henikoff, S., and Eickbush, T.H. 2000. Poised for contagion: Evolutionary origins of the infectious abilities of invertebrate retroviruses. *Genome Res.* **10**: 1307–1318.
- McDonald, J.F. 1993. Evolution and consequences of transposable elements. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **3**: 855–864.
- McDonald, J.F. 1995a. Transposable elements: Possible catalysts of organismic evolution. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **10**: 123–126.
- McDonald, J.F. 1995b. *Transposable elements and genome evolution*. Kluwer Academic Press, Boston, MA.
- McDonald, J.F. 1999. Genomic imprinting as a co-opted evolutionary character. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **13**: 94–95.
- Medstrand, P., Landry, J.R., and Mager, D.L. 2001. Long terminal repeats are used as alternative promoters for the endothelin B receptor and apolipoprotein C-I genes in humans. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**: 1896–1903.
- Miki, Y. 1998. Retrotranspositional integration of mobile genetic elements in human diseases. *J. Hum. Genet.* **43**: 77–84.
- Morse, R.H. 2000. RAP, RAP, open up! New wrinkles for RAP1 in yeast. *Trends Genet.* **16**: 51–53.
- Page, R.D. 1996. TreeView: An application to display phylogenetic trees on personal computers. *Comput. Appl. Biosci.* **12**: 357–358.
- Petrov, D.A., Lozovskaya, E.R., and Hartl, D.L. 1996. High intrinsic rate of DNA loss in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **384**: 346–349.
- SanMiguel, P., Tikhonov, A., Jin, Y.K., Motchoulskaia, N., Zakharov, D., Melake-Berhan, A., Springer, P.S., Edwards, K.J., Lee, M., Avramova, Z., et al. 1996. Nested retrotransposons in the intergenic regions of the maize genome. *Science* **274**: 765–768.
- Surzycki, S.A. and Belknap, W.R. 2000. Repetitive-DNA elements are similarly distributed on *Caenorhabditis elegans* autosomes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **97**: 245–249.
- Swofford, D.L. 1999. *PAUP* Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (* and other methods)*. Sinauer Assoc., Sunderland, MA.
- Tatusova, T.A. and Madden, T.L. 1999. BLAST 2 Sequences, a new tool for comparing protein and nucleotide sequences. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **174**: 247–250.
- Telnitsky, A. and Goff, S.P. 1997. Reverse transcriptase and the generation of retroviral DNA. In *Retroviruses* (eds. J.M. Coffin, S.H. Hughes, and H.E. Varmus), pp. 121–161. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York.
- Teng, S.C., Kim, B., and Gabriel, A. 1996. Retrotransposon reverse-transcriptase-mediated repair of chromosomal breaks. *Nature* **383**: 641–644.
- Thompson, J.D., Gibson, T.J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F., and Higgins, D.G. 1997. The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: Flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**: 4876–4882.
- Vogt, V.M. 1997. Retroviral virions and genomes. In *Retroviruses* (eds. J.M. Coffin, S.H. Hughes, and H.E. Varmus), pp. 27–70. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York.
- Wilson, R.K. 1999. How the worm was won. The *C. elegans* genome sequencing project. *Trends Genet.* **15**: 51–58.
- Wood, W.B. 1988. *The Nematode Caenorhabditis elegans*, pp. 587–606. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- Xiong, Y. and Eickbush, T.H. 1990. Origin and evolution of retroelements based upon their reverse transcriptase sequences. *EMBO J.* **9**: 3353–3362.
- Yoder, J.A., Walsh, C.P., and Bestor, T.H. 1997. Cytosine methylation and the ecology of intragenomic parasites. *Trends Genet.* **13**: 335–340.
- Yu, X. and Gabriel, A. 1999. Patching broken chromosomes with extranuclear cellular DNA. *Mol. Cell* **4**: 873–881.
- Zarr, J. 1999. *Biostatistical analysis*, pp. 475–478. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.

Received May 17, 2001; accepted in revised form October 10, 2001.

Evolutionary History of *Cer* Elements and Their Impact on the *C. elegans* Genome

Eric W. Ganko, Kevin T. Fielman and John F. McDonald

Genome Res. 2001 11: 2066-2074

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gr.196201](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.196201)

References

This article cites 34 articles, 11 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genome.cshlp.org/content/11/12/2066.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

Affordable, Accurate
Sequencing.

To subscribe to *Genome Research* go to:
<https://genome.cshlp.org/subscriptions>