Large-Scale Comparison of Fungal Sequence Information: Mechanisms of Innovation in *Neurospora crassa* and Gene Loss in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Edward L. Braun,^{1,2,4,5} Aaron L. Halpern,^{3,4,6} Mary Anne Nelson,¹ and Donald O. Natvig¹

¹Department of Biology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131 USA; ²National Center for Genome Resources, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 USA; ³Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, School of Medicine, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131 USA

We report a large-scale comparison of sequence data from the filamentous fungus *Neurospora crassa* with the complete genome sequence of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *N. crassa* is considerably more morphologically and developmentally complex than *S. cerevisiae*. We found that *N. crassa* has a much higher proportion of “orphan” genes than *S. cerevisiae*, suggesting that its morphological complexity reflects the acquisition or maintenance of novel genes, consistent with its larger genome. Our results also indicate the loss of specific genes from *S. cerevisiae*. Surprisingly, some of the genes lost from *S. cerevisiae* are involved in basic cellular processes, including translation and ion (especially calcium) homeostasis. Horizontal gene transfer from prokaryotes appears to have played a relatively modest role in the evolution of the *N. crassa* genome. Differences in the overall rate of molecular evolution between *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae* were not detected. Our results indicate that the current public sequence databases have fairly complete samples of gene families with ancient conserved regions, suggesting that further sequencing will not substantially change the proportion of genes with homologs among distantly related groups. Models of the evolution of fungal genomes compatible with these results, and their functional implications, are discussed.

Sequence comparisons are often used in comparative genomics to infer sequence/function relationships in one organism based on similarities to sequences in other organisms, but it is also instructive to ask about differences between organisms or their genomes and to ask how such differences arose. We have conducted a large-scale comparison of sequence information from the filamentous fungus *Neurospora crassa*, the unicellular fungus *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, and sequences from nonfungal organisms, to investigate patterns of fungal genome evolution. A large number of *N. crassa* EST sequences are available (Nelson et al. 1997; this paper), as is the complete genome sequence of *S. cerevisiae* (Goffeau et al. 1996). *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae* are ascomycete fungi and are estimated to have diverged from each other at least 310 mya (Berbee and Taylor 1993) and probably >400 mya (Taylor et al. 1999). This represents sufficient time for substantial differences to have arisen, but it is substantially more recent than the

divergence of the fungi from other eukaryotes, >1 bya (Knoll 1992; Feng et al. 1997).

The *N. crassa* genome is approximately three times the size of the *S. cerevisiae* genome. *N. crassa* also exhibits much greater morphological and developmental complexity (Springer 1993), suggesting that *N. crassa* has a substantially greater number of genes. The number of genes in *N. crassa* has been estimated to be 1.5–2.2 times greater than that of *S. cerevisiae* (Kupfer et al. 1997; Nelson et al. 1997). A previous analysis of ESTs from *N. crassa* indicated that it has a much higher proportion of genes without identifiable homologs (commonly designated “orphan” genes) than *S. cerevisiae* (Nelson et al. 1997), a finding that we demonstrate more rigorously here.

These differences in genome size, gene number, phenotypic complexity, and proportion of orphan genes raise various possibilities regarding the evolution of fungal genomes. On the one hand, it is possible that *S. cerevisiae* has been “streamlined” by the loss of genes, with a corresponding loss of phenotypic complexity (e.g., multicellularity). This hypothesis is consistent with phylogenetic analyses of the fungi that indicate that the unicellular fungi arose from multicellular ancestors (Bruns et al. 1992; Berbee and Taylor 1993; Liu

⁴These authors contributed equally to this paper and should be considered cofirst authors.

⁵Present address: Department of Plant Biology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210 USA.

⁶Corresponding author. Present address: Celera Genomics, Rockville Maryland 20850 USA.

E-MAIL aaron.halpern@celera.com; FAX (240) 453-3324.

et al. 1999). Some genes that are present in *N. crassa* but not in *S. cerevisiae* do reflect the loss from *S. cerevisiae* of genes present in the common ancestor of these organisms (Braun et al. 1998). Gene loss might result in a concentration of widely conserved genes that are essential for life (e.g., Mushegian and Koonin 1997; Snel et al. 1999), providing an explanation for the lower proportion of orphan genes in *S. cerevisiae*. On the other hand, addition of a large number of genes to the *N. crassa* lineage subsequent to its divergence from the ancestor of *S. cerevisiae* could also explain the differences in genome size, developmental complexity, and—if the acquired genes were either truly novel or free to diverge radically from their sources—proportions of orphan genes.

We reasoned that comparison of *N. crassa* sequences to the complete *S. cerevisiae* genome and nonfungal sequence databases would provide us with insights bearing on these alternatives. For instance, genes present both in *N. crassa* and in other nonfungal eukaryotes but absent from *S. cerevisiae* are likely to reflect genes that have been lost from the *S. cerevisiae* lineage. Clearly, such gene losses could have substantial functional significance. Genes that are present in both *N. crassa* and prokaryotic organisms but not in *S. cerevisiae* or nonfungal eukaryotes are plausible candidates for horizontal transfer into the *N. crassa* lineage. If a large number of candidates for gene loss from *S. cerevisiae* or horizontal transfer into *N. crassa* were identified, these mechanisms could account for much of the difference in genome sizes and gene numbers between the two fungi. Although examples of both classes were identified by this study, a relatively modest number of candidate lost or transferred genes were identified, indicating that alternative explanations for the differences between *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae* must be sought.

RESULTS

In this study, we conducted large-scale homology searches using BLAST (Altschul et al. 1997) comparing *N. crassa* query sequences to three distinct databases: “SC,” the set of translated ORFs from the complete *S. cerevisiae* genome; “NF,” a set of translated ORFs from the nonfungal sequences in the public sequence databases; and “HMEST,” the human and mouse EST database. The NF and HMEST databases were largely independent, because NF contained annotated protein sequences from largely full-length cDNAs and genomic DNAs, whereas HMEST contained partial cDNA sequences from randomly sampled genes of humans and mice. For comparison, *S. cerevisiae* sequences (a set of ESTs and the translated ORFs from the complete *S. cerevisiae* genome) were also searched against NF and HMEST. These searches revealed several distinctive patterns of homolog distribution, summarized below. To facilitate interpretation of these patterns, additional ad

hoc searches, described below, were performed against several additional data sets. Details regarding the custom sequence sets (databases) used for homology searches are provided in Table 1 and in Methods.

A Relatively Low Proportion of Expressed Sequences in *N. crassa* Can Be Identified by Homology Searches

We reported previously that only 33.6% of *N. crassa* cDNAs were clearly homologous to proteins in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) protein database, according to ungapped BLAST-X searches using 1865 *N. crassa* ESTs (Nelson et al. 1997). Here, we extend this observation by analyzing a larger number of sequences, refining our methodology, and analyzing sets of “control” sequences from *S. cerevisiae*. Before conducting searches, *N. crassa* ESTs were grouped into “discontigs” (sets of sequences that may not overlap but have a known spatial relationship, such as the sequences derived from both ends of a single cDNA clone; e.g., see Skupski et al. 1999). Thus, homology searches were conducted using 3578 *N. crassa* ESTs, grouped into 1197 discontigs. Because the discontigs are, for the most part, from distinct genetic loci, this constitutes some 10%–15% of the genes in *N. crassa*, based on the estimates of gene number by Kupfer et al. (1997) and Nelson et al. (1997).

These searches resulted in the identification of clear homologs ($E \leq 10^{-5}$) outside of the fungi for only ~33% of loci (Table 2). In contrast, we found that >57% of predicted genes from *S. cerevisiae* have clear homologs in the same databases. This reflects more than the differences between the partial sequences obtained by EST projects and the full-length sequences obtained by genomic sequencing projects, because a higher proportion of *S. cerevisiae* ESTs also have identifiable homologs (Table 2). The differences are also not explained by the types of reads obtained by the *Neurospora* Genome Project, because a lower proportion of *N. crassa* sequences were identified for both 5' and 3' reads (data not shown). The fractions of columns containing mismatches or gaps in the contigs generated by TIGR Assembler (which reflect sequencing errors) are similar for the *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae* EST data sets (data not shown). Thus, compared with *S. cerevisiae*, it appears that a substantially greater proportion of expressed sequences from *N. crassa* represent orphan genes. This phenomenon has also been observed for complex multicellular eukaryotes such as plants and animals (Waterston and Sulston 1995; Delseny et al. 1997).

The Low Proportion of Identified Genes in *N. crassa* Does Not Represent Accelerated Molecular Evolution

One possible explanation for the observed difference between *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae* would be accelerated sequence divergence in *N. crassa*, resulting in a larger

Table 1. Sequence Sets Used in Analyses

Data set	No. of seqs.	No. of chars.	Description
Fungal nucleotide data sets			
NcrEST	3,578	1,821,906	<i>N. crassa</i> ESTs from the Neurospora Genome Project. ^a
Ncr contigs	2,093	1,147,268	<i>N. crassa</i> sequences assembled from "Ncr EST." ^b
ScerEST	3,424	1,136,588	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> ESTs from TIGR. ^c
CAL	1,631	14,929,251	genomic sequence from <i>C. albicans</i> . ^d
ENI	13,404	5,594,817	nucleotide sequences from <i>A. nidulans</i> . ^e
Fungal amino acid data sets			
NCR	1,007	400,653	translated ORFs for non-EST <i>N. crassa</i> sequences. ^e
SC	6,227	2,908,935	translated ORFs from complete <i>S. cerevisiae</i> genome. ^f
NAscF	2,130	735,449	translated ORFs from nonascomycete fungi. ^e
Spo	8,358	3,708,009	translated ORFs from <i>S. pombe</i> . ^e
Nonfungal nucleotide data sets			
HMEST	1,228,825	455,623,980	human and mouse ESTs from dbEST. ^g
Nonfungal amino acid data sets			
NF	206,898	64,637,987	translated ORFs for nonfungal organisms. ^h
EUTH	166,241	44,409,356	translated ORFs from eutherian (placental) mammals. ^e

^a<http://www.unm.edu/~ngp/>.^bThese assembled sequences were clustered into 1197 discontigs, which correspond to putative unique loci. These sequences can be retrieved from <http://molbio.ahpcc.unm.edu/search/discontigs.html> using the discontig numbers used in this paper.^c<ftp://ftp.tigr.org/pub/data/estdb/yestfal.Z>.^d<http://www-sequence.stanford.edu/group/candida/>.^e<http://www3.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Entrez/batch.html>.^f<http://genome-www.stanford.edu/Saccharomyces>.^g<ftp://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/db>.^hSubset of GSDB (Skupski et al. 1999) kindly provided by Marian Skupski of the National Center for Genome Resources.

proportion of sequences that cannot be identified by homology searches. Such a global acceleration of molecular evolution has been suggested for *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Mushegian et al. 1998) and also for the fungi as a group (Feng et al. 1997; Stassen et al. 1997). However, comparisons of divergence from nonfungal sequences for paired orthologous sequences from *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae* indicate that the rates of molecular evolution in *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae* are similar (Fig. 1). Ran-

domly chosen *N. crassa* sequences were paired with their closest homolog from *S. cerevisiae*, and both members of such a pair were used as queries against the NF database; *N. crassa* sequences with no clear homolog in *S. cerevisiae* were excluded from the analysis. Although different loci within an organism may evolve at substantially different rates, for a given pair of homologous *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae* sequences, the degrees of divergence of these sequences from their non-

Table 2. Percentages of Sequences with Detectable Homologs in Various Databases

Query set ^a	Cutoff	Database ^a									
		SC		NF		HMEST		NF + HMEST ^b		any ^c	
		E ≤ 0.01	E ≤ 10 ⁻⁵	E ≤ 0.01	E ≤ 10 ⁻⁵	E ≤ 0.01	E ≤ 10 ⁻⁵	E ≤ 0.01	E ≤ 10 ⁻⁵	E ≤ 0.01	E ≤ 10 ⁻⁵
Ncr ESTs		31.4	26.6	29.5	24.0	28.5	20.5	35.2	26.0	41.2	31.2
Scer ESTs		N.A. ^d		47.6	40.1	44.7	38.8	51.4	44.2	N.A.	
Ncr discontigs ^e		40.2	33.2	37.0	30.3	34.9	24.9	44.3	33.1	52.0	39.9
SC		N.A.		62.9	54.4	50.3	43.8	65.6	57.2	N.A.	

^aSee Table 1 for descriptions of databases and query sets.^bHomologs detected at the indicated cutoffs in either NF or HMEST.^cHomologs detected at the indicated cutoffs in any of SC, NF, or HMEST.^d(N.A.) not applicable (*S. cerevisiae* queries were not compared with *S. cerevisiae* databases).^eA discontig was counted as having a detectable homolog at a given cutoff if any of the component contigs did.

Figure 1 Rates of divergence are similar for *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae*. Pairs of homologous *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae* sequences were analyzed using BLAST against NF (a database of nonfungal protein sequences); each pair is represented by a point in the plot, with the *x*-axis showing the negative log of the *E*-value [$-\log(E)$] of the best database match to the *N. crassa* query and the *y*-axis showing $-\log(E)$ of the best match to the *S. cerevisiae* query. (○) Pairs for which the *N. crassa* sequence was (part of) an EST from our data set; in these cases, the *N. crassa* contig and the paired *S. cerevisiae* sequence were trimmed to the region of overlap, as described in Methods. (●) Pairs for which the *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae* sequences were complete protein sequences. The outlying point in this plot, labeled “ γ ,” is γ -tubulin (see text).

fungal homologs are approximately equal, as indicated by similar scores for the best match.

This analysis did identify one protein from *S. cerevisiae* that is substantially more divergent from nonfungal homologs than is the homologous *N. crassa* protein. The divergent protein (Fig. 1, point “ γ ”) corresponds to γ -tubulin, an *S. cerevisiae* protein that has been established on the basis of detailed analyses to have undergone an unusual degree of divergence from orthologous γ -tubulins present in other organisms (Keeling and Doolittle 1996). Thus, for a limited number of genes, *S. cerevisiae* may actually exhibit accelerated evolution relative to *N. crassa* (also see Stassen et al. 1997). However, the two organisms appear to have similar rates of evolution for most genes for which homologs may be identified, suggesting that the high proportion of orphan genes in *N. crassa* does not reflect a global acceleration of molecular evolution in that organism.

Comparisons of Different Databases Identify Patterns of Genome Evolution

Comparisons of homology searches conducted with *N. crassa* queries against different databases reveal several distinct patterns of homolog distribution. Figure 2 compares the results of searches for homologs of *N. crassa* sequences in nonfungal organisms (*x*-axis) and

in *S. cerevisiae* (*y*-axis). A majority of loci (discontigs) from *N. crassa* did not exhibit significant similarity to sequences in any of the databases, giving rise to points in the figure that lie near the origin. Many *N. crassa* loci have homologs in both *S. cerevisiae* and nonfungal organisms, corresponding to points away from both axes; most of these points lie near the line $y = x$, indicating—perhaps surprisingly—that they are not substantially more similar to homologous *S. cerevisiae* sequences than to nonfungal sequences. Loci with significant similarities to nonfungal organisms but with no detectable homologs in *S. cerevisiae* appear as points near the *x*-axis (but away from the origin); they constitute potential cases of genes lost from *S. cerevisiae* or cases of horizontal transfer into *N. crassa*. Loci with homologs in *S. cerevisiae* but with no significant similarity to any known nonfungal proteins, constituting proteins that may be restricted to the fungi, appear as points near the *y*-axis (away from the origin). These general patterns and the interpretation of specific cases are considered in more detail in the following sections.

A Small Set of Fungal-Specific Proteins Can Be Identified

Although most *N. crassa* genes with identifiable homologs have both nonfungal and *S. cerevisiae* homologs, a small number of discontigs have homologs in *S. cerevisiae* but not in the non-fungal databases (Fig. 2). These may represent fungal-specific proteins, proteins that have diverged sufficiently that nonfungal

Figure 2 Comparison of homology searches against nonfungal sequences and against *S. cerevisiae* sequences. Each point represents a single *N. crassa* discontig, with the *x*-axis showing the negative logarithm of the *E*-value [$-\log(E)$] of the best match in either NF or HMEST and the *y*-axis showing $-\log(E)$ of the best match in SC. Open circles represent possible cases of gene loss, horizontal transfer, or divergent orthologs (discontigs appearing in Tables 4–6). Gray circles represent possible cases of fungal specific genes (discontigs appearing in Table 3).

homologs are not detected or proteins for which non-fungal homologs exist but have not yet been sequenced. Searches of the NF database using the full-length *S. cerevisiae* homologs of *N. crassa* discontigs revealed that some of these reflect artifacts of using partial sequences because the *S. cerevisiae* sequences had clear nonfungal homologs ($E \leq 10^{-5}$). However, nine cases remain candidates for fungal-specific genes (Table 3). There appears to be some functional coherence to these cases. Three candidates appear to be cell wall components (such as Gas1p; see Popolo and Vai 1999), which may contribute to unique features of fungal cell walls, and two candidates correspond to classes of transcription factors that have not been reported outside of the fungi [the homologs of Ecm22p, a Gal4p-domain (C6 binuclear zinc cluster) protein (see Henikoff et al. 1997), and Sok2p, an APSES DNA-binding domain protein (see Aramayo et al. 1997)].

Table 3. Fungal-Specific Genes Present in the NGP Data Set

Discontig	Identification	SC E-value ^a	Fungal distribution ^b
III. Cell structure/cytoskeleton^c			
34 ^d	YOL030w ^d	3×10^{-54}	Sp, Ca, En
439 ^d	Gas1p (YMR307wd) ^d	3×10^{-32}	Sp, Ca, En
1133	Pir1p (YKL164c)	4×10^{-11}	Ca ^e
V. Metabolism			
1003	Fth1p (YBR207w)	3×10^{-26}	Sp, Ca
VII. RNA synthesis			
231	Ecm22p (YLR228c)	5×10^{-7}	Sp, Ca, En
489 ^f	Sok2p (YMR016c)	4×10^{-23}	Sp, Ca, En
Unclassified			
469	YGR033c	2×10^{-14}	Sp, Ca
812	YOL048c	4×10^{-8}	Ca
828	YOR081c	3×10^{-7}	Sp, Ca

^aE-value of best BLAST hit against *S. cerevisiae* data set. E-value of best BLAST hit against nonfungal datasets is >0.1 .

^bDistribution of homologs within the fungi based on BLAST searches. (Sp) *S. pombe*; (Ca) *C. albicans*; (En) *A. nidulans*. None of these sequences have clear homologs in the sequences available from nonascomycete fungi.

^cFunctional categories are as described in Nelson et al. (1997), based on a modification of the system described by White and Kerlavage (1996).

^dThese sequences [*N. crassa* discontigs 34 and 439 and *S. cerevisiae* Gas1p (YMR307w) and YOL030w] correspond to paralogous fungal-specific genes encoding glycopospholipid-anchored surface proteins. Gas1p has a weak nonfungal hit, corresponding to a putative *A. thaliana* β -1,3-glucanase (for details, see Popolo and Vai 1999).

^eThe absence of a *S. pombe* Pir1p homolog may be more than incomplete sampling, because previous studies were unable to identify fragments hybridizing to the *PIR1* gene in *S. pombe* (Toh-e et al. 1993).

^fDiscontig 489 corresponded to the *Asm-1*⁺ gene, which has been shown to encode an APSES-domain transcription factor homologous to the *S. cerevisiae* Sok2p protein (Aramayo et al. 1996).

Additional searches of these nine cases were conducted against sequence sets from other fungi. Homologs of all nine could also be identified in genomic sequence from *Candida albicans* (data not shown), and homologs of all but two could be identified in the available sequence data from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (Table 3). In sharp contrast, we were unable to identify homologs for any of the genes in the nonascomycete fungi (data not shown) and were only able to identify *Aspergillus nidulans* homologs in four cases (Table 3). This is likely to reflect limited sampling in these organisms, but some of these candidate fungal-specific proteins may actually be limited to the ascomycete fungi. These results suggest that most candidate fungal-specific genes can be identified in other fungal lineages. However, the identification of so few candidates suggests that the number of proteins that are present in both multicellular and unicellular fungi, but are not found in other groups of organisms, is quite small.

A Set of *N. crassa* Sequences with Nonfungal Homologs Lack *S. cerevisiae* Homologs

Over 40 *N. crassa* genes were identified that have clear nonfungal homologs ($E \leq 10^{-5}$ against NF or HMEST) but no identifiable *S. cerevisiae* homologs ($E > 0.1$) (Fig. 2; Tables 4 and 5). Nearly 20 other *N. crassa* genes have nonfungal homologs that are substantially better matches than are the most similar *S. cerevisiae* sequences (BLAST E-values for the best hit in the NF data set at least a factor of 10^{10} smaller than the best *S. cerevisiae* hit; Fig. 2; Tables 5 and 6). These two situations probably result from one of three evolutionary events: loss of a gene from the *S. cerevisiae* lineage, horizontal transfer of a gene into the *N. crassa* lineage, or exceptional divergence of a gene in *S. cerevisiae*.

Examination of specific cases allows us to distinguish among these possibilities. In the majority of cases (36; Table 4), absence of a clear homolog in *S. cerevisiae* is most parsimoniously interpreted as the result of gene loss, because apparent orthologs of the *N. crassa* loci are present in other complex eukaryotes. In 13 cases (Table 5), the best match with the *N. crassa* sequence was a prokaryotic gene, and no closely related eukaryotic homolog was clearly identified. These sequences may reflect horizontally transferred genes, but this assignment should be viewed as tentative because additional sequencing of eukaryotes may reveal closer matches, in which case they would be reinterpreted as genes lost from *S. cerevisiae*. In the remaining 14 cases, an *S. cerevisiae* homolog was identified but was not as close a match as a nonfungal eukaryote homolog, similar to the situation described above for γ -tubulin. These could, in principle, involve either the loss of the *S. cerevisiae* ortholog from an ancient family of duplicated genes or a case of accelerated divergence

Table 4. Genes Lost from *S. cerevisiae*: *N. crassa* Discontigs with Nonfungal Homologs that Lack Detectable *S. cerevisiae* Orthologs

Discontig	Identification	NF E-value ^a	SC E-value ^b	Global distribution ^c	Fungal distribution ^d
I. Cell division^e					
391	Sir2p family homolog, human	3×10^{-7}	— ^f	An, Eu, A, B	En
II. Cell signaling/cell communication					
39	DdCAD-1 (Ca ²⁺ -binding protein)	2×10^{-10}	—	Eu	
563	NPH1 (nonphototrophic hypocotyl)	2×10^{-8}	—	Pl, B	
861	shaker K ⁺ channel	2×10^{-48}	3×10^{-21} g	An, Pl, A, B	Sp
III. Cell structure/cytoskeleton					
223	N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase	10^{-17}	—	An, Pl, Eu, B	Ca
789	α-actinin	10^{-34}	10^{-6}	An, Eu	Sp
V. Metabolism					
70	BPG-independent phosphoglycerate mutase	8×10^{-26}	—	An, Pl, Eu, B	En
71	pyruvate decarboxylase	10^{-28}	7×10^{-15}	B	Sp
97	glycine amidinotransferase	10^{-5}	—	An, B	
125	citrate lyase β-chain	3×10^{-15}	—	An, B	
209	UDP-glucose dehydrogenase	2×10^{-6}	—	An, Pl, B, A	
220	annexin XIV	6×10^{-33}	—	An, Pl, Eu	
225	β-glucosidase	2×10^{-47}	0.07	Pl, Eu, B	NA, Ca, En
338	peroxisomal copper amine oxidase	4×10^{-27}	—	B	Ca
362	dioxygenase, <i>C. elegans</i>	3×10^{-8}	—	An, B	Ca
368	nitrite reductase	4×10^{-22}	—	B	NA, En
396	4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase	6×10^{-21}	—	An, B	En
454	α-glucosidase (maltase)	3×10^{-37}	3×10^{-7}	An, Pl, Eu, A, B	NA, Sp, Ca, En
474	fructosyl amino acid oxidase	4×10^{-6}	—	An, B	Sp, En
521	methylmalonate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase	9×10^{-15}	—	An, Pl, B	Ca, En
522	sterol carrier protein thiolase	6×10^{-20}	0.022	An, A, B	
526	enoyl-CoA hydratase	4×10^{-14}	—	An, Pl, A, B	
536	γ-glutamyl transpeptidase	8×10^{-11}	—	B	Ca
595	NADP-dependent oxidoreductase	10^{-13}	0.019	An, Pl, Eu, B	En
604	sorbitol utilization protein	6×10^{-17}	10^{-6}	An, Pl, B	Sp, Ca, En
693	uricase (urate oxidase), peroxisomal	7×10^{-8}	—	An	Sp, Ca, En
794	monooxygenase	2×10^{-24}	—	B	Ca
826	3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenase	2×10^{-8}	—	An, B	En
876	esterase	2×10^{-8}	—	An, B	Sp
880	α-amylase	10^{-5}	—	An, Eu, B	NA, Sp, En
1016	thioesterase II	2×10^{-8}	—	An, Pl, B	
1090	glycerol kinase	2×10^{-28}	6×10^{-11}	An, A, B	
VI. Protein synthesis					
128	rab GTPase	5×10^{-70}	4×10^{-57}	An, Pl, Eu	Sp, Ca, En
144	Int-6 (eIF3 subunit)	8×10^{-9}	—	An	
253	40S ribosomal protein S15	3×10^{-44}	2×10^{-32}	An, Pl, Eu, A, B	Sp, Ca
276	BRCA1 associated protein 1	5×10^{-17}	—	An, Pl	Sp, Ca
621	dolichol monophosphate transferase	2×10^{-23}	6×10^{-10}	An, Eu, A, B	Sp, Ca
910	ubiquitin-activating enzyme	7×10^{-16}	—	An, Pl, Eu	Sp
1079	r-Vps33a	10^{-15}	—	An	Sp
1110	eIF-3 p40	10^{-21}	10^{-5}	An	Sp
Unclassified					
133	<i>C. elegans</i> C25D7.8	3×10^{-7}	—	An	
467	hypothetical protein, <i>Streptomyces coelicolor</i>	4×10^{-7}	—	B	Sp
577	regucalcin (Ca ²⁺ -binding protein)	10^{-5}	—	An, A, B	En
606	hypothetical protein similar to NO synthase	9×10^{-8}	—	An	Sp
1012	<i>C. elegans</i> F18F11.1 (peroxisomal protein homolog)	4×10^{-17}	—	An	
1054	5'-nucleotidase precursor	8×10^{-15}	—	An, B	

^aE-value of best BLAST hit against nonfungal data set.^bE-value of best BLAST hit against *S. cerevisiae* data set.^cPhylogenetic distribution of orthologs based upon BLAST searches. (An) = Animals; (Pl) = plants; (Eu) = Other Eukaryotes; (A) = Archaea; (B) = Bacteria.^dDistribution of orthologs within the fungi based upon BLAST searches. (NA) = Nonascomycete fungi; (Sp) = *S. pombe*; (Ca) = *C. albicans*; (En) = *A. nidulans*. Presence of an ortholog to the *N. crassa* sequence in any of these fungi, except *A. nidulans*, was considered evidence for loss in the *S. cerevisiae* lineage.^eFunctional categories are as described in Nelson et al. (1997), based on a modification of the system described by White and Kerlavage (1996).^fNo *S. cerevisiae* database sequence received an E-value < 0.1.^g*N. crassa* sequences with *S. cerevisiae* homologs that are listed in this table are likely to correspond to cases in which the orthologous *S. cerevisiae* gene has been lost but a paralogous gene retained.

Table 5. Candidates for Horizontal Gene Transfer into *N. crassa*: Discontigs with Apparent Orthologs Only in Prokaryotes

Discontig	Identification	Prok E-value ^a	SC E-value ^b	Euk E-value ^c
IV. Cell/organism defense^d				
435	catalase T (catalase-peroxidase)	6×10^{-48}	— ^e	— ^f
1069	nitrilase	9×10^{-11}	—	—
V. Metabolism				
94	salicylate 1-monooxygenase	7×10^{-11}	—	3×10^{-5}
163	2,5-DDOL dehydrogenase	10^{-20}	2×10^{-10}	10^{-16}
256 ^g	polyketide synthase PKS1	2×10^{-17}	—	2×10^{-6}
351 ^g	putative 2-nitropropane dioxygenase	4×10^{-6}	—	—
443	putative amidohydrolase	9×10^{-16}	—	0.006
698	cytochrome P-450 ^{hor2}	4×10^{-14}	—	—
935 ^g	pyruvate-formulate lyase activating enzyme	8×10^{-10}	—	—
947	meta-cleavage dioxygenase	2×10^{-21}	—	—
Unclassified				
118	hypothetical protein, <i>B. subtilis</i>	3×10^{-7}	—	—
340	ethylene-forming enzyme	2×10^{-7}	—	—
1179 ^h	hypothetical protein, <i>Synechocystis</i> sp.	2×10^{-31}	0.094	—

^aE-value of best BLAST hit for a prokaryotic organism in the nonfungal data set.^bE-value of best BLAST hit in *S. cerevisiae* data set.^cE-value of best BLAST hit to a eukaryotic organism in the nonfungal data set.^dFunctional categories are as described in Nelson et al. (1997), based on a modification of the system described by White and Kerlavage (1996).^eNo *S. cerevisiae* database sequence received an E-value < 0.1.^fNo sequence from a eukaryotic organism in the nonfungal database received an E-value < 0.1.^gThese sequences have homologs in *A. nidulans*.^hThis sequence has an *S. pombe* homolog (E-value = 9×10^{-19}).

in *S. cerevisiae*. Ten of these sequences appeared to represent cases of gene loss in which a paralogous sequence was retained (also listed in Table 4), whereas four cases appeared to represent divergent orthologs (Table 6), based on our criteria for orthology (see Methods). The putative divergent orthologs involve homologs of calmodulin, ALG-2, calnexin, and UDP-glucose glycoprotein transferase. Strikingly, the first three of these genes encode Ca²⁺-binding proteins (see below), whereas the fourth (UDP-glucose glycoprotein

transferase) shares a functional role with calnexin: They are both components of the endoplasmic reticulum quality control machinery (Parlati et al. 1995; Fernandez et al. 1996). Thus, there is functional coherence to this set of genes that appear to have undergone unexpected degrees of divergence.

Many of the genes that appear to have been lost in *S. cerevisiae* can be found in other fungi. Only 13 of the 46 (28%) candidates for gene loss have no apparent ortholog among the available fungal sequences, prob-

Table 6. *N. crassa* Discontigs Whose Closest *S. cerevisiae* Homolog Appears to Be a Divergent Ortholog^a

Discontig	Identification	NF E-value ^b	SC E-value ^c
II. Cell signaling/cell communication^d			
124	calmodulin	7×10^{-39}	2×10^{-24}
792	ALG-2 (Ca ²⁺ -binding protein)	10^{-34}	10^{-6}
VI. Protein synthesis			
887	UDP-glucose glycoprotein transferase	7×10^{-39}	10^{-7}
1008	calnexin	3×10^{-33}	2×10^{-16}

^aγ-Tubulin (a cytoskeletal protein discussed in Fig. 1 and the text) is not listed here, because a γ-tubulin EST was not obtained from *N. crassa* during this study.^bE-value of best BLAST hit in nonfungal data set.^cE-value of best BLAST hit in *S. cerevisiae* data set.^dFunctional categories are as described in Nelson et al. (1997), based on a modification of the system described by White and Kerlavage (1996).

ably at least partly because of incomplete sampling. The nonascomycete fungi have the smallest number of orthologs in this category (4 sequences), whereas *S. pombe* has the largest number (18 sequences). These differences probably reflect both the potential for gene loss in these fungi and the availability of sequences. Only 14 of the 46 cases had orthologs in the available *C. albicans* sequences, indicating that some gene loss occurred after the divergence between *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisiae*.

Genes that Are Lost or Excessively Divergent in *S. cerevisiae* Indicate Functional Differences

Some of the proteins that have been lost or show unexpected divergence in *S. cerevisiae* are involved in basic cellular processes, such as translation, the ubiquitin system, peroxisome function, and ion homeostasis (Tables 4 and 6). Consistent with such loss or divergence reflecting functional adaptations specific to *S. cerevisiae*, we found instances of functionally related proteins in the set of genes lost from *S. cerevisiae*, such as the p40 and Int-6 subunits of the translation initiation factor eIF3 (Asano et al. 1997). Perhaps most striking are the changes in genes that are involved in ion homeostasis, especially Ca^{2+} homeostasis. The marked divergence of the Ca^{2+} -binding proteins calmodulin, ALG-2, and calnexin was discussed above (Table 6). Cases of gene loss include annexin (Ca^{2+} -and phospholipid-binding protein; Braun et al. 1998), DdCAD-1 (a *Dictyostelium discoideum* Ca^{2+} -dependent cell-cell adhesion protein; Wong et al. 1996), and a homolog of the mammalian voltage-activated shaker K^+ channels (e.g., McCormack et al. 1995; see Table 4). The presence of homologs of annexin and of shaker K^+ channels in plants (Tang et al. 1995; Braun et al. 1998) further supports the view that such genes have been lost from *S. cerevisiae*, because the plants are likely to represent an outgroup to the animals and fungi (Baldauf and Palmer 1993).

Few Additional Homologs of *N. crassa* Sequences Could Be Identified in *A. nidulans*

Ozier-Kalogeropoulos et al. (1998) found that a high percentage of genes from the budding yeast *Kluyveromyces lactis* were homologs of *S. cerevisiae* genes previously considered orphans. Because *K. lactis* is closely related to *S. cerevisiae* (these yeasts diverged ~80 mya; see Berbee and Taylor 1993), we reasoned that a similar survey of *N. crassa* sequences using a relatively closely related organism, such as the filamentous ascomycete *A. nidulans*, might allow the identification of many orphan *N. crassa* sequences. In our data set, 342 *N. crassa* discontigs (29%) had clear homologs in a database of 13404 *A. nidulans* ESTs, which extended the total number of discontigs with a clear homolog in any database (those listed in Table 2 and the *A. nidulans* database) to

555 discontigs (from 40% to 46%). Because the sequences available from *A. nidulans* probably represent somewhat more than half of the expressed genes (see Methods), this suggests that the availability of additional sequences from *A. nidulans* may allow the identification of clear homologs for slightly >50% of the *N. crassa* sequences examined in this study. However, these results suggest that the identification of homologs for many *N. crassa* orphan sequences will require the availability of sequences from fungi that are more closely related than *A. nidulans*, which diverged from *N. crassa* ~280 mya (Berbee and Taylor 1993).

Coverage of EST and Non-EST Databases Is Very Similar

Just as comparisons of homology search results against nonfungal and *S. cerevisiae* databases reveal patterns of genome evolution, comparisons of search results against two distinct databases of sequences from nonfungal organisms can provide information regarding the completeness of these databases. Our original reason for conducting searches using both NF (protein sequences from nonfungal organisms) and HMEST (human and murine ESTs) was to determine whether searching ESTs from humans and mice would substantially increase the number of *N. crassa* sequences for which a homolog was identified, relative to searching the NF database alone. However, our results showed this not to be the case; the results of homology searches against HMEST and NF using the *N. crassa* discontigs are compared in Figure 3 and Table 2.

A majority of *N. crassa* loci did not exhibit significant similarity to sequences in either database (points near the origin in Fig. 3). A small number of *N. crassa* loci with significant matches to human or mouse EST sequences but no detectable homologs in the database of nonfungal protein sequences (points near the x-axis and away from the origin) constitute cases of gene families that have not been sequenced outside the fungi except in EST projects. A modest number of *N. crassa* loci have detectable homologs in the nonfungal database but not in the EST data set (points near the y-axis and away from the origin in Fig. 3). These could reflect incomplete sampling in HMEST or genes with restricted distribution outside the fungi (see below). Most *N. crassa* loci with significant identity to proteins in NF also have significant identity to proteins in HMEST (points near or above the line $y = x$ in Fig. 3; the tendency for points to lie above $y = x$ generally reflects matches to complete sequences in NF and partial sequences in HMEST, giving better BLAST scores against the NF database).

We found that only 33 (2.8%) of *N. crassa* discontigs had clear homologs ($E \leq 10^{-5}$) in HMEST but not NF; of these, 15 (1.3% of the total number of discontigs) have clear homologs in SC, whereas 18 (1.5%) are

Figure 3 Comparison of homology searches against nonfungal protein sequences and against human and mouse ESTs. Each point represents a single *N. crassa* discontig, with the x-axis showing the negative logarithm of the *E*-value [$-\log(E)$] of the best match in HMEST and the y-axis showing $-\log(E)$ of the best match in NF. Open circles represent possible cases of incomplete sampling in NF [discontigs with clear homologs ($E \leq 10^{-5}$) in HMEST but no detectable homolog in NF]. Gray circles show possible cases of incomplete sampling in HMEST or of genes not present in animals (discontigs with clear homologs in NF but none in HMEST; listed in Table 7).

found clearly only in HMEST. However, the number of discontigs for which there are clear homologs in NF but not HMEST is larger (98, or 8.2%). A priori, this could reflect less complete sampling in the EST database or the limitations of the partial sequences present in EST databases. However, closer inspection reveals that most of the *N. crassa* genes with homologs in NF but not HMEST also lack known homologs in both placental mammals and *C. elegans* (Table 7). Therefore, the absence of homologs in HMEST may reflect the true distribution of these genes. The majority (>65%) of *N. crassa* sequences with homologs in NF but not HMEST have biological functions related to metabolism (Table 7), including functions like the biosynthesis of vitamins and amino acids, suggesting that these sequences may correspond to proteins that have been lost in the animals.

DISCUSSION

Background

Most comparative genomics to date has focused on prokaryotes, reflecting the availability of multiple complete genome sequences from prokaryotes and the relatively high proportion (usually ~70%) of prokaryotic genes for which homologs may be identified in other organisms (Koonin et al. 1997). Genomic analyses of the ascomycete yeast *S. cerevisiae* have been nearly as successful in finding homologs in other or-

ganisms, with standard homology searches resulting in the identification of homologs for >60% of the genes (Koonin et al. 1994; Goffeau et al. 1996). However, genomic analysis of other eukaryotes may be substantially more difficult. The proportion of genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *C. elegans* that can be identified by homology searches is much lower than for prokaryotes or *S. cerevisiae* (Waterston and Sulston 1995; Delseny et al. 1997; The *C. elegans* Sequencing Consortium 1998). A detailed comparison of the *S. cerevisiae* and *C. elegans* genomes indicates that 51% of *S. cerevisiae* sequences have readily identified homologs in *C. elegans*, whereas only 26% of *C. elegans* proteins have readily identified homologs in *S. cerevisiae* (The *C. elegans* Sequencing Consortium 1998). This suggests that the relatively high proportion of proteins with “cross-phylum” homologs in *S. cerevisiae* may be exceptional for eukaryotes.

Patterns of Genome Evolution in the Fungi

Based on evaluation of ESTs representing ~10%–15% of the genes in *N. crassa*, we have extended a previous report (Nelson et al. 1997) that a smaller proportion of *N. crassa* genes have identifiable homologs than is observed for *S. cerevisiae* (Table 2) and various prokaryotes. This difference may be related to differences in the sizes of the *S. cerevisiae* and *N. crassa* genomes, ~13.5 Mb and 43 Mb, respectively. Estimates of the total number of genes in *N. crassa* vary considerably (Kupfer et al. 1997; Nelson et al. 1997), but most estimates indicate that *N. crassa* has at least 50% more genes than *S. cerevisiae*. Our results bear on several of the possible mechanisms by which such differences might have arisen.

Gene loss in *S. cerevisiae* appears to have had an important functional impact, but the proportion of *N. crassa* discontigs corresponding to genes lost from *S. cerevisiae* that were identified by our analyses (46 out of 396 for which clear homologs were detected in the nonfungal or EST databases; Fig. 2; Table 4) cannot account for the magnitude of differences in gene number between *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae*. Furthermore, loss of genes from *S. cerevisiae* does not inherently explain the relatively high proportion of orphan genes in *N. crassa*.

The results of various evolutionary and genomic analyses have led to contrasting views regarding the impact of horizontal gene transfer during evolution (Gogarten et al. 1996; Doolittle 1998; Woese 1998; Snel et al. 1999). At least some groups have proposed that it has played an important role in the evolution of eukaryotic genomes in general (Doolittle 1998) and fungal genomes in particular (Prade et al. 1997). Our analyses did reveal several possible cases of horizontal gene transfer from prokaryotes (Table 5), and many of the candidates for horizontal gene transfer do corre-

Table 7. *N. crassa* Genes with Homologs in NF but Not HMEST^a

Discontig	Identification	NF E-value ^b	EUTH E-value ^c
II. Cell signaling/cell communication^d			
226	Tap42p protein phosphatase subunit	10^{-6}	10^{-4}
IV. Cell/organism defense			
359	low temperature and salt induced protein ^e	3×10^{-10}	— ^f
1069	nitrilase ^e	9×10^{-11}	—
V. Metabolism			
10	thiamine-repressed protein (NMT1)	10^{-23}	—
192	thiamine biosynthetic enzyme	9×10^{-37}	—
197	amino acid permease (AAP2)	10^{-6}	—
266	fructose 1,6-bisphosphate aldolase	4×10^{-18}	—
287	laccase (LAC1) ^e	2×10^{-7}	—
367	methionine synthase (Met6p)	10^{-41}	—
368	nitrite reductase	4×10^{-22}	—
445	putative hydrolase ^e	2×10^{-7}	0.02
466	formate dehydrogenase	5×10^{-11}	—
525	peptide transporter (Ptr2p)	2×10^{-11}	5×10^{-5}
611	phosphatidylserine synthase	2×10^{-8}	0.014
637	sulfite reductase	5×10^{-10}	—
815	isocitrate lyase (ACU-3) ^e	2×10^{-19}	—
880	α -amylase	10^{-5}	—
935	pyruvate-formate lyase activating enzyme	8×10^{-10}	—
957	Ca ²⁺ /H ⁺ exchanger (Vcx1p)	10^{-22}	—
962	Putative oxidoreductase	2×10^{-12}	—
980	$\Delta 24$ -sterol C-methyltransferase (Erg6p) ^e	2×10^{-21}	—
1011	pyruvate decarboxylase	2×10^{-19}	—
1058	NADP-dependent glutamate dehydrogenase (AM)	2×10^{-12}	—
1105	cystathionine beta-synthase (Cys4p)	4×10^{-6}	—
VI. Protein synthesis			
82	subtilisin-like serine proteinase	7×10^{-13}	—
Unclassified			
340	ethylene-forming enzyme	2×10^{-7}	—
467	hypothetical protein, <i>Streptomyces coelicolor</i>	4×10^{-7}	—
667	flavohemoglobin (Yhb1p)	5×10^{-10}	—
1053	carbonic anhydrase ^e	5×10^{-22}	—
1175	homolog of Dem gene product from plants	5×10^{-7}	0.057
1179	hypothetical protein, <i>Synechocystis</i> sp.	2×10^{-31}	—

^aAll sequences have no hit in the human/mouse EST data set (no *E*-value < 0.1).^b*E*-value of best BLAST hit in nonfungal data set.^c*E*-value of best BLAST hit in eutherian data set.^dFunctional categories are as described in Nelson et al. (1997), based on a modification of the system described by White and Kerlavage (1996).^eThis discontig has a possible hit (*E*-value < 0.1) in *C. elegans*.^fNo eutherian database sequence received an *E*-value < 0.1.

spend to “operational” genes encoding enzymes involved in modular metabolic functions, as suggested by previous analyses (Rivera et al. 1998; Jain et al. 1999). However, even if all of the candidates for horizontal transfer identified by this study reflect authentic cases (13 out of 1197 discontigs analyzed), <2% of *N. crassa* genes are plausibly derived from the incorporation of prokaryotic genes subsequent to divergence of the *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae* lineages.

It has been suggested that many fungal proteins exhibit a higher rate of molecular evolution than do homologous vertebrate proteins (Feng et al. 1997; Stassen et al. 1997). A similar difference in rate of evolution

between *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae* could potentially explain the higher proportion of orphan genes in the former relative to the latter. However, our results (Fig. 1) show that there is not a global difference in rate between the two fungi.

Implications of Genetic Innovation in *N. crassa*

If there has been substantial genetic innovation in the *N. crassa* lineage, it is reasonable to speculate that many of the complex developmental pathways exhibited by *N. crassa* are mediated by novel protein-coding genes. One class of functionally characterized orphan genes identified in our earlier analysis of *N. crassa* ESTs

corresponds to clock controlled genes regulated in response to light and circadian rhythms (Nelson et al. 1997). This is a well-characterized developmental pathway in *N. crassa* (Loros 1998) that is absent from *S. cerevisiae*. The current study identified an additional *N. crassa* gene (the NPH1 homolog; see Table 4) possibly involved in responses to light, as did additional analyses of *N. crassa* ESTs (*nop-1*; see Bieszke et al. 1999). However, some of the pathways that distinguish *N. crassa* from *S. cerevisiae* are found not only in filamentous ascomycetes related to *N. crassa* but also in other (nonascomycete) filamentous fungal lineages. Because these latter fungi are less closely related to *N. crassa* than is *S. cerevisiae*, a hypothesis of genetic innovation in *N. crassa* for these genes would require either convergent evolution or horizontal transfer between *N. crassa* and the nonascomycete filamentous fungi.

Furthermore, the mechanism by which *N. crassa* could have gained large numbers of genes is unclear. If the impact of horizontal transfer on the *N. crassa* genome has been relatively modest as our results suggest (see above), then more extensive genetic innovation would reflect either the duplication and divergence of genes (e.g., Tatusov et al. 1997) or overprinting [the generation of novel genes from noncoding sequences, as proposed by Keese and Gibbs (1992) and Ohno (1984)]. Gene duplication, long thought to be the primary mechanism responsible for the generation of novel genes (Ohno 1970; Kimura and Ohta 1974), does not explain our inability to identify homologs of any kind for most of the *N. crassa* transcripts analyzed. Furthermore, there are few large gene families in *N. crassa* (Nelson et al. 1997). This may be due to the fact that closely related sequences in the *N. crassa* genome are actively mutated by the RIP (Repeat Induced Point mutation) process (Selker 1990). Finally, although the high proportion of orphan genes could be explained by extensive overprinting, because genes derived in this way would truly lack homologs, the source of the requisite unexpressed ORFs remains obscure (but for potential sources, see Ohno 1984; Keese and Gibbs 1992).

An alternative possibility is that many cases of gene loss in *S. cerevisiae* could not be detected by our methods. Such cases might be drawn from two sources. Some could reflect novel genes introduced into the early fungi and subsequently lost from *S. cerevisiae*. We would have been unable to detect loss of such genes by our methods because they lack nonfungal orthologs and the number of fungal sequences is still limited. Such a pattern would also explain the high proportion of orphan genes in *N. crassa*. The greater developmental complexity of *N. crassa* would reflect retention of phenotypes ancestral to the fungi and the genes necessary for the expression of those phenotypes. This would be consistent with phylogenetic analyses indi-

cating that the unicellular yeasts evolved from multicellular ancestors (Bruns et al. 1992; Berbee and Taylor 1993; Liu et al. 1999), and it would explain the relative paucity of fungal-specific genes identified by this study. If this hypothesis is correct, it should be revealed in future genome projects with diverse fungi, with the result that genes currently unique to *N. crassa* and its close relatives will be found in more distantly related fungal lineages. However, the relatively low proportion of *N. crassa* sequences with clear *A. nidulans* homologs suggests that few homologs for orphan sequences in *N. crassa* will be identified in distantly related fungi, unless it is possible to substantially increase the sensitivity of the methods used for database searches.

A second possible source of genes whose loss from *S. cerevisiae* could not be detected by the methods applied here would be genes that were inherited from the common ancestor of the eukaryotes but had limited functional importance and thus were under weak selective pressure. Such genes might both be disproportionately lost from *S. cerevisiae* and have a rate of divergence in *N. crassa* high enough to preclude detection of nonfungal homologs. It has been suggested previously that orphan genes reflect a class of rapidly evolving genes, based on the identification of a large number of such genes in *Drosophila* (Schmid and Tautz 1997) and the budding yeasts (Ozier-Kalogeropoulos et al. 1998). Significantly fewer phenotypically identified genes are found among the rapidly evolving *Drosophila* genes, suggesting that the latter are more likely to have relatively modest and difficult to detect phenotypes and that the rapid evolution of these proteins reflects weak purifying selection (see Kimura and Ohta 1974). Disproportionate loss of such genes is plausible, as suggested by Braun et al. (1998). We found support for the notion that genes that have been lost (or underwent excessive divergence) in *S. cerevisiae* are under weaker selection, because the *N. crassa* discontigs with a clear homolog in the nonfungal data sets ($E \leq 10^{-5}$) that also have clear homologs in SC are generally more highly conserved (median nonfungal $E = 8 \times 10^{-22}$, $n = 315$) than those that lack clear homologs in SC (median nonfungal $E = 2 \times 10^{-10}$, $n = 81$).

Implications of Gene Loss in *S. cerevisiae*

Patterns of gene evolution may provide functional information about the genes identified using genome sequence data (Rivera et al. 1998; Pellegrini et al. 1999). Examination of the genes that appear to have been lost or are highly divergent in *S. cerevisiae* reveals a surprising number of genes involved in basic cellular processes. Presumably, these changes have had an impact on the biology of *S. cerevisiae*. This may be true even in cases in which a paralog of a lost gene remains in the *S. cerevisiae* genome, such as the shaker K⁺ channel identified by this study (Table 4). The shaker K⁺ channel

homolog present in *S. cerevisiae* (YPL088w) shows greater similarity to a proteobacterial oxidoreductase than to eukaryotic K⁺ channels (data not shown), suggesting that YPL088w encodes an oxidoreductase unlikely to provide a biological activity that compensates for the absence of a shaker K⁺ channel.

Global changes in the ion homeostasis systems in *S. cerevisiae* are strongly suggested by our analyses. One gene previously demonstrated to have been lost in *S. cerevisiae* encodes the Ca²⁺-binding protein annexin (Braun et al. 1998). Three of the four putative divergent orthologs in *S. cerevisiae* that were identified by this study are most closely related to the Ca²⁺-binding proteins calmodulin, calnexin, and ALG-2. Strikingly, there is evidence for functional divergence for two of the divergent *S. cerevisiae* genes (Geiser et al. 1991; Moser et al. 1995; Parlati et al. 1995). These data suggest that multiple *S. cerevisiae* Ca²⁺-binding proteins that localize to different subcellular compartments have undergone functional divergence from homologous proteins in other organisms and that this divergence occurred after the divergence of *S. cerevisiae* from other well-studied fungi, such as *N. crassa*, *A. nidulans*, and *S. pombe*.

It is believed that *S. cerevisiae* underwent a complete genome duplication after its divergence from *K. lactis* (Wolfe and Shields 1997) and that most duplicated sequences were subsequently lost (Keogh et al. 1998). One might suppose that the instances of gene loss revealed here occurred during this same period. However, the identification of so few *C. albicans* homologs (30% of the genes in Table 4) given that the *C. albicans* genomic sequence is >90% complete (see Methods) strongly suggests that some gene loss also occurred prior to the divergence between *C. albicans* and *S. cerevisiae*. Furthermore, inspection of searches involving *K. lactis* sequences (Ozier-Kalogeropoulos et al. 1998) and comparison with the results presented in this paper suggests that loss of genes from the *S. cerevisiae* lineage occurred both before and after its divergence from *K. lactis* (data not shown). Thus, it is likely that some level of gene loss has occurred at many stages during the evolution of *S. cerevisiae* and, presumably, other fungal lineages as well.

Coverage of the Nonfungal Database and the Mammalian EST Database

To understand the significance of the high proportion of *N. crassa* genes that are currently orphans, we must consider the completeness of the nonfungal databases. We found that nearly all *N. crassa* discontigs that had eukaryotic homologs in the NF database also had homologs among the mammalian ESTs (Fig. 3; Tables 2 and 7). Likewise, few *N. crassa* discontigs have homologs in the human and mouse EST data set but not in NF. These results imply that incompleteness of the

public sequence databases is not a major factor in the high proportion of *N. crassa* discontigs that lack non-fungal homologs and also that the sampling of conserved gene families is fairly complete in both the EST and non-EST sequence databases. That is, additional sequencing will reveal few additional broadly distributed, conserved gene families. Green et al. (1993) proposed that there is a limited number of "Ancient Conserved Regions"; our results suggest that we are rapidly approaching a complete set.

Summary

Our analyses suggest that the differences in genome size and proportions of orphan genes between *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae* reflect some combination of genetic innovation in the *N. crassa* lineage and loss of genes from the *S. cerevisiae* lineage. There remain mysteries associated with either of these possible avenues of genome evolution: The mechanism of genetic innovation in the *N. crassa* lineage is presently unclear, whereas extensive loss from the *S. cerevisiae* lineage would require the disproportionate loss of genes that do not have recognizable nonfungal homologs. It may be that relative to *S. cerevisiae*, *N. crassa* retains many more uniquely fungal processes. The loss of specific, functionally important proteins during the evolution of *S. cerevisiae* that we have documented shows that surprising biological inferences can be made by the types of large-scale comparisons performed here (also see Pellegrini et al. 1999). Our ability to identify various patterns of genome evolution using single-pass sequence data demonstrates the utility of EST projects for evolutionary and comparative genomic investigations (Braun et al. 1998). However, the absence of complete genomic sequence for *N. crassa* does mean that some questions may only be asked in one direction; for instance, we could identify cases of probable gene loss from *S. cerevisiae* but not cases of loss from *N. crassa*. The growing availability of sequence data from the fungi should allow further exploration of the patterns of genome evolution identified by this study.

METHODS

Generation of *N. crassa* cDNA Sequences

Partial cDNA sequences (ESTs) were generated as part of the *Neurospora* Genome Project (NGP). Current information on the NGP is available from the project's Web page (<http://www.unm.edu/~ngp>) or by contacting M.A.N. or D.O.N. The sequences analyzed in this paper were generated either as described (Nelson et al. 1997) or using the Thermosequenase dye terminator premix kit (Amersham) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The directionally cloned cDNA libraries have been described previously (Nelson et al. 1997); some additional sequences reported here were obtained after highly expressed messages reported in that paper were identified by hybridization as described by Ausubel et al. (1994) and removed from the arrays of clones that were se-

quenced. A total of 3578 *N. crassa* ESTs from 2202 clones were analyzed in this paper; 1313 ESTs were derived using the T3 sequencing primer (5' reads), and 2265 ESTs were derived using the T7 primer (3' reads). Quality control procedures have been presented previously (Nelson et al. 1997), and the error rates for this data set are comparable with those seen in other EST projects (including the *S. cerevisiae* ESTs described below).

Assembly and Clustering of *N. crassa* ESTs

ESTs were assembled with The Institute for Genomic Research (TIGR) assembler using defaults for EST assembly (Sutton et al. 1995), resulting in 2093 contigs. To further group contigs that reflect transcripts of the same locus, the contigs were assembled into 1197 discontigs (discontiguous-sequence clusters) using both single-linkage clustering of sequences with gapped BLAST-N *E*-values $\leq 10^{-25}$ and grouping of T3 and T7 reads based on shared clone names. Because of problems associated with EST sequencing projects, such as lane-tracking errors, record keeping errors, and the presence of chimeric clones, some discontigs will contain sequences representing the transcripts of more than one locus. Based on analysis of apparent chimeric patterns in search results (data not shown), we estimate between 60 and 100 improperly clustered discontigs, indicating that the EST data set represents the transcripts of 1250–1300 loci.

Public Data Sets

Computational analyses were performed on several sets of sequences obtained from public databases. Details of these data sets are given in Table 1. The *C. albicans* data set is probably fairly complete, because the CAL data set contains 14.9 Mb of genomic sequence, which is 93% of the 16-Mb *C. albicans* genome (Keogh et al. 1998). This is supported by the fact that 233 out of 240 (97%) of *N. crassa* discontigs with identified homologs in each of SC, NF, and HMEST also had homologs in the CAL data set. The *A. nidulans* data set is composed primarily of ESTs, making estimation of coverage more difficult, but 168 (68%) of these same 240 discontigs have homologs in ENI, suggesting that ENI may be 60%–70% complete.

Homology Searches

Homology searches were carried out with the gapped BLAST programs (Altschul et al. 1997), using executable copies obtained from the NCBI (v.2.0.5). Searches were performed as comparisons of protein sequences, with translation of nucleotide query or database sequences as necessary (Blast-P, Blast-X, Tblast-X). Nucleotide queries were preprocessed with NSEG to mask low-complexity regions, and protein query sequences (including six-frame translations of ESTs) were filtered with SEG (Wootton and Federhen 1996). Unix scripts and C programs were used to automate searches on large sets of query sequences and to extract summary information (e.g., identity and *E*-value of best hit).

Queries were considered to have a clear homolog for *E*-values $\leq 10^{-5}$. A discontig was considered to have a clear homolog if any of the constituent contigs had a clear homolog. This cutoff gives a probability of including a single false hit (type I error) for the entire set of *N. crassa* queries of <5%, based on Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Queries were considered to have a possible homolog in a database for *E*-values ≤ 0.01 ; this weaker cutoff will result in a moderate number of false database matches but should increase sensitivity. Queries were considered to have no poten-

tial homologs in a database for *E*-values > 0.1, because any homologous sequences this divergent are beyond the commonly recognized "twilight zone" of evolutionary similarity (e.g., see Mushegian and Koonin 1996; Koonin et al. 1997).

We used homology searches to differentiate between orthology and paralogy (Fitch 1970) whenever possible. Homologous proteins were considered to be probable orthologs if comparisons between the *N. crassa* sequence, the best hit in the *S. cerevisiae* data set, and the best hit in the nonfungal data set form a symmetrical set, as described by Tatusov et al. (1997). We considered *N. crassa* genes to be candidates for genes resulting from horizontal transfer after divergence from *S. cerevisiae* if their best nonfungal hit was prokaryotic and they had no hit in the *S. cerevisiae* data set or in other fungi that would suggest that the gene was present in the common ancestor of *N. crassa* and *S. cerevisiae*. For this analysis, we assumed the fungal phylogeny of Bruns et al. (1992), whose relevant features were confirmed by Liu et al. (1999).

Comparison of Divergence (Molecular Clock Analyses)

The *N. crassa* contigs described in this paper and a set of full-length *N. crassa* protein sequences obtained from the NCBI were searched against the SC and NF databases. Sequences with BLAST hits of *E* $\leq 10^{-5}$ against both SC and NF were identified and subjected to further analysis. Random subsets of full-length *N. crassa* protein sequences passing these criteria were chosen and paired with their best matches from SC. For pairs composed of an *N. crassa* contig, which was generally not full length, and a *S. cerevisiae* cDNA sequence, portions of both sequences that were not part of the region of overlap indicated by BLAST were removed, to ensure that the paired queries were comparable. The two members of each of the resulting pairs were searched against NF. Pairs for which the closest homologs in NF for either the *N. crassa* or *S. cerevisiae* sequence were clearly paralogs rather than orthologs (see above) were eliminated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to M.P. Skupski (National Center for Genome Resources) for providing special purpose data sets, to S. Kang and the students associated with the *Neurospora* Genome Project for expert technical assistance, and to audiences at the University of New Mexico, Los Alamos National Laboratories, the Ohio State University, the University of Washington, EMBL Heidelberg, and the Laboratory of Molecular Systematics at the Smithsonian Institution for insightful comments. We are grateful to the Albuquerque High Performance Computing Center (AHPCC) for computers and computational support and S. Blea for programming assistance. NGP sequencing was supported by UNM and NSF grant HRD-9550649 to D.O.N., M.A.N., M. Werner-Washburne, and R. Miller. A.L.H. was supported by NIH grant 5P20-RR11830-02 and the AHPCC.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

REFERENCES

- Altschul, S.F., T.L. Madden, A.A. Schäffer, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang, W. Miller, and D.J. Lipman. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: A

- new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**: 3389–3402.
- Aramayo, R., Y. Peleg, R. Addison, and R. Metzenberg. 1996. *Asm-1⁺*, a *Neurospora crassa* gene related to transcriptional regulators of fungal development. *Genetics* **144**: 991–1003.
- Asano, K., H.-P. Vornlocher, N.J. Richter-Cook, W.C. Merrick, A.G. Hinnebusch, and J.W.B. Hershey. 1997. Structure of cDNA encoding human eukaryotic initiation factor 3 subunits: Possible roles in RNA binding and macromolecular assembly. *J. Biol. Chem.* **272**: 27042–27052.
- Ausubel, F.M., R. Brent, R.E. Kingston, D.D. Moore, J.G. Seidman, J.A. Smith, and K. Struhl. 1994. *Current protocols in molecular biology*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.
- Baldauf, S.L. and J.D. Palmer. 1993. Animals and fungi are each other's closest relatives: Congruent evidence from multiple proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **90**: 11558–11562.
- Berbee, M.L. and J.W. Taylor. 1993. Dating the evolutionary radiations of the true fungi. *Can. J. Bot.* **71**: 1114–1127.
- Bieszke, J.A., E.L. Braun, M.A. Nelson, and D.O. Natvig. 1998. Borkovich. 1999. The *nop-1* gene of *Neurospora crassa* encodes a seven transmembrane helix retinal-binding protein homologous to archaeal rhodopsins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **96**: 8034–8039.
- Braun, E.L., S. Kang, M.A. Nelson, and D.O. Natvig. 1998. Identification of the first fungal annexin: Analysis of annexin gene duplication and implications for eukaryotic evolution. *J. Mol. Evol.* **47**: 531–543.
- Bruns, T.D., R. Vilgalys, S.M. Barns, D. Gonzalez, D.S. Hibbett, D.J. Lane, L. Simon, S. Stickel, T.M. Szaro, W.G. Weisburg, and M.L. Sogin. 1992. Evolutionary relationships within the fungi: Analyses of nuclear small subunit rRNA sequences. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **1**: 231–241.
- The *C. elegans* Sequencing Consortium. 1998. Genome sequence of the nematode *C. elegans*: A platform for investigating biology. *Science* **282**: 2012–2018.
- Delseny, M., R. Cooke, M. Raynal, and F. Grellet. 1997. The *Arabidopsis thaliana* cDNA sequencing projects. *FEBS Lett.* **403**: 221–224.
- Doolittle, W.F. 1998. You are what you eat: A gene transfer ratchet could account for bacterial genes in eukaryotic nuclear genomes. *Trends Genet.* **14**: 295–335.
- Feng, D.-F., G. Cho, and R.F. Doolittle. 1997. Determining divergence times with a protein clock: Update and reevaluation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **94**: 13028–13033.
- Fernandez, F., M. Jannatipour, U. Hellman, L.A. Rokeach, and A.J. Parodi. 1996. A new stress protein: Synthesis of *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* UDP—glc:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase mRNA is induced by stress conditions but the enzyme is not essential for cell viability. *EMBO J.* **15**: 705–713.
- Fitch, W.M. 1970. Distinguishing homologous from analogous proteins. *Syst. Zool.* **19**: 99–113.
- Geiser, J.R., D. vanTuinen, S.B. Brockerhoff, M.M. Neff, and T.N. Davis. 1991. Can calmodulin function without binding calcium? *Cell* **65**: 949–959.
- Goffeau, A., B.G. Barrell, H. Bussey, R.W. Davis, B. Dujon, H. Feldmann, F. Galibert, J.D. Hoheisel, C. Jacq, M. Johnston et al. 1996. Life with 6000 genes. *Science* **274**: 546–567.
- Gogarten, J.P., E. Hilario, and L. Olendzenski. 1996. Gene duplications and horizontal gene transfer during early evolution. In *Symposium of the Society for General Microbiology*, vol. 54 (eds. D.M. Roberts, P. Sharp, G. Alderson, and M.A. Collins), pp. 267–292. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Green, P., D. Lipman, L. Hillier, R. Waterston, D. States, and J.-M. Claverie. 1993. Ancient conserved regions in new gene sequences and the protein databases. *Science* **259**: 1711–1716.
- Henikoff, S., E.A. Green, S. Pietrokowski, P. Bork, T.K. Attwood, and L. Hood. 1997. Gene families: The taxonomy of protein paralogs and chimeras. *Science* **278**: 609–614.
- Jain, R., M.C. Rivera, and J.A. Lake. 1999. Horizontal gene transfer among genomes: The complexity hypothesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **96**: 3801–3806.
- Keeling, P.J. and W.F. Doolittle. 1996. Alpha-tubulin from early-diverging eukaryotic lineages and the evolution of the tubulin family. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **13**: 1297–1305.
- Keese, P.K. and A. Gibbs. 1992. Origins of genes: “Big bang” or continuous creation? *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **89**: 9489–9493.
- Keogh, R.S., C. Seoighe, and K.H. Wolfe. 1998. Evolution of gene order and chromosome number in *Saccharomyces*, *Kluyveromyces* and related fungi. *Yeast* **14**: 443–457.
- Kimura, M. and T. Ohta. 1974. On some principles governing molecular evolution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **71**: 2848–2852.
- Knoll, A.H. 1992. The early evolution of eukaryotes: A geological perspective. *Science* **256**: 622–627.
- Koonin, E.V., P. Bork, and C. Sander. 1994. Yeast chromosome III: New gene functions. *EMBO J.* **13**: 493–503.
- Koonin, E.V., A.R. Mushegian, M.Y. Galperin, and D.R. Walker. 1997. Comparison of archaeal and bacterial genomes: Computer analysis of protein sequences predicts novel functions and suggests a chimeric origin for the archaea. *Mol. Microbiol.* **25**: 619–637.
- Kupfer, D.M., C.A. Reece, S.W. Clifton, B.A. Roe, and R.A. Prade. 1997. Multicellular ascomycetous fungal genomes contain more than 8000 genes. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **21**: 364–372.
- Liu, Y.J., S. Whelen, and B.D. Hall. 1999. Phylogenetic relationships among ascomycetes: Evidence from an RNA polymerase II subunit. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **16**: 1799–1808.
- Loros, J.J. 1998. Time at the end of the millennium: The *Neurospora* clock. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **1**: 698–706.
- McCormack, K., T. McCormack, M. Tanouye, B. Rudy, and W. Stuhmer. 1995. Alternative splicing of the human Shaker K⁺ channel beta 1 gene and functional expression of the beta 2 gene product. *FEBS Lett.* **370**: 32–36.
- Moser, M.J., S.Y. Lee, R.E. Kleivit, and T.N. Davis. 1995. Ca²⁺ binding to calmodulin and its role in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* as revealed by mutagenesis and NMR spectroscopy. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**: 20643–20652.
- Mushegian, A.R. and E.V. Koonin. 1996. Sequence analysis of eukaryotic developmental proteins: Ancient and novel domains. *Genetics* **144**: 817–828.
- . 1997. A minimal gene set for cellular life derived by comparison of complete bacterial genomes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **93**: 10268–10273.
- Mushegian, A.R., J.R. Garey, J. Martin, and L.X. Liu. 1998. Large-scale taxonomic profiling of eukaryotic model organisms: A comparison of orthologous proteins encoded by the human, fly, nematode, and yeast genomes. *Genome Res.* **8**: 590–598.
- Nelson, M.A., S. Kang, E.L. Braun, M.E. Crawford, P.L. Dolan, P.M. Leonard, J. Mitchell, A.M. Armijo, L. Bean, E. Blueyes et al. 1997. Expressed sequences from conidial, mycelial and sexual stages of *Neurospora crassa*. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **21**: 348–363.
- Ohno, S. 1970. *Evolution by gene duplication*. Springer, Heidelberg, Germany.
- . 1984. Birth of a unique enzyme from an alternative reading frame of the preexisted, internally repetitious coding sequence. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **81**: 2421–2425.
- Ozier-Kalogeropoulos, O., A. Malpertuy, J. Boyer, F. Tekaia, and B. Dujon. 1998. Random exploration of the *Kluyveromyces lactis* genome and comparison with that of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **26**: 5511–5524.
- Parlati, F., M. Dominguez, J.J.M. Bergeron, and D.Y. Thomas. 1995. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* CNE1 encodes an endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane protein with sequence similarity to calnexin and calreticulin and functions as a constituent of the ER quality control apparatus. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**: 244–253.
- Pellegrini, M., E.M. Marcotte, M.J. Thompson, D. Eisenberg, and T.O. Yeates. 1999. Assigning protein functions by comparative genome analysis: Protein phylogenetic profiles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **96**: 4285–4288.
- Popolo, L. and M. Vai. 1999. The Gas1 glycoprotein, a putative wall polymer cross-linker. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1426**: 385–400.
- Prade, R.A., J. Griffith, K. Kochut, J. Arnold, and W.E. Timberlake. 1997. In vitro reconstruction of the *Aspergillus* (= *Emmericella*) *nidulans* genome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **94**: 14564–14569.

- Rivera, M.C., R. Jain, J.E. Moore, and J.A. Lake. 1998. Genomic evidence for two functionally distinct gene classes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **95**: 6239–6244.
- Schmid, K.J. and D. Tautz. 1997. A screen for fast evolving genes from *Drosophila*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **94**: 9746–9750.
- Selker, E.U. 1990. Premeiotic instability of repeated sequences in *Neurospora crassa*. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* **24**: 579–613.
- Skupski, M.P., M. Booker, A. Farmer, M. Harpold, W. Huang, J. Inman, D. Kiphart, C. Kodira, S. Root, F. Schilkey et al. 1999. The genome sequence database: Towards an integrated functional genomics resource. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **27**: 35–38.
- Snel, B., P. Bork, and M.A. Huynen. 1999. Genome phylogeny based on gene content. *Nat. Genet.* **21**: 108–110.
- Springer, M.L. 1993. Genetic control of fungal differentiation: The three sporulation pathways of *Neurospora crassa*. *BioEssays* **15**: 365–374.
- Stassen, N.Y., J.M. Logsdon, Jr., G.J. Vora, H.H. Offenberg, J.D. Palmer, and M.E. Zolan. 1997. Isolation and characterization of *rad51* orthologs from *Coprinus cinereus* and *Lycopericon esculentum*, and phylogenetic analysis of eukaryotic *recA* homologs. *Curr. Genet.* **31**: 144–157.
- Sutton, G.G., O. White, M.D. Adams, and A.R. Kerlavage. 1995. TIGR assembler: A new tool for assembling large shotgun sequencing projects. *Genome Sci. Technol.* **1**: 9–19.
- Tang, H., A.C. Vasconcelos, and G.A. Berkowitz. 1995. Evidence that plant K⁺ channel proteins have two different types of subunits. *Plant Physiol.* **109**: 327–330.
- Tatusov, R.L., E.V. Koonin, and D.J. Lipman. 1997. A genomic perspective on protein families. *Science* **278**: 631–637.
- Taylor, T.N., T. Hass, and H. Kerp. 1999. The oldest fossil ascomycetes. *Nature* **399**: 648.
- Toh-e, A., S. Yasunaga, H. Nisogi, K. Tanaka, T. Oguchi, and Y. Matsui. 1993. Three yeast genes, *PIR1*, *PIR2* and *PIR3*, containing internal tandem repeats, are related to each other, and *PIR1* and *PIR2* are required for tolerance to heat shock. *Yeast* **9**: 481–494.
- Waterston, R. and J. Sulston. 1995. The genome of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **92**: 10836–10840.
- White, O. and A.R. Kerlavage. 1996. TDB: New databases for biological discovery. *Methods Enzymol.* **266**: 27–40.
- Woese, C.R. 1998. The universal ancestor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **95**: 6854–6859.
- Wolfe, K.H. and D.C. Shields. 1997. Molecular evidence for an ancient duplication of the entire yeast genome. *Nature* **387**: 708–713.
- Wong, E.F.S., S.K. Brar, H. Sesaki, C. Yang, and C.-H. Siu. 1996. Molecular cloning and characterization of DdCAD-1, a Ca²⁺-dependent cell-cell adhesion molecule, in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **271**: 16399–16408.
- Wootton, J.C. and S. Federhen. 1996. Analysis of compositionally biased regions in sequence databases. *Methods Enzymol.* **266**: 554–571.

Received September 22, 1999; accepted in revised form February 10, 2000.

Large-Scale Comparison of Fungal Sequence Information: Mechanisms of Innovation in *Neurospora crassa* and Gene Loss in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Edward L. Braun, Aaron L. Halpern, Mary Anne Nelson, et al.

Genome Res. 2000 10: 416-430

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gr.10.4.416](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.10.4.416)

References

This article cites 58 articles, 28 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genome.cshlp.org/content/10/4/416.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).

Affordable, Accurate
Sequencing.

To subscribe to *Genome Research* go to:
<https://genome.cshlp.org/subscriptions>